A. 25 (B)

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24 25

Highly Confidential

Page 118

M. Shapiro proportionality to it.

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

2

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

Q. Same proportion as what?

A. You had the 70 and the 69, and I think I remember hearing it was something like, you know, 45 and -- 45 in liabilities and maybe 47 in assets, something like that. So when I say proportionality, I meant there was a difference between the liability side and the asset side by between one and two billion dollars.

10 11 And so I don't remember, until the 12 hearing, I don't remember hearing about the 13 clarification letter. Like I didn't see it. I didn't hear about it. Obviously it was being 15 drafted by the lawyers. And then Lori Fife in 16 the hearing got up -- I think it was Lori, it was maybe Harvey and Lori, but I think Lori did 18 the specifics of going through what the 19 clarification letter was going to say, what the 20 deal was, as revised, so that everybody 21 understood, could understand, you know, that there had been some changes in the deal and the deal terms from the APA that had been presented

Highly Confidential

Page 119

M. Shapiro

uncommon in a deal that had this level of complexity in terms of the -- the securities that were being sold.

Does that answer your question?

Q. Yes, it does, and we were just getting to paragraph 3.3. I wanted to focus your attention on it, which is an adjustment to the cash amount, a kind of true-up, if you will. Do you recall focusing on this provision?

A. Yes, I do.

Q. Tell me what you remember about that.

A. I remember that there was some discussion, there was some discussion -- I was involved in some part of this discussion, I just can't remember exactly when or exactly who it was with, but I do remember having some discussion where we talked about the fact that one way to deal with the fact that this \$70 million was still approximate and people were trying to get their hands on everything was to create a provision that would say, basically, you know, that there would be some sort of a true-up down the road.

And when I say true-up, I mean there TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

25 in these kinds of deals and certainly not

as the stalking horse APA, which is not uncommon

Page 120

. .

M. Shapiro would be a purchase price adjustment as really outlined here. And again, I didn't negotiate the terms of this in terms of dollars or how much or exactly how it worked, but I do remember being part of a discussion where we talked about that Lehman Brothers would have the benefit of this provision which would give Lehman Brothers the right up to \$750 million in the event that the value of the securities increased before Barclays were to have sold them.

Let me refresh my recollection of exactly what this says now.

13 14 (Document review.) 15 A. So the concept -- there was really two 16 concepts in this provision. One was that Barclays would have the sole right to just 17 18 decide when they wanted to sell any of these securities that they were buying. So we didn't 19 really control that. So, unlike a provision 21 where they had to hold it to a point in time, this wasn't that. They could have turned around and sold this the next day or up to the 12th 23 month anniversary, right? Or they could have 25 held it.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 121

M. Shapiro

And the concept was whenever they -whenever they did so, they would take, you know,
a recognized profit or loss, as determined by
the LBI mark as of the date of this contract,
and then, in the event that the profits exceeded
the losses, the aggregate profits exceeded the
losses, then up to the first \$500 million, the
purchaser had to pay the seller the net amount,
and if it was more than the \$500 (sic), there
was a 50 percent concept with a total cap of
750.

Q. Were you aware that this provision was eliminated in the clarification agreement to which you were just referring?

A. Yes, I was told that as part of the revised deal that Barclays -- there was obviously, in that last call at the last part of the deal, the last negotiation that Bart had with them, I was told that as part of the give and take of the negotiation, they were taking certain things on that they didn't expect to take on and that they wanted this provision removed, and so I recall it was removed.

Q. Do you recall what they were taking on TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

2

3

4

5

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Highly Confidential

Page 122

M. Shapiro

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

15

16

17

18

19

25

2

3

18

19

20

21

22

2.3

24

that they hadn't previously agreed to take on?

A. I don't specifically remember. I would have to -- I would have to go back and obviously look at other things, but I don't, sitting here right now, I don't remember exactly.

O. Do you know the categories of things they were taking on? Were they securities positions?

Well, I remember that they were supposed to get some cash originally in the deal, and I think we dropped the notion that we would be transferring the cash to them. But I don't remember the specifics. I would have to spend more time.

O. What would you look at to determine what they were taking on that was additional?

A. Well, you would have to go back to -you would have to look at all along the way the negotiations. This was -- and again, you wouldn't find this all in writing because this 23 was a negotiation going on amongst business 24 people.

> Q. Let me just jump ahead, if you will, TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 123

M. Shapiro

in the interest of time and there's a lot of things in here, and I know that you focused on many of them, but maybe we ought to just go ahead to Article IX to which you referred a few times in your answers concerning this agreement and focus on subparagraph (c), which is on page 35. And after you tell me what you recall about that, in addition to everything you have already 10 told me, we'll move on.

A. Okay. So what I remember is that this provision was being drafted, as I said earlier, by, you know, a Cleary employee benefits lawyer and a Weil employee benefits lawyer, neither of whom I knew before that day. And I remember --

16 MR. STERN: I'll just note that the 17 witness is referring to page 34.

18 THE WITNESS: Yes, page 34 --19 MR. CARDEN: Did I misspeak? 20 MR. STERN: No, you didn't misspeak, 21 but I think you were directing him to page 22 35.

23 A. Article IX, Section 9.1, and we were 24 talking now about sometime probably during the 25 afternoon late -- maybe early late morning, TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 124

M. Shapiro

early afternoon of the 16th of September.

Q. Okav.

4 A. These lawyers were drafting this and I 5 remember looking at it. I remember I was 6 standing with -- I was standing with Paul Parker, who was co-head of M&A, who happened to 7 be in the hallway at that point in time. These lawyers were sitting kind of in an alcove of the 10 hallway on chairs, and so there were people kind of that were milling about who were not directly 11 12 reading this or negotiating it, and I remember 13 saying to him, "Let's make sure we get this

right. Can you get Skip?" Because I want to 15 make sure that we have this right because Skip's 16 one of people who was in that negotiation, to 17 the best of my knowledge.

And so Skip came over and I just said, "I want to make sure we get this right. This is a pretty important provision for everybody. Let's make sure that we have it clear."

O. Okav.

And I remember reading it. I remember going through particularly paragraph -paragraph (c) with the lawyers, especially this TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 125

M. Shapiro

whole reduction provision that dealt with the 10 percent. I think -- I think Barclays' initial position was basically reduce this total comp by anybody who's not staying or who's not offered a contract, or I can't remember exactly, but basically their point was they wanted a reduction.

We pushed back and said no reduction. This ultimately came to some kind of a, as you can see here, some kind of a compromise, if you want to call it that, which required both 10 percent voluntarily terminated. And no one knew, obviously, how many people were actually going to go over to Barclays. It was such an uncertain time, and they didn't certainly make any -- give anybody, other than I think the top eight executives, any assurances of anything through that period.

And so we wanted to be sure that we had a provision that, you know, seemed fair. So that's how this got drafted.

Q. Do you remember there having been a specific number that represented the bonus accrual for LBI at this time?

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

25

1

3

4

5

6

8

9

Highly Confidential

Page 126

M. Shapiro

1

2

3

6

7

8

9

11

12

14

15

16

17

18

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

10

20

21

23

24

25

A. No. The only number I recall was there was a 2 billion total comp number that had been both referred to and that also is referenced, somewhere in here referenced, in I think it's Schedule -- here it is -- reflected on the financial schedule delivered.

So there was a 2 billion total comp number which included, as I said, to the best of my recollection, the bonus pool and the severance obligations that they were taking on as part of this, and that number was on that -if you recall the schedule I mentioned that John Grenier was working on -- that number was in that schedule.

Q. And the schedule that John Grenier was working on perhaps starting as early as Sunday night, but sometime in that Sunday night, Monday morning time period, you said that schedule changed over time, correct?

A. It definitely changed.

Q. Did the comp number change on that 22 23 schedule?

24 A. I don't think the comp number changed 25 much. I think there was an approximate number TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 127

M. Shapiro

of 2 billion, from what I remember, about that, and I don't think it changed. But again, I can't be sure, because I didn't, as I mentioned earlier, I was not privy to that schedule being changed. I was not sitting around with people as they made changes to that, so I might have seen it like at -- I probably did see it at a point, and I might have seen it at another point, and I probably saw it at the end.

10 11 What -- the sausage-making, what actually 12 happened, I doubt I saw everything.

Q. I'm going to show you what has been marked as Exhibit 19. That's the schedule to which you are referring, is it not?

A. Yes.

Q. Okay. And this is the schedule that's referred to in subparagraph 9(c) of Article IX, right?

20 A. It looks like it to me. It has Berkenfeld's initials on it and I do remember 21 22 that he initialed the final one.

Q. Were you there when he initialed it?

A. I believe I was, yeah.

O. Where did that happen?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 128

M. Shapiro

- A. On the 32nd floor.
- Q. Did it happen in a conference room with -- tell me where it happened on the 32nd floor.

A. I think it happened in the conference room, but I'm not positive. I mean, I -- we were all -- it was late. It was probably around between 10 and 10:30 at night, and I just remember thinking to myself -- on the, sorry, on

11 the night of the 16th, you know, somewhere

between 8:30 and 10:30 at night, and I just

remember thinking to myself, I hope they don't ask me to sign this thing because, you know, you

know, and Steve obviously was an authorized signatory and he was the one who was asked to 16 17 sign it.

18 O. Do you know why it was him as opposed 19 to someone else?

A. I do not know.

Q. Was there any discussion about this document when it was initialed by Steve? 22

A. Not that I'm aware of. Not that I recall.

Q. Were there Barclays people in the TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 129

M. Shapiro

2 room?

A. When he signed it?

Q. Yes.

There were lawyers, I believe, but I don't know for sure who was there.

O. To what use was this document to be put, if any, in addition to being referenced in paragraph 9(c)?

A. Right, well, as I said earlier, I 10 think this piece of paper ultimately was being 11 used through the course of the discussions 12 between Sunday night, really Monday morning, 13 14 let's call it, and Tuesday night, as trying to capture what assets and liabilities were being 15 16 conveyed, right?

17 And so I think that you would, if you 18 had all the different versions of this, you would find that probably all these numbers were 19 changing. As they were giving -- as they were 20 giving people new information, both sides of 21 22 this was changing in terms of the, let's call it

23 the upper part of this, the securities that are described and the liabilities that are described

outside of the cure payment and the comp 25

Highly Confidential Highly Confidential Page 130 Page 131 1 M. Shapiro M. Shapiro 2 Reasonably quickly, and lots of them. 2 numbers. 3 3 But, you know, you had Mike, who was I don't think that those changed, to 4 the principal draftsperson, with a few other the best of my recollection, from maybe the 4 5 5 first one I saw to this one, but certainly these people, you had someone on the Cleary side and 6 maybe some other lawyers involved, and then you numbers changed, and I think -- I think we 6 7 7 started with higher numbers in terms of had people who were working on this, which was 8 separated from the lawvers. 8 aggregate numbers that were just bigger. And 9 Q. "This" meaning Exhibit 19? 9 then as the course of the week, those two days A. Yeah. And when I say "this," I don't went on, it got a bit smaller. That's the best 10 11 really mean so much the exhibit itself as I mean 11 I remember. the information that was going into this 12 O. You testified previously about the 12 definition of "purchased assets" and, in 13 exhibit. 13 14 So what I would say is that the 14 particular, the 70, approximately \$70 billion in approximately \$70 billion number was intended to assets being purchased by Barclays, correct? 15 16 A. Yes. 16 reflect the fact that ultimately people were 17 talking about, at the time of Tuesday, again 17 Q. That \$70 billion number does not this all changed later, that there was this 18 appear to be on Exhibit 19, do you agree with 18 19 that? 19 amount of assets that we believed we could 20 convey to them. 20 A. No. I would say that that was 21 O. Which is 72.? 21 intended -- again, I wasn't the draftsperson of 22 the contract, so I can't speak to it, but I A. 65. 22 23 65? think because they used the word Q. "approximately" -- you have to remember that you 24 And that there was this amount of 24 had lawyers working on the document, right? 25 liabilities that they were prepared to assume as TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 Highly Confidential Highly Confidential Page 133 Page 132 M. Shapiro 1 M. Shapiro 2 included in the deal? 2 of this date. 3 A. There was discussion -- yeah, there 3 Q. Which is 68.4? A. Which is 68.4 in financing obligations was definitely like sometimes there was -- at 4 5 one point they were in. At one point they were 5 that they were taking on associated with those 6 not in. At one point it was 50 percent in. I 6 securities up to -- under this schedule it says 7 really -- that really was not something that I 7 2.25 cure payments and up to \$2 billion of comp. Q. Do you have any explanation for me as 8 was involved in. 9 to why this schedule, which is referred to in I was aware of it as it was happening, 10 but I didn't participate in it. I would say the APA in paragraph 9(c), has different numbers 10 than the quantum of purchased assets and assumed 11 that Shafir and Bart were probably two of the people closest to that, this discussion. 12 12 liabilities in the same document? Q. Did you ever have a conversation with 13 13 A. Well, I would say it's not very anyone as to whether or not Exhibit 19 should be 14 different if you look at, you know, 14 15 attached to the APA when it was presented? approximately 70 billion versus 72.65 billion A. No, no discussion about whether it 16 16 and approximately -- and 68.4 billion versus 17 should be attached, no. approximately 69 billion, in my mind, in the 17 Q. Is it your view that paragraph 9(c) in 18 18 context of this transaction are not materially 19 referring to the schedule -- strike that. Do 19 didn't. you have any explanation for why it wasn't 20 Q. Okay. You don't recall any discussion 20 about that differential at all? 21 attached to the APA? 21 22 A. I think it wasn't intended to actually A. Do not recall any discussion at all. 22 23 be part of the contract. I think if you have a 23 O. Did you have any conversation with contract that's going to be -- to have a 24 anyone about the, you know, the residential 24 schedule that says, like this schedule, make 25 mortgage assets as being included or not

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

| | Highly Confidential | | Highly Confidential |
|--|---|--|--|
| | Page 134 | | Page 135 |
| 1 | M. Shapiro | 1 | M. Shapiro |
| 2 | this part of the contract, right, I think that | 2 | identification, as of this date.) |
| 3 | because of the because of the timing of | 3 | Q. I want to point out that your name is |
| 4 | everything and the information flow and how this | 4 | not on this document, so if you're looking in |
| 5 | was all coming together, the people who were | 5 | vein for it, you won't |
| 6 | putting this together, as I said before, were, | 6 | A. I was looking for it. |
| 7 | you know, were not sitting in the drafting room | 7 | Q. It's not here, at least not that I've |
| 8 | while the lawyers were drafting. | 8 | seen. |
| 9 | So the lawyers took, you know, what I | 9 | A. I don't even think I was |
| 10 | would say is a reasonable approach, which was to | 10 | Q. My question simply is, have you seen |
| 11 | say approximately 70, and this schedule, which | 11 | any portion of this document? Including the top |
| 12 | was the which was the business people's | 12 | page, but my focus really is on the following |
| 13 | attempt to reflect what they were trying to | 13 | pages. |
| 14 | agree, to reflects 72.56 and 68.5, which in my | 14 | A. The answer is no, I have not ever seen |
| 15 | mind at the time certainly reflected the | 15 | this document. |
| 16 | approximate numbers that were in the contract. | 16 | Q. And this is not the PowerPoint to |
| 17 | Q. Do you know whether or not the reason | 17 | which you were referring that was used in the |
| 18 | there is any differential at all has anything to | 18 | meeting on Monday morning? |
| 19 | do with the residential mortgage positions? | 19 | A. Let me just look at it. |
| 20 | A. I don't know. | 20 | (Document review.) |
| 21 | Q. Let's go back and pick something up | 21 | A. No, this is not the document, nor have |
| 22 | about which you have testified previously. | 22 | I ever seen this document. |
| 23 | MR. CARDEN: Let's mark this as | 23 | Q. Okay. Did you have anything at all to |
| 24 | Exhibit 56A. | 24 | do with the Repurchase Agreement that first the |
| 25 | (Exhibit 56A, Agreement, marked for | 25 | Fed had had start with that. |
| | TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 | | TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 |
| ı | | Ĭ | |
| ************************************** | Highly Confidential | Can 20. 1 1 | Highly Confidential |
| *************************************** | Highly Confidential Page 136 | CM224.7.27 | |
| 1 | Page 136 | 1 | Page 137 |
| 1 | Page 136 M. Shapiro | 1 2 | Page 137 M. Shapiro |
| 2 | Page 136 M. Shapiro Did you have anything to the Fed repo | 2 | Page 137 M. Shapiro Then I learned from Jim Seery, I think |
| 2 3 | Page 136 M. Shapiro Did you have anything to the Fed repo agreement? | 2 | M. Shapiro Then I learned from Jim Seery, I think it was on Wednesday, maybe right before the |
| 2 3 4 | Page 136 M. Shapiro Did you have anything to the Fed repo agreement? A. What do you mean by "anything to do | 2 3 4 | M. Shapiro Then I learned from Jim Seery, I think it was on Wednesday, maybe right before the hearing, actually, right before the bankruptcy |
| 2 3 4 5 | Page 136 M. Shapiro Did you have anything to the Fed repo agreement? A. What do you mean by "anything to do with"? | 2 3 4 5 | M. Shapiro Then I learned from Jim Seery, I think it was on Wednesday, maybe right before the hearing, actually, right before the bankruptcy court hearing that was the stalking horse |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | M. Shapiro Did you have anything to the Fed repo agreement? A. What do you mean by "anything to do with"? Q. Did you have any discussions with | 2 3 4 | M. Shapiro Then I learned from Jim Seery, I think it was on Wednesday, maybe right before the hearing, actually, right before the bankruptcy court hearing that was the stalking horse hearing, I remember him telling me that the Fed |
| 2 3 4 5 | M. Shapiro Did you have anything to the Fed repo agreement? A. What do you mean by "anything to do with"? Q. Did you have any discussions with anybody concerning strike that. Did you have | 2 3 4 5 6 | M. Shapiro Then I learned from Jim Seery, I think it was on Wednesday, maybe right before the hearing, actually, right before the bankruptcy court hearing that was the stalking horse hearing, I remember him telling me that the Fed had basically told Barclays that if it wanted |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | M. Shapiro Did you have anything to the Fed repo agreement? A. What do you mean by "anything to do with"? Q. Did you have any discussions with | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | M. Shapiro Then I learned from Jim Seery, I think it was on Wednesday, maybe right before the hearing, actually, right before the bankruptcy court hearing that was the stalking horse hearing, I remember him telling me that the Fed |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | M. Shapiro Did you have anything to the Fed repo agreement? A. What do you mean by "anything to do with"? Q. Did you have any discussions with anybody concerning strike that. Did you have any discussions with anybody about the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | M. Shapiro Then I learned from Jim Seery, I think it was on Wednesday, maybe right before the hearing, actually, right before the bankruptcy court hearing that was the stalking horse hearing, I remember him telling me that the Fed had basically told Barclays that if it wanted support for the transaction from the U.S. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | M. Shapiro Did you have anything to the Fed repo agreement? A. What do you mean by "anything to do with"? Q. Did you have any discussions with anybody concerning strike that. Did you have any discussions with anybody about the assumption of the Fed repo agreement by | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | M. Shapiro Then I learned from Jim Seery, I think it was on Wednesday, maybe right before the hearing, actually, right before the bankruptcy court hearing that was the stalking horse hearing, I remember him telling me that the Fed had basically told Barclays that if it wanted support for the transaction from the U.S. government, it would have to take over the repo book from the government itself. And so I was not directly involved in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | M. Shapiro Did you have anything to the Fed repo agreement? A. What do you mean by "anything to do with"? Q. Did you have any discussions with anybody concerning strike that. Did you have any discussions with anybody about the assumption of the Fed repo agreement by Barclays? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | M. Shapiro Then I learned from Jim Seery, I think it was on Wednesday, maybe right before the hearing, actually, right before the bankruptcy court hearing that was the stalking horse hearing, I remember him telling me that the Fed had basically told Barclays that if it wanted support for the transaction from the U.S. government, it would have to take over the repo book from the government itself. And so I was not directly involved in anything, I'm just telling you what I remember |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | M. Shapiro Did you have anything to the Fed repo agreement? A. What do you mean by "anything to do with"? Q. Did you have any discussions with anybody concerning strike that. Did you have any discussions with anybody about the assumption of the Fed repo agreement by Barclays? A. Yes. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | M. Shapiro Then I learned from Jim Seery, I think it was on Wednesday, maybe right before the hearing, actually, right before the bankruptcy court hearing that was the stalking horse hearing, I remember him telling me that the Fed had basically told Barclays that if it wanted support for the transaction from the U.S. government, it would have to take over the repo book from the government itself. And so I was not directly involved in anything, I'm just telling you what I remember hearing, so it could be untrue. But assuming |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | M. Shapiro Did you have anything to the Fed repo agreement? A. What do you mean by "anything to do with"? Q. Did you have any discussions with anybody concerning strike that. Did you have any discussions with anybody about the assumption of the Fed repo agreement by Barclays? A. Yes. Q. With whom? A. Well, it really starts with the assumption of the JPMorgan repo agreement by the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | M. Shapiro Then I learned from Jim Seery, I think it was on Wednesday, maybe right before the hearing, actually, right before the bankruptcy court hearing that was the stalking horse hearing, I remember him telling me that the Fed had basically told Barclays that if it wanted support for the transaction from the U.S. government, it would have to take over the repo book from the government itself. And so I was not directly involved in anything, I'm just telling you what I remember hearing, so it could be untrue. But assuming that it's true, that Barclays was told or asked |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | M. Shapiro Did you have anything to the Fed repo agreement? A. What do you mean by "anything to do with"? Q. Did you have any discussions with anybody concerning strike that. Did you have any discussions with anybody about the assumption of the Fed repo agreement by Barclays? A. Yes. Q. With whom? A. Well, it really starts with the assumption of the JPMorgan repo agreement by the Fed. So, as I understand it, I was told I | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | M. Shapiro Then I learned from Jim Seery, I think it was on Wednesday, maybe right before the hearing, actually, right before the bankruptcy court hearing that was the stalking horse hearing, I remember him telling me that the Fed had basically told Barclays that if it wanted support for the transaction from the U.S. government, it would have to take over the repo book from the government itself. And so I was not directly involved in anything, I'm just telling you what I remember hearing, so it could be untrue. But assuming that it's true, that Barclays was told or asked to take over the book from the government and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | M. Shapiro Did you have anything to the Fed repo agreement? A. What do you mean by "anything to do with"? Q. Did you have any discussions with anybody concerning strike that. Did you have any discussions with anybody about the assumption of the Fed repo agreement by Barclays? A. Yes. Q. With whom? A. Well, it really starts with the assumption of the JPMorgan repo agreement by the Fed. So, as I understand it, I was told I was told on Sunday afternoon when it became | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | M. Shapiro Then I learned from Jim Seery, I think it was on Wednesday, maybe right before the hearing, actually, right before the bankruptcy court hearing that was the stalking horse hearing, I remember him telling me that the Fed had basically told Barclays that if it wanted support for the transaction from the U.S. government, it would have to take over the repo book from the government itself. And so I was not directly involved in anything, I'm just telling you what I remember hearing, so it could be untrue. But assuming that it's true, that Barclays was told or asked to take over the book from the government and that it did so either late Wednesday night or |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | M. Shapiro Did you have anything to the Fed repo agreement? A. What do you mean by "anything to do with"? Q. Did you have any discussions with anybody concerning strike that. Did you have any discussions with anybody about the assumption of the Fed repo agreement by Barclays? A. Yes. Q. With whom? A. Well, it really starts with the assumption of the JPMorgan repo agreement by the Fed. So, as I understand it, I was told I was told on Sunday afternoon when it became clear in the late afternoon that Lehman was | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | M. Shapiro Then I learned from Jim Seery, I think it was on Wednesday, maybe right before the hearing, actually, right before the bankruptcy court hearing that was the stalking horse hearing, I remember him telling me that the Fed had basically told Barclays that if it wanted support for the transaction from the U.S. government, it would have to take over the repo book from the government itself. And so I was not directly involved in anything, I'm just telling you what I remember hearing, so it could be untrue. But assuming that it's true, that Barclays was told or asked to take over the book from the government and that it did so either late Wednesday night or early Thursday morning from the government. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | M. Shapiro Did you have anything to the Fed repo agreement? A. What do you mean by "anything to do with"? Q. Did you have any discussions with anybody concerning strike that. Did you have any discussions with anybody about the assumption of the Fed repo agreement by Barclays? A. Yes. Q. With whom? A. Well, it really starts with the assumption of the JPMorgan repo agreement by the Fed. So, as I understand it, I was told I was told on Sunday afternoon when it became clear in the late afternoon that Lehman was going to have to file for Chapter 11, once | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | M. Shapiro Then I learned from Jim Seery, I think it was on Wednesday, maybe right before the hearing, actually, right before the bankruptcy court hearing that was the stalking horse hearing, I remember him telling me that the Fed had basically told Barclays that if it wanted support for the transaction from the U.S. government, it would have to take over the repo book from the government itself. And so I was not directly involved in anything, I'm just telling you what I remember hearing, so it could be untrue. But assuming that it's true, that Barclays was told or asked to take over the book from the government and that it did so either late Wednesday night or early Thursday morning from the government. Q. Were you ever told by anyone that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | M. Shapiro Did you have anything to the Fed repo agreement? A. What do you mean by "anything to do with"? Q. Did you have any discussions with anybody concerning strike that. Did you have any discussions with anybody about the assumption of the Fed repo agreement by Barclays? A. Yes. Q. With whom? A. Well, it really starts with the assumption of the JPMorgan repo agreement by the Fed. So, as I understand it, I was told I was told on Sunday afternoon when it became clear in the late afternoon that Lehman was going to have to file for Chapter 11, once the once the government determined that it | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | M. Shapiro Then I learned from Jim Seery, I think it was on Wednesday, maybe right before the hearing, actually, right before the bankruptcy court hearing that was the stalking horse hearing, I remember him telling me that the Fed had basically told Barclays that if it wanted support for the transaction from the U.S. government, it would have to take over the repo book from the government itself. And so I was not directly involved in anything, I'm just telling you what I remember hearing, so it could be untrue. But assuming that it's true, that Barclays was told or asked to take over the book from the government and that it did so either late Wednesday night or early Thursday morning from the government. Q. Were you ever told by anyone that Barclays had canceled the repo agreement with |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | M. Shapiro Did you have anything to the Fed repo agreement? A. What do you mean by "anything to do with"? Q. Did you have any discussions with anybody concerning strike that. Did you have any discussions with anybody about the assumption of the Fed repo agreement by Barclays? A. Yes. Q. With whom? A. Well, it really starts with the assumption of the JPMorgan repo agreement by the Fed. So, as I understand it, I was told I was told on Sunday afternoon when it became clear in the late afternoon that Lehman was going to have to file for Chapter 11, once the once the government determined that it wasn't going to intercede in any way, that as | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | M. Shapiro Then I learned from Jim Seery, I think it was on Wednesday, maybe right before the hearing, actually, right before the bankruptcy court hearing that was the stalking horse hearing, I remember him telling me that the Fed had basically told Barclays that if it wanted support for the transaction from the U.S. government, it would have to take over the repo book from the government itself. And so I was not directly involved in anything, I'm just telling you what I remember hearing, so it could be untrue. But assuming that it's true, that Barclays was told or asked to take over the book from the government and that it did so either late Wednesday night or early Thursday morning from the government. Q. Were you ever told by anyone that Barclays had canceled the repo agreement with Lehman? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | M. Shapiro Did you have anything to the Fed repo agreement? A. What do you mean by "anything to do with"? Q. Did you have any discussions with anybody concerning strike that. Did you have any discussions with anybody about the assumption of the Fed repo agreement by Barclays? A. Yes. Q. With whom? A. Well, it really starts with the assumption of the JPMorgan repo agreement by the Fed. So, as I understand it, I was told I was told on Sunday afternoon when it became clear in the late afternoon that Lehman was going to have to file for Chapter 11, once the once the government determined that it wasn't going to intercede in any way, that as part of an attempt to insulate the markets from | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | M. Shapiro Then I learned from Jim Seery, I think it was on Wednesday, maybe right before the hearing, actually, right before the bankruptcy court hearing that was the stalking horse hearing, I remember him telling me that the Fed had basically told Barclays that if it wanted support for the transaction from the U.S. government, it would have to take over the repo book from the government itself. And so I was not directly involved in anything, I'm just telling you what I remember hearing, so it could be untrue. But assuming that it's true, that Barclays was told or asked to take over the book from the government and that it did so either late Wednesday night or early Thursday morning from the government. Q. Were you ever told by anyone that Barclays had canceled the repo agreement with Lehman? A. At what point in time? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | M. Shapiro Did you have anything to the Fed repo agreement? A. What do you mean by "anything to do with"? Q. Did you have any discussions with anybody concerning strike that. Did you have any discussions with anybody about the assumption of the Fed repo agreement by Barclays? A. Yes. Q. With whom? A. Well, it really starts with the assumption of the JPMorgan repo agreement by the Fed. So, as I understand it, I was told I was told on Sunday afternoon when it became clear in the late afternoon that Lehman was going to have to file for Chapter 11, once the once the government determined that it wasn't going to intercede in any way, that as part of an attempt to insulate the markets from further dislocation beyond what they thought | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | M. Shapiro Then I learned from Jim Seery, I think it was on Wednesday, maybe right before the hearing, actually, right before the bankruptcy court hearing that was the stalking horse hearing, I remember him telling me that the Fed had basically told Barclays that if it wanted support for the transaction from the U.S. government, it would have to take over the repo book from the government itself. And so I was not directly involved in anything, I'm just telling you what I remember hearing, so it could be untrue. But assuming that it's true, that Barclays was told or asked to take over the book from the government and that it did so either late Wednesday night or early Thursday morning from the government. Q. Were you ever told by anyone that Barclays had canceled the repo agreement with Lehman? A. At what point in time? Q. At any point in time. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | M. Shapiro Did you have anything to the Fed repo agreement? A. What do you mean by "anything to do with"? Q. Did you have any discussions with anybody concerning strike that. Did you have any discussions with anybody about the assumption of the Fed repo agreement by Barclays? A. Yes. Q. With whom? A. Well, it really starts with the assumption of the JPMorgan repo agreement by the Fed. So, as I understand it, I was told I was told on Sunday afternoon when it became clear in the late afternoon that Lehman was going to have to file for Chapter 11, once the once the government determined that it wasn't going to intercede in any way, that as part of an attempt to insulate the markets from further dislocation beyond what they thought might happen, that the Fed was going to take | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | M. Shapiro Then I learned from Jim Seery, I think it was on Wednesday, maybe right before the hearing, actually, right before the bankruptcy court hearing that was the stalking horse hearing, I remember him telling me that the Fed had basically told Barclays that if it wanted support for the transaction from the U.S. government, it would have to take over the repo book from the government itself. And so I was not directly involved in anything, I'm just telling you what I remember hearing, so it could be untrue. But assuming that it's true, that Barclays was told or asked to take over the book from the government and that it did so either late Wednesday night or early Thursday morning from the government. Q. Were you ever told by anyone that Barclays had canceled the repo agreement with Lehman? A. At what point in time? Q. At any point in time. A. Not that I remember, no. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | M. Shapiro Did you have anything to the Fed repo agreement? A. What do you mean by "anything to do with"? Q. Did you have any discussions with anybody concerning strike that. Did you have any discussions with anybody about the assumption of the Fed repo agreement by Barclays? A. Yes. Q. With whom? A. Well, it really starts with the assumption of the JPMorgan repo agreement by the Fed. So, as I understand it, I was told I was told on Sunday afternoon when it became clear in the late afternoon that Lehman was going to have to file for Chapter 11, once the once the government determined that it wasn't going to intercede in any way, that as part of an attempt to insulate the markets from further dislocation beyond what they thought | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | M. Shapiro Then I learned from Jim Seery, I think it was on Wednesday, maybe right before the hearing, actually, right before the bankruptcy court hearing that was the stalking horse hearing, I remember him telling me that the Fed had basically told Barclays that if it wanted support for the transaction from the U.S. government, it would have to take over the repo book from the government itself. And so I was not directly involved in anything, I'm just telling you what I remember hearing, so it could be untrue. But assuming that it's true, that Barclays was told or asked to take over the book from the government and that it did so either late Wednesday night or early Thursday morning from the government. Q. Were you ever told by anyone that Barclays had canceled the repo agreement with Lehman? A. At what point in time? Q. At any point in time. |

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

17

18

19

20

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Highly Confidential

Page 138

M. Shapiro with your -- I'm going to call it, you know, quarterbacking role?

2

4

5

20

23

3

4

5

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

17

20

3 A. Yeah, I definitely dealt with him. I don't think I had ever met Martin before this weekend. I hadn't met a lot of these people 6 before this weekend. I definitely had some -- I 7 8 definitely sat down with him once, I think, and 9 went through -- one of the things we were trying to do was to, when Barry Ridings and Lazard was hired on Sunday night, one of the functions that 11 they undertook was to try to understand what 12 securities were being sold and try to understand 13 the value of those securities and also try to 14 understand what those securities might be valued 15 at -- and this was obviously going to be speculative -- if Lehman was not purchased and 17 18 these securities were going to have to be 19 liquidated in any way.

And so one of the things that I think 21 we talked to Martin about was organizing that effort, finding who were the right people and all, that these were obviously different pockets of kinds of securities. I didn't know most of 25 the people in Fixed Income. He was around some TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 140

M. Shapiro he would have said.

Q. And do you remember any conversations with Martin concerning how those securities were being valued?

A. No. Not specifically, no.

О. Are you aware --

8 A. No, I don't remember specifically that 9 I had such conversations.

Q. Did you ever have any conversations with Martin about valuing those securities on any basis other than Lehman's marks?

A. No. No.

O. Did you ever have any conversations with anyone concerning whether or not the value of those securities had been discounted off of Lehman's marks in the sale to Barclays?

A. No, no discussion that I ever heard 18 19 about a discount.

O. Are you, as you sit here today, are you aware of whether a discount was given to Barclays in the sale of those securities?

22 A. I'm not aware that there was a 23 24 discount. The only, again, the only awareness of the difference between the marks that were on TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 139

M. Shapiro

of this stuff, so I remember he was helping organize some of that. He was clearly someone 3 4 who was around some of these discussions on the 5 securities.

6 I wouldn't say I had extensive, like, 7 you know, like extensive discussions with him 8 around this stuff, so I do remember coming into 9 contact with him, you know, regularly over the course of a 24-hour period, let's say, but he 10 was really spending a lot of time, as I could 11 see it, at least, with where Bart was asking him 12 13 to get certain information for Bart, maybe Ian 14 as well, and so he was around the financial side 15 of these securities in terms of information 16 flow.

Could you tell what the difference in 0. role, if any, was between Ian and Martin in connection with that effort?

A. I couldn't tell you, no.

Q. Were you ever present when Martin was 21 22 speaking to Bart about the securities that were 23 being considered for sale?

24 A. I probably was, but I don't really 25 have any specific recollection of exactly what TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 141

M. Shapiro

2 the securities that were (A) first described in the contract and then ultimately were in the 3 repo book, which was reflected in the -- I think the testimony that Bart gave at the hearing as well as Lori Fife's description to the court, 6 was that there was a differential between the 7 marked value of the securities that were to be 8 9 transferred and the liabilities associated with 10 those securities that were assumed by Barclays, where there was let's call it somewhere between 11 a one and a half and two billion dollar 12 differential which everybody was aware of. 13

How that was ultimately determined might have been, you know, that was obviously something that was -- was not something that I was privy to.

Q. Was your understanding that was the term of the -- the deal terms of the transaction?

A. Yeah, as described both in the court and in the contract, correct.

MR. CARDEN: Let's go off the record for a second.

(Recess; Time Noted: 12:08 P.M.) TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

18

19

21

22

23

24

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

10

12

13

14

15

16

17

20

25

Highly Confidential

Page 142

M. Shapiro

(Time Noted: 12:22 P.M.)

3 BY MR. CARDEN:

1 2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

19

20

25

O. You testified previously that on, maybe it was Thursday evening or at some point later in the week, that you thought that there was some concern about Barclays going through with the deal, okay? I don't know what language vou used. Tell me what the -- was there anything that Barclays said to anyone at Lehman that caused that concern, or was it just a general anxiety about wanting to get something done?

13 14 A. No, it was really a comment that was 15 made to me by Jim Seery, who said something like 16 there's a big, I think his words were "shit show" going on between JPMorgan and Barclays 17 18 around the repo and that, you know, JPMorgan was screwing around doing something that Jim 20 characterized, as I said, as something that was 21 not positive, and that, you know, that was 22 putting the deal potentially at risk. That was, 23 I would say, the nature of what concerned me.

> Q. I take it that that was related in TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 143

M. Shapiro

some way to what assets were going to be available to sell to Barclays?

A. No.

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

A. No, as I understand it, at the time it was really related to this repo that had been taken over and that, you know, not digressing too much, JPMorgan, you know, in my mind, was a bad actor in the entire situation. And so 11 ranging from the fact that they pulled a lot of 12 liquidity out of the firm before we filed to 13 entering into an agreement to be able to set 14 things off shortly before the filing took place 15 to then, you know, as Jim explained it to me at the time, kind of changing the mix of what was 17 in the repo that Barclays was basically being asked to take over by the Fed.

So, as far as I knew at that point in 20 time, in the week, you know, as of Tuesday when we signed the contract and once we went to court, the contract says what it says in terms of the mix of securities and the repo securities, if you want to call them those, had not been -- that was not dealt with until TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 144

M. Shapiro

Friday, as I understood it.

24 So that was it.

So this was happening really more like Wednesday-ish when this whole repo thing came up, and my understanding was that that could put, you know, a chink in the deal because Barclays was concerned about what was going on. That's -- and that was the nature of the concern that I had, just that particular issue.

Q. Did Jim Seery have something to do with the repo? Was it part of his responsibility?

A. He knew about stuff. I don't know what he actually had to do with it. He was in the loop on certain things, but I don't know what he actually had to do with it.

O. Did you ever have any conversations with anyone at Lehman as to what collateral was in the repo?

A. In the JPMorgan repo?

Q. No, I'm sorry, in the repo taken over 21 22 by Barclays?

23 A. No. Not specifically, no.

24 Q. Generally?

> A. When I say "specifically," I mean, I TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 145

M. Shapiro

knew there was a repo. I didn't know what securities were in it. So if that's the nature of your question, the answer is no.

O. Did vou ever develop an understanding as to how Barclays taking over the repo changed in any way the terms of the transaction memorialized in the APA?

A. Well, I would say my understanding was, at the time, that we had this description in the APA of this call it 70 billion and 69 billion of assets/liabilities which related to some pool of securities that were categorized in that schedule that we went through before, Schedule -- that was referenced in --

Q. Exhibit 19 --

A. Exhibit 19.

Q. -- the balance sheet? 18

19 A. Exactly.

Q. Initialed by Steve?

21 A. Right, initialed by Steve.

22 And then, over the course of the week 23 it became more difficult for everybody both on the Lehman side and on the Barclays side to 24

ensure that those securities were actually

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

24

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Highly Confidential

Page 146

M. Shapiro saleable because of the amount of securities

that had been blown out, had been sold, had been

4 bought, the change in the values. Everything 5 was happening, you know, in a way that did not

6 lend itself to a very clear understanding,

1

2

3

9

25

1

3

4

5

6

7

8

12

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

7 probably on both sides at that point as to 8 exactly what was going to be conveyed.

So I think there was some comfort 10 taken that there was a known amount of securities and loan as a result of the repo, 11 12 right? So you had a repo, you had a defined group of securities that existed in the repo 13 that was, up until JPMorgan maybe played around

with it, I don't know exactly what happened, by 16 Friday presumably -- and again, I was not privy

17 to this so I'm just surmising based on my

18 recollection -- that the principle was that this

19 was a group of securities, the universe of which

20 was identifiable, the liabilities associated

with them obviously was identifiable because

22 that was the repo loan, and therefore, you could

23 do a deal on that basis where there was clarity 24 around exactly what was being taken over.

That was my understanding of why the

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 147

M. Shapiro

deal ultimately got modified on Friday, and then that was obviously explained in court and evidenced by, ultimately, that clarification letter that got entered into.

Q. Did you ever speak to Bart McDade about that change?

A. I don't remember.

Q. Going back just a moment to the hearing on Wednesday, were you in court that day?

A. Yes, I was sitting in the audience.

Did you help prepare Bart for that 0. hearing?

15 A. I did -- I wouldn't call it I helped prepare him. He actually was with the Weil folks who actually prepared him. I think I said 17 18 I think I was in his office a couple of hours 19 before we went down. I said, "You ever been in 20 bankruptcy court before?" And he said no, and I said, "Well, let me just give you -- I'll just 21 22 tell you kind of what it's like." 23

I gave him a few minutes of advice just generally about like what it is and then, you know, how his testimony was probably going TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 148

M. Shapiro

to get proffered, but he still could end up getting called as a witness, and he -- I don't think he was particularly looking forward to it, but he, you know, he was going to do it.

Q. Okay. And did you help prepare him on Friday before the hearing on Friday?

A. Not really. I was in his office 9 before we all went down, a couple of hours before we all went down, but really the Weil 10 folks were going to prepare him. 11

Q. Let me show you again what has been 13 marked as Exhibit 19 and draw your attention to the cure amount about which you testified 14 15 previously --

A. Yes.

Q. - reflected on this schedule as being, as I read it, 2.25 billion. Do you see that?

A. Yeah, I do see that.

Q. Do you recall that number ever changing in the course of the week?

23 A. I don't remember -- I don't really 24 remember how that 2.25 got in there. I personally have a better recollection of a \$1.5 TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 149

M. Shapiro

billion number that we were using for -- and obviously it was an estimate, right?

day, as I explained to you earlier, we were trying to do our best at the time to provide Barclays, principally, with a perspective on what it might cost them to take over all of the contracts that could be subject to the assumption of the assignment. And so, as I said earlier, we were trying to come up with an estimate of what that number was.

My recollection was we -- I used, in

So these were all, at the end of the

my own head, a billion-five, and the reason I 14 15 remember that is that when we were negotiating the breakup fee, we were -- I was thinking 16 about -- and I had negotiated the breakup fee in 17 the transaction relating to the stalking horse 18 bid -- I remember thinking, okay, we can do 3, 19 typically 3 percent of the deal. Barclays asked 20

21 for a lot more going in. They asked -- I think Victor Lewkow told me something like \$250

23 million or something like that. It was big

24 number. And I told him we weren't going to do

that, that in my mind that, you know, there 25

4

5

6

7

8

9

18

19

20

21

22

1

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12 13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Highly Confidential

Page 150

M. Shapiro

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

14

15

17 18

19

20

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

16

17

was -- that that could be perceived as, you know, chilling the bidding. I didn't want any perception of anybody feeling that they couldn't make a bid.

Obviously, you know, I said that 3

percent was a normal -- he was not a bankruptcy lawyer, by the way. So I was -- I wouldn't say I was educating him because he obviously was a smart guy, but I was explaining to him in my experience what an acceptable number would be from the court's perspective, and at the time my recollection is we were looking at \$250 million for goodwill, it was around a million to a million-450 for the buildings, which ultimately, you know, that was our estimate, we didn't have our desktop appraisals yet --

MR. STERN: Billion.

A. Billion, yeah, sorry.

1.45 billion. That was a

21 billion-seven. We added this estimate for cure

costs of a billion and a half, that got us to

23 3.2 billion, and we told them that the \$100

million breakup fee would be approximately 3

25 percent and that's what we would be willing to TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 151

M. Shapiro

do, plus some expense reimbursement, I think maybe 25 million.

Q. And that's in your negotiation with Barclays?

A. That's in my negotiation Cleary on behalf of Barclays, correct.

Q. Right?

A. And so in using the number -- I 10 remember that the billion-five was a number we used from a cure standpoint, and that was how we 11 12 got as part of the arrival of what, you know, 13 what a \$100 million number would be, you know, 14 viewed as. And so at the time that seemed, you 15 know, as a fair number given the kind of transaction we were with entering into and not, 16 not unusual in size in a large transaction. 17

You know, I worked on the Time Warner transaction. We advised Time Warner around Adelphia and we had something like 2 percent of an 18 to 20 billion dollar transaction. So this was not nearly as large on the scale of things.

23 So \$100 million felt like we could 24 pass muster with the court, with the committee, 25 felt like a fair number, and I remember

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 152

M. Shapiro

negotiating that very -- in a very difficult conversation with Victor where he was, you know, telling me it couldn't be that low and had to be higher, and I basically told him we weren't

going to do that.

Q. When was this conversation?

A. In the middle of the night on the 15th/16th.

O. Did he explain why he thought it was important for that number to be so much higher?

A. Yeah. Buyers always want higher 13 numbers because it keeps -- it gives them a natural advantage over any other bidder, of 15 course.

Q. Was there anyone else they thought might come in and bid?

A. I don't know what was in their minds, 18 19 but --

20 Q. What was in your mind? Did you think 21 someone else could come in?

22 A. I didn't think that was likely. I 23 thought that, you know, and I think this is part of my discussion with him, I said that, you

25 know, that the firm had been shopped all summer TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 153

M. Shapiro

and we didn't have a buyer. Obviously, Bank of America, who was the other bidder, had just announced their deal with Merrill Lynch so they were out of the picture.

The world felt like it was in a state of virtual collapse. AIG was going down at the same time as we were, or potentially going down, I should say, since they got rescued, but we didn't. And I told him that I didn't, you know, as far as I was concerned, I didn't see, you know, I said, kind of look around you. Do you see any other people doing a deal with someone else? I mean, you're here, we're here. I think that we need to, most importantly, put, you know, a number on the table for the court that's a fair number that would still provide you compensation if you did get topped, but not some number that would not be acceptable to people.

Q. Now, in that conversation on late Monday night or early Tuesday morning, you say you used a number for cure of 1.5?

A. Yes. My recollection for the purposes of calculating this \$100 million.

Q. I understand. Do you have any TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

3

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

20

21

1

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

24

25

Highly Confidential

Page 154

M. Shapiro

2

3

5

6

7

8

10

11

1

2

11

13

15

16

17

18

recollection where you got that number and why it's different than number that's in Exhibit 19?

A. The only recollection I have is that, as I said, I had asked people to go back and get me a proxy for what that month, you know, call it snapshot of a monthly payables number would be off of let's call them trade payables, which would really cover contracts that you're paying under, excluding employee liabilities and things like that.

12 Somebody probably in my team who was 13 tasked with that -- it could have been George 14 Mack, it could have been somebody else, Dan 15 Flores -- I'm sure came back to me and said, I'm

- 16 being told that rough cut around a billion-five, but it was a true estimate, we never told 17
- 18 Barclays it was anything other than an estimate,
- 19 and we told them it could be higher, it could be
- 20 lower. And it was, at the end of the day, it
- 21 was always going to be based on what contracts
- they ultimately assumed. So, you know, which we
- 23 didn't have any clear view on other than the
- 24 fact that we thought they were going to need a 25
- good chunk of the contracts to operate the firm. TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 156

M. Shapiro

3 One is that sometimes the estate can be asked to 4 pick them up as part of the assumption 5 assignment, which I didn't want to have happen, and two is that, to the extent that they were --7 Barclays was going to take contracts, that was 8 eliminating claims from the estate which

of picking up cure payments for two reasons:

9 otherwise would have arisen under those 10 contracts being rejected.

So there's a good reason why. And 12 certainly I remember Mr. Despains, Luc Despains, at the hearing argued about whether or not that was appropriate to include as part of the, let's call it -- but it's not uncommon, and I think people in the profession would agree that it's not uncommon for designated assumed liabilities to be included as part of the purchase price.

19 It was my judgment that the comp pool, 20 because it was solely for employees, because an 21 argument certainly would have been made probably that, you know, employees -- that Lehman's 23 obligation to pay employees, you know, was that

a legal obligation that, you know, a lot of it

was bonus or severance that who knows whether it

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 155

M. Shapiro

- Q. Just so I'm clear, I understand what the number was and where you got it and the like, but do you have any explanation for why it's different -- the 1.5 you used is different than the 2.25 in Exhibit 19?
- A. No, I don't know why they're different, no.
- Q. Now, when you did your -- when you had your conversation with the Cleary gentleman, Mr. Lewkow, did you include in the calculation -strike that.

When you had your conversation with Victor Lewkow about the breakup fee, you did not include the comp number for purposes of calculating the breakup fee, did you?

A. No. I didn't.

Q. And why is that?

A. I guess it was a judgment call on my part, but an argument certainly could have been made, if I had included it as part of it, that

22 those were funds that were going solely to 23

employees as opposed to, when you think of a 24 cure payment, that's clearly going -- that's,

you know, there's a clear benefit to the estate

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 157

M. Shapiro

ultimately would have been permitted to be paid if it had actually been argued.

So rather than getting into that argument, I'm a reasonably practical person, in my judgment and experience of 20 years of doing this, it was less complicated to leave it out. And all it would have done was add to the number, which I wasn't trying to do. I was 10 trying to keep it to a number that I felt was a 11 reasonable number that people could find 12 acceptable.

O. And I take it Mr. Lewkow didn't add it in to get to a bigger number either? He didn't try to add it in?

A. No. No. He just wanted a bigger number per se, truthfully. He didn't really care how I was calculating it. He just wanted a bigger number.

And we had a pretty vociferous argument in the middle of the night, and I just remember pushing back very hard on him and 23 basically telling him that he should be focused on getting the deal done as opposed to worrying about a topping bid.

| | Highly Confidential | | Highly Confidential |
|--|--|--|---|
| | Page 158 | SHOOM THE SHOOM | Page 159 |
| 1 | M. Shapiro | 1 | M. Shapiro |
| 2 | (Exhibit 57A, a document bearing Bates | 2 | Q. You have no recollection of having |
| 3 | Nos. 10296233 through 10299664, marked for | 3 | seen it before? |
| 4 | identification, as of this date.) | 4 | A. None. |
| 5 | Q. Show you what has been marked as | 5 | Q. Do you know for a fact that you |
| 6 | Exhibit 57A, Mr. Shapiro. I will tell you that | 6 | didn't? |
| 7 8 | the top e-mail sliver doesn't have your name, but if you look right below, there's one below | 7 8 | A. I don't know for a fact that I didn't, |
| 9 | it that does. | 9 | but I don't have any recollection of it, and I don't know who Julie Barboza is. |
| 10 | A. Okay. | 10 | Q. Okay. |
| 11 | Q. And it's my earnest and heartfelt | 11 | A. I suspect, just, you know, by way of |
| 12 | belief that the document that is page 2 is what | 12 | background, that I was copied on a lot of things |
| 13 | was attached to this e-mail. | 13 | I think during those two days because people |
| 14 | A. Okay. | 14 | thought I was one of the key people around it, |
| 15 | Q. But I could be wrong. | 15 | and I was not able to read my e-mails, as you |
| 16 | A. Okay. | 16 | can imagine, every minute of the day going |
| 17 18 | Q. So let me ask you this way: Have you ever seen Exhibit 57A before? | 17 18 | through a 48-hour negotiation. |
| 19 | MR. STERN: I'll just note that the | 19 | So is it possible that I saw it? It's possible. I don't remember it and I don't know |
| 20 | Bates numbers are not sequential. I'll just | 20 | who she is. |
| 21 | note that for the record. | 21 | Q. Okay. I take it, since you don't |
| 22 | Q. Have you ever seen page 2 of Exhibit | 22 | recall having seen it, that you can't explain |
| 23 | 57A? | 23 | the way this document's set up in terms of its |
| 24 | A. Only in preparation for this | 24 | numbers. It goes from 1, 4, 7 and 5? |
| 25 | deposition. | 25 | A. No idea. |
| | TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 | | TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 |
| | | | |
| | Highly Confidential | | Highly Confidential |
| 1 | Page 160 | *************************************** | Page 161 |
| 1 2 | Page 160 M. Shapiro | 1 2 | Page 161 M. Shapiro |
| 2 | Page 160 M. Shapiro Q. There's nothing in your experience | 123 | Page 161 M. Shapiro A. Okay. I have never read it. I |
| | Page 160 M. Shapiro | 2 | Page 161 M. Shapiro |
| 2 | M. Shapiro Q. There's nothing in your experience that helps illuminate why that would be the case? A. I have no idea, no. Nothing in my | 2 3 4 5 | M. Shapiro A. Okay. I have never read it. I presume Results Announcement is their equivalent of an annual report. Q. I can only offering you the following |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | M. Shapiro Q. There's nothing in your experience that helps illuminate why that would be the case? A. I have no idea, no. Nothing in my experience, other than that she doesn't know how | 2 3 4 5 6 | M. Shapiro A. Okay. I have never read it. I presume Results Announcement is their equivalent of an annual report. Q. I can only offering you the following modest benefit. I didn't write it and so it is |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | M. Shapiro Q. There's nothing in your experience that helps illuminate why that would be the case? A. I have no idea, no. Nothing in my experience, other than that she doesn't know how to number. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | M. Shapiro A. Okay. I have never read it. I presume Results Announcement is their equivalent of an annual report. Q. I can only offering you the following modest benefit. I didn't write it and so it is what it — it is what it is based on the review |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | M. Shapiro Q. There's nothing in your experience that helps illuminate why that would be the case? A. I have no idea, no. Nothing in my experience, other than that she doesn't know how to number. Q. Well, if you haven't seen this, no | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | M. Shapiro A. Okay. I have never read it. I presume Results Announcement is their equivalent of an annual report. Q. I can only offering you the following modest benefit. I didn't write it and so it is what it — it is what it is based on the review of the document. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | M. Shapiro Q. There's nothing in your experience that helps illuminate why that would be the case? A. I have no idea, no. Nothing in my experience, other than that she doesn't know how to number. Q. Well, if you haven't seen this, no sense pushing it around. Okay. Thank you for | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | M. Shapiro A. Okay. I have never read it. I presume Results Announcement is their equivalent of an annual report. Q. I can only offering you the following modest benefit. I didn't write it and so it is what it — it is what it is based on the review of the document. A. Okay. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | M. Shapiro Q. There's nothing in your experience that helps illuminate why that would be the case? A. I have no idea, no. Nothing in my experience, other than that she doesn't know how to number. Q. Well, if you haven't seen this, no | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | M. Shapiro A. Okay. I have never read it. I presume Results Announcement is their equivalent of an annual report. Q. I can only offering you the following modest benefit. I didn't write it and so it is what it — it is what it is based on the review of the document. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | M. Shapiro Q. There's nothing in your experience that helps illuminate why that would be the case? A. I have no idea, no. Nothing in my experience, other than that she doesn't know how to number. Q. Well, if you haven't seen this, no sense pushing it around. Okay. Thank you for that. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | M. Shapiro A. Okay. I have never read it. I presume Results Announcement is their equivalent of an annual report. Q. I can only offering you the following modest benefit. I didn't write it and so it is what it — it is what it is based on the review of the document. A. Okay. Q. But right now I'm only directing your attention to page 95 of Exhibit 22? A. Okay. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | M. Shapiro Q. There's nothing in your experience that helps illuminate why that would be the case? A. I have no idea, no. Nothing in my experience, other than that she doesn't know how to number. Q. Well, if you haven't seen this, no sense pushing it around. Okay. Thank you for that. I show you what has been marked Exhibit 22, Mr. Shapiro. Have you ever seen this document before? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | M. Shapiro A. Okay. I have never read it. I presume Results Announcement is their equivalent of an annual report. Q. I can only offering you the following modest benefit. I didn't write it and so it is what it — it is what it is based on the review of the document. A. Okay. Q. But right now I'm only directing your attention to page 95 of Exhibit 22? A. Okay. Q. You've already told me you have not it |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | M. Shapiro Q. There's nothing in your experience that helps illuminate why that would be the case? A. I have no idea, no. Nothing in my experience, other than that she doesn't know how to number. Q. Well, if you haven't seen this, no sense pushing it around. Okay. Thank you for that. I show you what has been marked Exhibit 22, Mr. Shapiro. Have you ever seen this document before? A. No. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | M. Shapiro A. Okay. I have never read it. I presume Results Announcement is their equivalent of an annual report. Q. I can only offering you the following modest benefit. I didn't write it and so it is what it — it is what it is based on the review of the document. A. Okay. Q. But right now I'm only directing your attention to page 95 of Exhibit 22? A. Okay. Q. You've already told me you have not it before, right? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | M. Shapiro Q. There's nothing in your experience that helps illuminate why that would be the case? A. I have no idea, no. Nothing in my experience, other than that she doesn't know how to number. Q. Well, if you haven't seen this, no sense pushing it around. Okay. Thank you for that. I show you what has been marked Exhibit 22, Mr. Shapiro. Have you ever seen this document before? A. No. Q. I draw your attention to page 95 under | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 3 14 15 | M. Shapiro A. Okay. I have never read it. I presume Results Announcement is their equivalent of an annual report. Q. I can only offering you the following modest benefit. I didn't write it and so it is what it — it is what it is based on the review of the document. A. Okay. Q. But right now I'm only directing your attention to page 95 of Exhibit 22? A. Okay. Q. You've already told me you have not it before, right? A. No, I have not seen this. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | M. Shapiro Q. There's nothing in your experience that helps illuminate why that would be the case? A. I have no idea, no. Nothing in my experience, other than that she doesn't know how to number. Q. Well, if you haven't seen this, no sense pushing it around. Okay. Thank you for that. I show you what has been marked Exhibit 22, Mr. Shapiro. Have you ever seen this document before? A. No. Q. I draw your attention to page 95 under the — | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 16 | M. Shapiro A. Okay. I have never read it. I presume Results Announcement is their equivalent of an annual report. Q. I can only offering you the following modest benefit. I didn't write it and so it is what it it is what it is based on the review of the document. A. Okay. Q. But right now I'm only directing your attention to page 95 of Exhibit 22? A. Okay. Q. You've already told me you have not it before, right? A. No, I have not seen this. Q. I want to draw your attention to the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | M. Shapiro Q. There's nothing in your experience that helps illuminate why that would be the case? A. I have no idea, no. Nothing in my experience, other than that she doesn't know how to number. Q. Well, if you haven't seen this, no sense pushing it around. Okay. Thank you for that. I show you what has been marked Exhibit 22, Mr. Shapiro. Have you ever seen this document before? A. No. Q. I draw your attention to page 95 under the — A. I just want to see what this document | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 3 14 15 | M. Shapiro A. Okay. I have never read it. I presume Results Announcement is their equivalent of an annual report. Q. I can only offering you the following modest benefit. I didn't write it and so it is what it it is what it is based on the review of the document. A. Okay. Q. But right now I'm only directing your attention to page 95 of Exhibit 22? A. Okay. Q. You've already told me you have not it before, right? A. No, I have not seen this. Q. I want to draw your attention to the penultimate paragraph on that page, which is a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | M. Shapiro Q. There's nothing in your experience that helps illuminate why that would be the case? A. I have no idea, no. Nothing in my experience, other than that she doesn't know how to number. Q. Well, if you haven't seen this, no sense pushing it around. Okay. Thank you for that. I show you what has been marked Exhibit 22, Mr. Shapiro. Have you ever seen this document before? A. No. Q. I draw your attention to page 95 under the A. I just want to see what this document actually is. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | M. Shapiro A. Okay. I have never read it. I presume Results Announcement is their equivalent of an annual report. Q. I can only offering you the following modest benefit. I didn't write it and so it is what it it is what it is based on the review of the document. A. Okay. Q. But right now I'm only directing your attention to page 95 of Exhibit 22? A. Okay. Q. You've already told me you have not it before, right? A. No, I have not seen this. Q. I want to draw your attention to the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | M. Shapiro Q. There's nothing in your experience that helps illuminate why that would be the case? A. I have no idea, no. Nothing in my experience, other than that she doesn't know how to number. Q. Well, if you haven't seen this, no sense pushing it around. Okay. Thank you for that. I show you what has been marked Exhibit 22, Mr. Shapiro. Have you ever seen this document before? A. No. Q. I draw your attention to page 95 under the — A. I just want to see what this document | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | M. Shapiro A. Okay. I have never read it. I presume Results Announcement is their equivalent of an annual report. Q. I can only offering you the following modest benefit. I didn't write it and so it is what it — it is what it is based on the review of the document. A. Okay. Q. But right now I'm only directing your attention to page 95 of Exhibit 22? A. Okay. Q. You've already told me you have not it before, right? A. No, I have not seen this. Q. I want to draw your attention to the penultimate paragraph on that page, which is a one-line paragraph. "The excess of the fair |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | M. Shapiro Q. There's nothing in your experience that helps illuminate why that would be the case? A. I have no idea, no. Nothing in my experience, other than that she doesn't know how to number. Q. Well, if you haven't seen this, no sense pushing it around. Okay. Thank you for that. I show you what has been marked Exhibit 22, Mr. Shapiro. Have you ever seen this document before? A. No. Q. I draw your attention to page 95 under the— A. I just want to see what this document actually is. Q. Take your time. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | M. Shapiro A. Okay. I have never read it. I presume Results Announcement is their equivalent of an annual report. Q. I can only offering you the following modest benefit. I didn't write it and so it is what it — it is what it is based on the review of the document. A. Okay. Q. But right now I'm only directing your attention to page 95 of Exhibit 22? A. Okay. Q. You've already told me you have not it before, right? A. No, I have not seen this. Q. I want to draw your attention to the penultimate paragraph on that page, which is a one-line paragraph. "The excess of the fair value of net assets acquired over consideration paid resulted in 2,262,000 of gains on acquisition." See that? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | M. Shapiro Q. There's nothing in your experience that helps illuminate why that would be the case? A. I have no idea, no. Nothing in my experience, other than that she doesn't know how to number. Q. Well, if you haven't seen this, no sense pushing it around. Okay. Thank you for that. I show you what has been marked Exhibit 22, Mr. Shapiro. Have you ever seen this document before? A. No. Q. I draw your attention to page 95 under the A. I just want to see what this document actually is. Q. Take your time. A. I presume that this is the annual report as published by Barclays. Q. I have no more information than you do | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | M. Shapiro A. Okay. I have never read it. I presume Results Announcement is their equivalent of an annual report. Q. I can only offering you the following modest benefit. I didn't write it and so it is what it — it is what it is based on the review of the document. A. Okay. Q. But right now I'm only directing your attention to page 95 of Exhibit 22? A. Okay. Q. You've already told me you have not it before, right? A. No, I have not seen this. Q. I want to draw your attention to the penultimate paragraph on that page, which is a one-line paragraph. "The excess of the fair value of net assets acquired over consideration paid resulted in 2,262,000 of gains on acquisition." See that? A. I do see the sentence, correct. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | M. Shapiro Q. There's nothing in your experience that helps illuminate why that would be the case? A. I have no idea, no. Nothing in my experience, other than that she doesn't know how to number. Q. Well, if you haven't seen this, no sense pushing it around. Okay. Thank you for that. I show you what has been marked Exhibit 22, Mr. Shapiro. Have you ever seen this document before? A. No. Q. I draw your attention to page 95 under the — A. I just want to see what this document actually is. Q. Take your time. A. I presume that this is the annual report as published by Barclays. Q. I have no more information than you do in looking at the document — | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | M. Shapiro A. Okay. I have never read it. I presume Results Announcement is their equivalent of an annual report. Q. I can only offering you the following modest benefit. I didn't write it and so it is what it — it is what it is based on the review of the document. A. Okay. Q. But right now I'm only directing your attention to page 95 of Exhibit 22? A. Okay. Q. You've already told me you have not it before, right? A. No, I have not seen this. Q. I want to draw your attention to the penultimate paragraph on that page, which is a one-line paragraph. "The excess of the fair value of net assets acquired over consideration paid resulted in 2,262,000 of gains on acquisition." See that? A. I do see the sentence, correct. MR. STERN: I think you should read |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | M. Shapiro Q. There's nothing in your experience that helps illuminate why that would be the case? A. I have no idea, no. Nothing in my experience, other than that she doesn't know how to number. Q. Well, if you haven't seen this, no sense pushing it around. Okay. Thank you for that. I show you what has been marked Exhibit 22, Mr. Shapiro. Have you ever seen this document before? A. No. Q. I draw your attention to page 95 under the — A. I just want to see what this document actually is. Q. Take your time. A. I presume that this is the annual report as published by Barclays. Q. I have no more information than you do in looking at the document — A. Okay. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | M. Shapiro A. Okay. I have never read it. I presume Results Announcement is their equivalent of an annual report. Q. I can only offering you the following modest benefit. I didn't write it and so it is what it — it is what it is based on the review of the document. A. Okay. Q. But right now I'm only directing your attention to page 95 of Exhibit 22? A. Okay. Q. You've already told me you have not it before, right? A. No, I have not seen this. Q. I want to draw your attention to the penultimate paragraph on that page, which is a one-line paragraph. "The excess of the fair value of net assets acquired over consideration paid resulted in 2,262,000 of gains on acquisition." See that? A. I do see the sentence, correct. MR. STERN: I think you should read the whole section. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | M. Shapiro Q. There's nothing in your experience that helps illuminate why that would be the case? A. I have no idea, no. Nothing in my experience, other than that she doesn't know how to number. Q. Well, if you haven't seen this, no sense pushing it around. Okay. Thank you for that. I show you what has been marked Exhibit 22, Mr. Shapiro. Have you ever seen this document before? A. No. Q. I draw your attention to page 95 under the — A. I just want to see what this document actually is. Q. Take your time. A. I presume that this is the annual report as published by Barclays. Q. I have no more information than you do in looking at the document — | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | M. Shapiro A. Okay. I have never read it. I presume Results Announcement is their equivalent of an annual report. Q. I can only offering you the following modest benefit. I didn't write it and so it is what it — it is what it is based on the review of the document. A. Okay. Q. But right now I'm only directing your attention to page 95 of Exhibit 22? A. Okay. Q. You've already told me you have not it before, right? A. No, I have not seen this. Q. I want to draw your attention to the penultimate paragraph on that page, which is a one-line paragraph. "The excess of the fair value of net assets acquired over consideration paid resulted in 2,262,000 of gains on acquisition." See that? A. I do see the sentence, correct. MR. STERN: I think you should read |

| | | 3 | |
|--|---|--|--|
| | Highly Confidential | ************************************** | Highly Confidential |
| l | Page 162 | | Page 163 |
| 1 | | 1 management 1 | |
| 1 2 | M. Shapiro | 1 | M. Shapiro |
| 3 | think Jack is absolutely correct about that. | 2 | Do you see the paragraph that states |
| 4 | Q. Why don't you just take a moment and read this section under "Acquisitions." | 4 | that Barclays realized a 2.262 billion pound |
| 5 | A. Okay. | 5 | gain on the Lehman/Barclays transaction? A. I do see the sentence that says that. |
| 6 | Q. Okay. I may have misspoken before, | 6 | Q. Based upon your involvement in the |
| 7 | but let me just rephrase the question. | 7 | negotiation between Lehman Brothers and |
| 8 | Directing your attention to the | 8 | Barclays, is that consistent with what you |
| 9 | penultimate paragraph that says, "The excess of | 9 | understand to be the transaction? |
| 10 | the fair value of net assets acquired over | 10 | A. I have no idea how this relates to the |
| 11 | consideration paid resulted in a 2,262 million | 11 | transaction. |
| 12 | pound million pounds of gains on | 12 | MR. CARDEN: Okay. I'm going to |
| 13 | acquisition." Do you see that? | 13 | take I'm going to stand down to my |
| 14 | A. Yes, I do see that. | 14 | colleagues now. |
| 15 | Q. Okay. Let's say it simpler because | 15 | (Discussion off the record.) |
| 16 | it's better to say billion. It's easier to say | 16 | (Luncheon recess; Time Noted: 12:48 |
| 17 | billion. | 17 | P.M.) |
| 18 | A. I understand what you're saying. | 18 | |
| 19 | Q. It's 2,262 million of pounds, which is | 19 | |
| 20 | awkward. So you see the paragraph that states | 20 | |
| 21 22 | that Barclays realized a gain on the | 21 22 | |
| 23 | Lehman/Barclays transaction of \$1.262 billion, | 23 | |
| 24 | do you see that, sir? A. I do see that, yes. | 24 | |
| 25 | Q. Pounds. My mistake. I'm sorry. | 25 | |
| | TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 | | TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 |
| | mananamanamanamanamanamanamanamanamanam | | TSG Reporting Worldwide 077 702 9300 |
| 1 | | 1 | The state of the s |
| | Highly Confidential | | Highly Confidential |
| | Highly Confidential Page 164 | | Highly Confidential Page 165 |
| 1 | Page 164 | 1 | Page 165 |
| 1 2 | | 1 2 | • • |
| 1 | Page 164 M. Shapiro | 8 | Page 165 M. Shapiro |
| 2 3 4 | M. Shapiro AFTERNOON SESSION (Time Noted: 1:27 P.M.) MARK J. SHAPIRO, resumed and | 2 | Page 165 M. Shapiro A. No, I was my first cousin's daughter was getting bat mitzvahed in San Francisco and I had promised her I would be |
| 2 3 4 5 | M. Shapiro AFTERNOON SESSION (Time Noted: 1:27 P.M.) MARK J. SHAPIRO, resumed and testified further as follows: | 2 3 4 5 | M. Shapiro A. No, I was my first cousin's daughter was getting bat mitzvahed in San Francisco and I had promised her I would be there and so I the hearing ended at 1 A.M. I |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | M. Shapiro AFTERNOON SESSION (Time Noted: 1:27 P.M.) MARK J. SHAPIRO, resumed and testified further as follows: EXAMINATION BY (Cont'd.) | 23456 | M. Shapiro A. No, I was my first cousin's daughter was getting bat mitzvahed in San Francisco and I had promised her I would be there and so I the hearing ended at 1 A.M. I got home in to Connecticut about 2:30, showered, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | M. Shapiro AFTERNOON SESSION (Time Noted: 1:27 P.M.) MARK J. SHAPIRO, resumed and testified further as follows: EXAMINATION BY (Cont'd.) MR. CARDEN: | 234567 | M. Shapiro A. No, I was my first cousin's daughter was getting bat mitzvahed in San Francisco and I had promised her I would be there and so I the hearing ended at 1 A.M. I got home in to Connecticut about 2:30, showered, shaved, took about a 15-minute nap, and then got |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | M. Shapiro AFTERNOON SESSION (Time Noted: 1:27 P.M.) MARK J. SHAPIRO, resumed and testified further as follows: EXAMINATION BY (Cont'd.) MR. CARDEN: Q. I just have what I think will be a | 2345678 | M. Shapiro A. No, I was my first cousin's daughter was getting bat mitzvahed in San Francisco and I had promised her I would be there and so I the hearing ended at 1 A.M. I got home in to Connecticut about 2:30, showered, shaved, took about a 15-minute nap, and then got in my car and drove to JFK and got on the 6 A.M. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | M. Shapiro AFTERNOON SESSION (Time Noted: 1:27 P.M.) MARK J. SHAPIRO, resumed and testified further as follows: EXAMINATION BY (Cont'd.) MR. CARDEN: Q. I just have what I think will be a short series of questions, and then I'm going to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | M. Shapiro A. No, I was my first cousin's daughter was getting bat mitzvahed in San Francisco and I had promised her I would be there and so I the hearing ended at 1 A.M. I got home in to Connecticut about 2:30, showered, shaved, took about a 15-minute nap, and then got in my car and drove to JFK and got on the 6 A.M. flight to San Francisco. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | M. Shapiro AFTERNOON SESSION (Time Noted: 1:27 P.M.) MARK J. SHAPIRO, resumed and testified further as follows: EXAMINATION BY (Cont'd.) MR. CARDEN: Q. I just have what I think will be a short series of questions, and then I'm going to pass you off to some of my friends down here at | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | M. Shapiro A. No, I was my first cousin's daughter was getting bat mitzvahed in San Francisco and I had promised her I would be there and so I the hearing ended at 1 A.M. I got home in to Connecticut about 2:30, showered, shaved, took about a 15-minute nap, and then got in my car and drove to JFK and got on the 6 A.M. flight to San Francisco. Q. Somebody should have put you in a car |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | M. Shapiro AFTERNOON SESSION (Time Noted: 1:27 P.M.) MARK J. SHAPIRO, resumed and testified further as follows: EXAMINATION BY (Cont'd.) MR. CARDEN: Q. I just have what I think will be a short series of questions, and then I'm going to pass you off to some of my friends down here at the end of the table. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | M. Shapiro A. No, I was my first cousin's daughter was getting bat mitzvahed in San Francisco and I had promised her I would be there and so I the hearing ended at 1 A.M. I got home in to Connecticut about 2:30, showered, shaved, took about a 15-minute nap, and then got in my car and drove to JFK and got on the 6 A.M. flight to San Francisco. Q. Somebody should have put you in a car instead of having you drive your own. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | M. Shapiro AFTERNOON SESSION (Time Noted: 1:27 P.M.) MARK J. SHAPIRO, resumed and testified further as follows: EXAMINATION BY (Cont'd.) MR. CARDEN: Q. I just have what I think will be a short series of questions, and then I'm going to pass you off to some of my friends down here at | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | M. Shapiro A. No, I was my first cousin's daughter was getting bat mitzvahed in San Francisco and I had promised her I would be there and so I the hearing ended at 1 A.M. I got home in to Connecticut about 2:30, showered, shaved, took about a 15-minute nap, and then got in my car and drove to JFK and got on the 6 A.M. flight to San Francisco. Q. Somebody should have put you in a car |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | M. Shapiro AFTERNOON SESSION (Time Noted: 1:27 P.M.) MARK J. SHAPIRO, resumed and testified further as follows: EXAMINATION BY (Cont'd.) MR. CARDEN: Q. I just have what I think will be a short series of questions, and then I'm going to pass you off to some of my friends down here at the end of the table. You went to the hearing on Friday? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | M. Shapiro A. No, I was my first cousin's daughter was getting bat mitzvahed in San Francisco and I had promised her I would be there and so I the hearing ended at 1 A.M. I got home in to Connecticut about 2:30, showered, shaved, took about a 15-minute nap, and then got in my car and drove to JFK and got on the 6 A.M. flight to San Francisco. Q. Somebody should have put you in a car instead of having you drive your own. When did you come back from |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | M. Shapiro AFTERNOON SESSION (Time Noted: 1:27 P.M.) MARK J. SHAPIRO, resumed and testified further as follows: EXAMINATION BY (Cont'd.) MR. CARDEN: Q. I just have what I think will be a short series of questions, and then I'm going to pass you off to some of my friends down here at the end of the table. You went to the hearing on Friday? A. Correct. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | M. Shapiro A. No, I was my first cousin's daughter was getting bat mitzvahed in San Francisco and I had promised her I would be there and so I the hearing ended at 1 A.M. I got home in to Connecticut about 2:30, showered, shaved, took about a 15-minute nap, and then got in my car and drove to JFK and got on the 6 A.M. flight to San Francisco. Q. Somebody should have put you in a car instead of having you drive your own. When did you come back from California? A. I wasn't sure who I was employed by at that point. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | M. Shapiro AFTERNOON SESSION (Time Noted: 1:27 P.M.) MARK J. SHAPIRO, resumed and testified further as follows: EXAMINATION BY (Cont'd.) MR. CARDEN: Q. I just have what I think will be a short series of questions, and then I'm going to pass you off to some of my friends down here at the end of the table. You went to the hearing on Friday? A. Correct. Q. Which lasted late into the evening? A. Until about 1 A.M. Q. You stayed the entire time? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | M. Shapiro A. No, I was my first cousin's daughter was getting bat mitzvahed in San Francisco and I had promised her I would be there and so I the hearing ended at 1 A.M. I got home in to Connecticut about 2:30, showered, shaved, took about a 15-minute nap, and then got in my car and drove to JFK and got on the 6 A.M. flight to San Francisco. Q. Somebody should have put you in a car instead of having you drive your own. When did you come back from California? A. I wasn't sure who I was employed by at that point. Q. When did come back from California? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | M. Shapiro AFTERNOON SESSION (Time Noted: 1:27 P.M.) MARK J. SHAPIRO, resumed and testified further as follows: EXAMINATION BY (Cont'd.) MR. CARDEN: Q. I just have what I think will be a short series of questions, and then I'm going to pass you off to some of my friends down here at the end of the table. You went to the hearing on Friday? A. Correct. Q. Which lasted late into the evening? A. Until about 1 A.M. Q. You stayed the entire time? A. Correct. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | M. Shapiro A. No, I was my first cousin's daughter was getting bat mitzvahed in San Francisco and I had promised her I would be there and so I the hearing ended at 1 A.M. I got home in to Connecticut about 2:30, showered, shaved, took about a 15-minute nap, and then got in my car and drove to JFK and got on the 6 A.M. flight to San Francisco. Q. Somebody should have put you in a car instead of having you drive your own. When did you come back from California? A. I wasn't sure who I was employed by at that point. Q. When did come back from California? A. Late Sunday night. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | M. Shapiro AFTERNOON SESSION (Time Noted: 1:27 P.M.) MARK J. SHAPIRO, resumed and testified further as follows: EXAMINATION BY (Cont'd.) MR. CARDEN: Q. I just have what I think will be a short series of questions, and then I'm going to pass you off to some of my friends down here at the end of the table. You went to the hearing on Friday? A. Correct. Q. Which lasted late into the evening? A. Until about 1 A.M. Q. You stayed the entire time? A. Correct. Q. Did you report to Lehman on Saturday? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | M. Shapiro A. No, I was my first cousin's daughter was getting bat mitzvahed in San Francisco and I had promised her I would be there and so I the hearing ended at 1 A.M. I got home in to Connecticut about 2:30, showered, shaved, took about a 15-minute nap, and then got in my car and drove to JFK and got on the 6 A.M. flight to San Francisco. Q. Somebody should have put you in a car instead of having you drive your own. When did you come back from California? A. I wasn't sure who I was employed by at that point. Q. When did come back from California? A. Late Sunday night. Q. Arriving Monday morning, or |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | M. Shapiro AFTERNOON SESSION (Time Noted: 1:27 P.M.) MARK J. SHAPIRO, resumed and testified further as follows: EXAMINATION BY (Cont'd.) MR. CARDEN: Q. I just have what I think will be a short series of questions, and then I'm going to pass you off to some of my friends down here at the end of the table. You went to the hearing on Friday? A. Correct. Q. Which lasted late into the evening? A. Until about 1 A.M. Q. You stayed the entire time? A. Correct. Q. Did you report to Lehman on Saturday? A. No, I did not. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | M. Shapiro A. No, I was my first cousin's daughter was getting bat mitzvahed in San Francisco and I had promised her I would be there and so I the hearing ended at 1 A.M. I got home in to Connecticut about 2:30, showered, shaved, took about a 15-minute nap, and then got in my car and drove to JFK and got on the 6 A.M. flight to San Francisco. Q. Somebody should have put you in a car instead of having you drive your own. When did you come back from California? A. I wasn't sure who I was employed by at that point. Q. When did come back from California? A. Late Sunday night. Q. Arriving Monday morning, or arriving |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | M. Shapiro AFTERNOON SESSION (Time Noted: 1:27 P.M.) MARK J. SHAPIRO, resumed and testified further as follows: EXAMINATION BY (Cont'd.) MR. CARDEN: Q. I just have what I think will be a short series of questions, and then I'm going to pass you off to some of my friends down here at the end of the table. You went to the hearing on Friday? A. Correct. Q. Which lasted late into the evening? A. Until about 1 A.M. Q. You stayed the entire time? A. Correct. Q. Did you report to Lehman on Saturday? A. No, I did not. Q. Sunday? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | M. Shapiro A. No, I was my first cousin's daughter was getting bat mitzvahed in San Francisco and I had promised her I would be there and so I the hearing ended at 1 A.M. I got home in to Connecticut about 2:30, showered, shaved, took about a 15-minute nap, and then got in my car and drove to JFK and got on the 6 A.M. flight to San Francisco. Q. Somebody should have put you in a car instead of having you drive your own. When did you come back from California? A. I wasn't sure who I was employed by at that point. Q. When did come back from California? A. Late Sunday night. Q. Arriving Monday morning, or arriving A. No, we arrived I believe on Sunday |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | M. Shapiro AFTERNOON SESSION (Time Noted: 1:27 P.M.) MARK J. SHAPIRO, resumed and testified further as follows: EXAMINATION BY (Cont'd.) MR. CARDEN: Q. I just have what I think will be a short series of questions, and then I'm going to pass you off to some of my friends down here at the end of the table. You went to the hearing on Friday? A. Correct. Q. Which lasted late into the evening? A. Until about 1 A.M. Q. You stayed the entire time? A. Correct. Q. Did you report to Lehman on Saturday? A. No, I did not. Q. Sunday? A. When you say "report"? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | M. Shapiro A. No, I was my first cousin's daughter was getting bat mitzvahed in San Francisco and I had promised her I would be there and so I the hearing ended at 1 A.M. I got home in to Connecticut about 2:30, showered, shaved, took about a 15-minute nap, and then got in my car and drove to JFK and got on the 6 A.M. flight to San Francisco. Q. Somebody should have put you in a car instead of having you drive your own. When did you come back from California? A. I wasn't sure who I was employed by at that point. Q. When did come back from California? A. Late Sunday night. Q. Arriving Monday morning, or arriving A. No, we arrived I believe on Sunday night. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | M. Shapiro AFTERNOON SESSION (Time Noted: 1:27 P.M.) MARK J. SHAPIRO, resumed and testified further as follows: EXAMINATION BY (Cont'd.) MR. CARDEN: Q. I just have what I think will be a short series of questions, and then I'm going to pass you off to some of my friends down here at the end of the table. You went to the hearing on Friday? A. Correct. Q. Which lasted late into the evening? A. Until about 1 A.M. Q. You stayed the entire time? A. Correct. Q. Did you report to Lehman on Saturday? A. No, I did not. Q. Sunday? A. When you say "report"? Q. Well, did you go to the building on | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | M. Shapiro A. No, I was my first cousin's daughter was getting bat mitzvahed in San Francisco and I had promised her I would be there and so I the hearing ended at 1 A.M. I got home in to Connecticut about 2:30, showered, shaved, took about a 15-minute nap, and then got in my car and drove to JFK and got on the 6 A.M. flight to San Francisco. Q. Somebody should have put you in a car instead of having you drive your own. When did you come back from California? A. I wasn't sure who I was employed by at that point. Q. When did come back from California? A. Late Sunday night. Q. Arriving Monday morning, or arriving A. No, we arrived I believe on Sunday night. Q. Did you go into the office on Sunday? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | M. Shapiro AFTERNOON SESSION (Time Noted: 1:27 P.M.) MARK J. SHAPIRO, resumed and testified further as follows: EXAMINATION BY (Cont'd.) MR. CARDEN: Q. I just have what I think will be a short series of questions, and then I'm going to pass you off to some of my friends down here at the end of the table. You went to the hearing on Friday? A. Correct. Q. Which lasted late into the evening? A. Until about 1 A.M. Q. You stayed the entire time? A. Correct. Q. Did you report to Lehman on Saturday? A. No, I did not. Q. Sunday? A. When you say "report"? Q. Well, did you go to the building on Saturday? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | M. Shapiro A. No, I was my first cousin's daughter was getting bat mitzvahed in San Francisco and I had promised her I would be there and so I the hearing ended at 1 A.M. I got home in to Connecticut about 2:30, showered, shaved, took about a 15-minute nap, and then got in my car and drove to JFK and got on the 6 A.M. flight to San Francisco. Q. Somebody should have put you in a car instead of having you drive your own. When did you come back from California? A. I wasn't sure who I was employed by at that point. Q. When did come back from California? A. Late Sunday night. Q. Arriving Monday morning, or arriving A. No, we arrived I believe on Sunday night. Q. Did you go into the office on Sunday? A. Yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | M. Shapiro AFTERNOON SESSION (Time Noted: 1:27 P.M.) MARK J. SHAPIRO, resumed and testified further as follows: EXAMINATION BY (Cont'd.) MR. CARDEN: Q. I just have what I think will be a short series of questions, and then I'm going to pass you off to some of my friends down here at the end of the table. You went to the hearing on Friday? A. Correct. Q. Which lasted late into the evening? A. Until about 1 A.M. Q. You stayed the entire time? A. Correct. Q. Did you report to Lehman on Saturday? A. No, I did not. Q. Sunday? A. When you say "report"? Q. Well, did you go to the building on Saturday? A. No, I went to California, actually. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | M. Shapiro A. No, I was my first cousin's daughter was getting bat mitzvahed in San Francisco and I had promised her I would be there and so I the hearing ended at 1 A.M. I got home in to Connecticut about 2:30, showered, shaved, took about a 15-minute nap, and then got in my car and drove to JFK and got on the 6 A.M. flight to San Francisco. Q. Somebody should have put you in a car instead of having you drive your own. When did you come back from California? A. I wasn't sure who I was employed by at that point. Q. When did come back from California? A. Late Sunday night. Q. Arriving Monday morning, or arriving A. No, we arrived I believe on Sunday night. Q. Did you go into the office on Sunday? A. Yes. Q. Did you have any responsibilities of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | M. Shapiro AFTERNOON SESSION (Time Noted: 1:27 P.M.) MARK J. SHAPIRO, resumed and testified further as follows: EXAMINATION BY (Cont'd.) MR. CARDEN: Q. I just have what I think will be a short series of questions, and then I'm going to pass you off to some of my friends down here at the end of the table. You went to the hearing on Friday? A. Correct. Q. Which lasted late into the evening? A. Until about 1 A.M. Q. You stayed the entire time? A. Correct. Q. Did you report to Lehman on Saturday? A. No, I did not. Q. Sunday? A. When you say "report"? Q. Well, did you go to the building on Saturday? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | M. Shapiro A. No, I was my first cousin's daughter was getting bat mitzvahed in San Francisco and I had promised her I would be there and so I the hearing ended at 1 A.M. I got home in to Connecticut about 2:30, showered, shaved, took about a 15-minute nap, and then got in my car and drove to JFK and got on the 6 A.M. flight to San Francisco. Q. Somebody should have put you in a car instead of having you drive your own. When did you come back from California? A. I wasn't sure who I was employed by at that point. Q. When did come back from California? A. Late Sunday night. Q. Arriving Monday morning, or arriving A. No, we arrived I believe on Sunday night. Q. Did you go into the office on Sunday? A. Yes. |

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Highly Confidential

Page 166

M. Shapiro transaction that day?

A. No.

2

3

20

23

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

25

What did you do that day at work? O.

4 5 A. I spoke to Jim Seery a little bit 6 about, you know -- the transaction had been 7 announced as closed I think at opening of the 8 morning. There were a lot of people 9 congratulating me, truthfully, about getting it 10 done and wanting to hear what happened. And so 11 there was a fair amount of like relief. 12 generally relief, I guess, and we had a whole --13 I mean, one of the things that, in the meantime, 14 I have a business to run and so we had a lot of clients who we were trying to make sure we

preserved the relationship with as we brought 17 them from Lehman to Barclays. And as you 18 probably know, part of the deal was that 19 engagements would be transferred over.

And that was a process. You had to 21 get the client's approval, et cetera. So we were in -- I was getting my team to focus on let's make sure that we bring everybody along. 24 We actually kept every single mandate we had at 25 Lehman over to Barclays, with the exception of TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 167

M. Shapiro

one that we had basically had to give up during the weekend, but other than that -- during the weekend when they needed to have somebody around

But so I'd say I spent that better part of that day and obviously just dealing with the aftermath, but not in terms of like the documentation or the deal.

- Q. Did you have any responsibilities concerning the collateral, the valuation of the collateral, the transfer of the collateral, anything at all concerning what I'll call the, you know, finalizing the transaction?
 - A. No.

So your labors were done as of Friday?

16 0. 17 Yeah. My view was, as of Monday, I 18 was figuring out -- my wife had complained to me 19 all week that I was the only person at Lehman 20 Brothers not looking for a new job, and so since -- I told her I had a responsibility to, if we could get this deal done with somebody, to 22 23 help complete it. I felt I had done that and I was at that point going to figure out what I was going to do next. So I would say I was spending TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 168

M. Shapiro

some of my time talking to -- talking to people, including an offer made from your own firm.

O. All right. And you signed -- I'm sorry, when did you receive the offer letter that's been marked as 55A? It's dated September 25, 2008.

A. I think around that date. That's my recollection. I had been -- you know, as soon as we came over, of course, everybody was saying like what's happening. And I have, you know, I had 12, 13 people working for me. I felt responsibility for them, you know, in terms of what was going to happen next. So I was trying to figure out what to do.

I had gotten a lot of, while the week 17 was going on, e-mails and voice mails from 18 people offering me or saying, you know, when 19 this all finishes up, let's talk, you know, what 20 are you going to do next. Corinne Ball, as I indicated, your partner left me a long message 22 saying you won't have to skip a day, you could 23 be a partner here tomorrow, just call me back, please. And there were other people like that who had very kind messages.

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 169

M. Shapiro

And so I basically was trying to figure out what to do. I didn't really know anything about Barclays at all. Literally, I didn't know a single person at Barclays, had never dealt with Barclays, didn't really know what this would mean for me in terms of, you know, my area that, you know, that I do business in and whether in fact it would be an effective platform to do business in it.

And so it was a combination of me figuring out is it a place that I wanted to go to, how were they going to fairly compensate me, would I be able to have my group, my title, what was the -- what was the deal going to be in terms of advisory and financing, would we have access to balance sheet. I mean, all of those questions in my mind, and then weighing that against other opportunities that people were coming in to me with.

- O. During this time period, the September 2008, did you have a personal e-mail address?
 - A. I have an AOL account, yes.
- Q. Is there any reason to believe that anything related to this transaction, any

| y | | | |
|--------------------------------|--|----------------|---|
| ļ | Highly Confidential | - CONTRACTOR - | Highly Confidential |
| | Page 170 | | Page 171 |
| 1 | M. Shapiro | 1 | M. Shapiro |
| 2 | communications of any kind, resulted in | 2 | Mr. Stern, correct? |
| 3 | communications in your AOL account? | 3 | MR. STERN: Yes. |
| 4 | A. I don't think so, no. I would be | 4 | A. Barclays has employed Mr. Stern as |
| 5 | really surprised if I I was at the firm | 5 | counsel, and he's defending my deposition, |
| 6 | pretty much the whole time, and if I wasn't at | 6 | correct. |
| 7 | the firm, I was in bed sleeping for two or three | 7 | Q. All right. That's usually the first |
| 8 | hours a night. So I would say highly unlikely. | 8 | question somebody asks, but sometimes we like to |
| 9 | Q. Okay. Did you carry a BlackBerry | 9 | turn things around. |
| 10 | during those | 10 | MR. CARDEN: I'm finished for now. As |
| 11 | A. Yes. | 11 | the world works, I'll probably come up with |
| 12 | Q weeks? | 12 | some more questions by the time it comes |
| 13 | A. Yes. | 13 | back around to me. |
| 14 | Q. And is your BlackBerry set up so as to | 14 | THE WITNESS: Okay. |
| 15 | receive AOL and the Lehman e-mail? | 15 | EXAMINATION BY |
| 16 | A. No, it couldn't. You can only, | 16 | MR. WOOD: |
| 17 | because of the firewalls up at investment banks, | 17 | Q. I'll probably be fairly brief. Again, |
| 18 | you can only access you can't even access | 18 | I'm John Wood from Hughes, Hubbard & Reed. We |
| 19 | Yahoo or AOL or anything through your BlackBerry | 19 | represent the SIPA Trustee. |
| 20 | or your computer. You just can't do it. | 20 | You said earlier that you had a |
| 21 | Q. Well, we may come to this, but we'll | 21 | conversation in Bart McDade's office on |
| 22 | want you to take a look at your AOL account and | 22 | September 19; is that correct? |
| 23 | see what you've got, but we'll pass that right | 23 | A. Yes. |
| 24 | now and I'll deal with your counsel on that. | 24 | Q. This is a Friday? |
| 25 | You are, by the way, represented by | 25 | A. Correct. Yes. |
| | TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 | www | TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 |
| ······························ | | | |
| | Highly Confidential | sav swegans | Highly Confidential |
| | Page 172 | | Page 173 |
| 1 | M. Shapiro | 1 | M. Shapiro |
| 2 | Q. Was anybody else there? | 2 | might run into someone who |
| 3 | A. Yeah, there were numerous people | 3 | Q. I'm not talking about in the hallway |
| 4 | there. | 4 | or passing conversations at the bankruptcy |
| 5 | Q. Were they all from Lehman? | 5 | court. |
| 6 | A. And Weil Gotshal. | 6 | A. I don't remember having any direct |
| 7 | Q. Was anybody from Barclays there? | 7 | discussion on a specific topic as I sit here |
| 8 | A. Not that I recall. | 8 | today with anyone at Barclays on Friday. |
| 9 | Q. Or representatives from Barclays? | 9 | Q. So nothing that you would consider |
| 10 | Might have been people from Cleary. | 10 | negotiations with Barclays? |
| 11 | A. No, because these were I wasn't | 11 | A. No, the from my vantage point in my |
| 12 | there all day, obviously. I was there for a | 12 | role of the negotiations, all of the |
| 13 | given time, maybe it was an hour, something like | 13 | negotiations took place over that night and day, |
| 14 | that, and these were discussions around these | 14 | you know, day, night, day. |
| 15 | were Lehman-only, Lehman and counsel discussions | 15 | Q. Which night? |
| 16 | about different aspects of the deal that we were | 16 | A. Day of the 15th, night of the 15th, |
| 17 | chatting about. So, no, it wasn't in | 17 | day of the 16th into the evening on the 16th. |
| 18 | negotiation with Barclays. | 18 | After that, then the next day we really did a |
| 19 20 | Q. Did you have any conversations with | 19 20 | Creditor Committee presentation and I lead that |
| 21 | Barclays or their representatives that day? | 21 | presentation of what the deal would look like |
| 22 | A. That day? I just don't remember. | 21 | and we gave information to the Creditors |
| 23 | Q. Going | 23 | Committee and their counsel. |
| 24 | A. I mean, it's possible I did because, I | 24 | Q. Which day was that?A. 17th before the stalking horse |
| 1 4 4 | mean, people were all talking about lots of | 25 | |
| 25 | | | |
| 25 | different things, you know, throughout so you TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 | | hearing. So the committee was appointed that TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 |

| i | Highly Confidential | | Highly Confidential |
|--|---|--|---|
| | Page 174 | | Page 175 |
| 1 | M. Shapiro | 1 | M. Shapiro |
| 2 | morning. | 2 | on the 19th involving the possibility of adding |
| 3 | I think it was either late morning or | 3 | additional assets to the definition of |
| 4 | early afternoon, I just don't remember the time, | 4 | "purchased assets"? |
| 5 | we met with Houlihan, Milbank, some committee | 5 | A. Adding additional assets? Meaning |
| 6 | members who were there. It was a huge big | 6 | conveying more assets to Barclays? |
| 7 | rooms. I was basically tasked with explaining | 7 | Q. Conveying more assets from Lehman to |
| 8 | the deal to them, walking them through it, | 8 | Barclays? |
| 9 | bringing in people who could help explain any | 9 | A. Not that I recall, no. |
| 10 | specifics that they had questions about as a | 10 | Q. Do you recall any conversations about |
| 11 | follow-up matter. So we did that for a couple | 11 | the possibility of including Lehman's margin |
| 12 | of hours. | 12 | account at the OCC? |
| 13 | Then we left and they were left | 13 | A. No. |
| 14 | obviously to think about everything and do some | 14 | Q. Any conversations about including |
| 15 | diligence and then we all ended up in court by 5 | 15 | funds from Lehman's margin accounts at any othe |
| 16 | o'clock. | 16 | exchanges? |
| 17 | Q. The deal changed substantially on or | 17 | A. I don't remember having any |
| 18 | around September 19, didn't it? | 18 | conversations or even hearing of conversations |
| 19 | A. I won't characterize it, but I would | 19 | about margin accounts and exchanges. |
| 20 | say the deal the securities that were being | 20 | Q. Do you remember any conversations |
| 21 | conveyed in the deal changed I believe on that | 21 | about so-called clearance box assets at DTC? |
| 22 | date. | 22 | A. No. The only thing that I heard, and |
| 23 | Q. And you've already talked about that | 23 | I don't know if this was clearance box at DTC, |
| 24 | so I'm going to ask you a different question. | 24 | was, you know, was really relating to the |
| 25 | Were you involved in any conversations | 25 | JPMorgan repo. I don't know if that has a |
| | TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 | | TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 |
| | Highly Confidential | | TT: 11 C C 1 |
| | ~ . | | Highly Confidential |
| | Page 176 | | Page 177 |
| 1 | | 1 | |
| 1 2 | Page 176 | 1 2 | Page 177 M. Shapiro box and I didn't really understand it when |
| 1 | M. Shapiro relationship to DTC or not, but not, you know, it wasn't the term "clearance box" wasn't the | 8 | Page 177 M. Shapiro |
| 2 3 4 | M. Shapiro relationship to DTC or not, but not, you know, it wasn't the term "clearance box" wasn't the term that was used. It was really a box and but | 2 3 4 | M. Shapiro box and I didn't really understand it when someone mentioned it to me because I wasn't sure how this all worked but leaving behind I |
| 2 3 4 5 | M. Shapiro relationship to DTC or not, but not, you know, it wasn't the term "clearance box" wasn't the term that was used. It was really a box and but it was around the JPMorgan repo, not nothing | 2 3 4 5 | M. Shapiro box and I didn't really understand it when someone mentioned it to me because I wasn't sure how this all worked but leaving behind I think they called them hammers or nails and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | M. Shapiro relationship to DTC or not, but not, you know, it wasn't the term "clearance box" wasn't the term that was used. It was really a box and but it was around the JPMorgan repo, not nothing to do with DTC that I heard about. | 2 3 4 5 6 | M. Shapiro box and I didn't really understand it when someone mentioned it to me because I wasn't sure how this all worked but leaving behind I think they called them hammers or nails and hammers or something like that. I think they |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | M. Shapiro relationship to DTC or not, but not, you know, it wasn't the term "clearance box" wasn't the term that was used. It was really a box and but it was around the JPMorgan repo, not nothing to do with DTC that I heard about. Q. I want to show you what's already been | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | M. Shapiro box and I didn't really understand it when someone mentioned it to me because I wasn't sure how this all worked but leaving behind I think they called them hammers or nails and hammers or something like that. I think they were implying derogatorily about the kinds of |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | M. Shapiro relationship to DTC or not, but not, you know, it wasn't the term "clearance box" wasn't the term that was used. It was really a box and but it was around the JPMorgan repo, not nothing to do with DTC that I heard about. Q. I want to show you what's already been marked as Exhibit 25, and this is the signed | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | M. Shapiro box and I didn't really understand it when someone mentioned it to me because I wasn't sure how this all worked but leaving behind I think they called them hammers or nails and hammers or something like that. I think they were implying derogatorily about the kinds of securities that JPMorgan was leaving behind and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | M. Shapiro relationship to DTC or not, but not, you know, it wasn't the term "clearance box" wasn't the term that was used. It was really a box and but it was around the JPMorgan repo, not nothing to do with DTC that I heard about. Q. I want to show you what's already been marked as Exhibit 25, and this is the signed version of what we referred to as the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | M. Shapiro box and I didn't really understand it when someone mentioned it to me because I wasn't sure how this all worked but leaving behind I think they called them hammers or nails and hammers or something like that. I think they were implying derogatorily about the kinds of securities that JPMorgan was leaving behind and had and something about JPMorgan had like |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | M. Shapiro relationship to DTC or not, but not, you know, it wasn't the term "clearance box" wasn't the term that was used. It was really a box and but it was around the JPMorgan repo, not nothing to do with DTC that I heard about. Q. I want to show you what's already been marked as Exhibit 25, and this is the signed version of what we referred to as the clarification letter. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | M. Shapiro box and I didn't really understand it when someone mentioned it to me because I wasn't sure how this all worked but leaving behind I think they called them hammers or nails and hammers or something like that. I think they were implying derogatorily about the kinds of securities that JPMorgan was leaving behind and had and something about JPMorgan had like left behind for Barclays some crappy securities, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | M. Shapiro relationship to DTC or not, but not, you know, it wasn't the term "clearance box" wasn't the term that was used. It was really a box and but it was around the JPMorgan repo, not nothing to do with DTC that I heard about. Q. I want to show you what's already been marked as Exhibit 25, and this is the signed version of what we referred to as the clarification letter. A. Okay. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | M. Shapiro box and I didn't really understand it when someone mentioned it to me because I wasn't sure how this all worked but leaving behind I think they called them hammers or nails and hammers or something like that. I think they were implying derogatorily about the kinds of securities that JPMorgan was leaving behind and had and something about JPMorgan had like left behind for Barclays some crappy securities, essentially. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | M. Shapiro relationship to DTC or not, but not, you know, it wasn't the term "clearance box" wasn't the term that was used. It was really a box and but it was around the JPMorgan repo, not nothing to do with DTC that I heard about. Q. I want to show you what's already been marked as Exhibit 25, and this is the signed version of what we referred to as the clarification letter. A. Okay. Q. I'm going to show it to you just so | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | M. Shapiro box and I didn't really understand it when someone mentioned it to me because I wasn't sure how this all worked but leaving behind I think they called them hammers or nails and hammers or something like that. I think they were implying derogatorily about the kinds of securities that JPMorgan was leaving behind and had and something about JPMorgan had like left behind for Barclays some crappy securities, essentially. Q. Do you remember who said that? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | M. Shapiro relationship to DTC or not, but not, you know, it wasn't the term "clearance box" wasn't the term that was used. It was really a box and but it was around the JPMorgan repo, not nothing to do with DTC that I heard about. Q. I want to show you what's already been marked as Exhibit 25, and this is the signed version of what we referred to as the clarification letter. A. Okay. Q. I'm going to show it to you just so you know what I'm talking about here in terms of | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | M. Shapiro box and I didn't really understand it when someone mentioned it to me because I wasn't sure how this all worked but leaving behind I think they called them hammers or nails and hammers or something like that. I think they were implying derogatorily about the kinds of securities that JPMorgan was leaving behind and had and something about JPMorgan had like left behind for Barclays some crappy securities, essentially. Q. Do you remember who said that? A. Jim Seery. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | M. Shapiro relationship to DTC or not, but not, you know, it wasn't the term "clearance box" wasn't the term that was used. It was really a box and but it was around the JPMorgan repo, not nothing to do with DTC that I heard about. Q. I want to show you what's already been marked as Exhibit 25, and this is the signed version of what we referred to as the clarification letter. A. Okay. Q. I'm going to show it to you just so you know what I'm talking about here in terms of the term "clearance box assets." | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | M. Shapiro box and I didn't really understand it when someone mentioned it to me because I wasn't sure how this all worked but leaving behind I think they called them hammers or nails and hammers or something like that. I think they were implying derogatorily about the kinds of securities that JPMorgan was leaving behind and had and something about JPMorgan had like left behind for Barclays some crappy securities, essentially. Q. Do you remember who said that? A. Jim Seery. Q. Did he use the term "cats and dogs"? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | M. Shapiro relationship to DTC or not, but not, you know, it wasn't the term "clearance box" wasn't the term that was used. It was really a box and but it was around the JPMorgan repo, not nothing to do with DTC that I heard about. Q. I want to show you what's already been marked as Exhibit 25, and this is the signed version of what we referred to as the clarification letter. A. Okay. Q. I'm going to show it to you just so you know what I'm talking about here in terms of the term "clearance box assets." A. Okay. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | M. Shapiro box and I didn't really understand it when someone mentioned it to me because I wasn't sure how this all worked but leaving behind I think they called them hammers or nails and hammers or something like that. I think they were implying derogatorily about the kinds of securities that JPMorgan was leaving behind and had and something about JPMorgan had like left behind for Barclays some crappy securities, essentially. Q. Do you remember who said that? A. Jim Seery. Q. Did he use the term "cats and dogs"? A. No, he didn't use "cats and dogs." He |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | M. Shapiro relationship to DTC or not, but not, you know, it wasn't the term "clearance box" wasn't the term that was used. It was really a box and but it was around the JPMorgan repo, not nothing to do with DTC that I heard about. Q. I want to show you what's already been marked as Exhibit 25, and this is the signed version of what we referred to as the clarification letter. A. Okay. Q. I'm going to show it to you just so you know what I'm talking about here in terms of the term "clearance box assets." A. Okay. Q. The term "clearance boxes" is just | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | M. Shapiro box and I didn't really understand it when someone mentioned it to me because I wasn't sure how this all worked but leaving behind I think they called them hammers or nails and hammers or something like that. I think they were implying derogatorily about the kinds of securities that JPMorgan was leaving behind and had and something about JPMorgan had like left behind for Barclays some crappy securities, essentially. Q. Do you remember who said that? A. Jim Seery. Q. Did he use the term "cats and dogs"? A. No, he didn't use "cats and dogs." He used more like |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | M. Shapiro relationship to DTC or not, but not, you know, it wasn't the term "clearance box" wasn't the term that was used. It was really a box and but it was around the JPMorgan repo, not nothing to do with DTC that I heard about. Q. I want to show you what's already been marked as Exhibit 25, and this is the signed version of what we referred to as the clarification letter. A. Okay. Q. I'm going to show it to you just so you know what I'm talking about here in terms of the term "clearance box assets." A. Okay. Q. The term "clearance boxes" is just there in quotation marks at the bottom of page | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | M. Shapiro box and I didn't really understand it when someone mentioned it to me because I wasn't sure how this all worked but leaving behind I think they called them hammers or nails and hammers or something like that. I think they were implying derogatorily about the kinds of securities that JPMorgan was leaving behind and had and something about JPMorgan had like left behind for Barclays some crappy securities, essentially. Q. Do you remember who said that? A. Jim Seery. Q. Did he use the term "cats and dogs"? A. No, he didn't use "cats and dogs." He used more like Q. Hammers and nails, you think? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | M. Shapiro relationship to DTC or not, but not, you know, it wasn't the term "clearance box" wasn't the term that was used. It was really a box and but it was around the JPMorgan repo, not nothing to do with DTC that I heard about. Q. I want to show you what's already been marked as Exhibit 25, and this is the signed version of what we referred to as the clarification letter. A. Okay. Q. I'm going to show it to you just so you know what I'm talking about here in terms of the term "clearance box assets." A. Okay. Q. The term "clearance boxes" is just there in quotation marks at the bottom of page 1, about three lines up. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | M. Shapiro box and I didn't really understand it when someone mentioned it to me because I wasn't sure how this all worked but leaving behind I think they called them hammers or nails and hammers or something like that. I think they were implying derogatorily about the kinds of securities that JPMorgan was leaving behind and had and something about JPMorgan had like left behind for Barclays some crappy securities, essentially. Q. Do you remember who said that? A. Jim Seery. Q. Did he use the term "cats and dogs"? A. No, he didn't use "cats and dogs." He used more like Q. Hammers and nails, you think? A. It was some term that had metal |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | M. Shapiro relationship to DTC or not, but not, you know, it wasn't the term "clearance box" wasn't the term that was used. It was really a box and but it was around the JPMorgan repo, not nothing to do with DTC that I heard about. Q. I want to show you what's already been marked as Exhibit 25, and this is the signed version of what we referred to as the clarification letter. A. Okay. Q. I'm going to show it to you just so you know what I'm talking about here in terms of the term "clearance box assets." A. Okay. Q. The term "clearance boxes" is just there in quotation marks at the bottom of page 1, about three lines up. A. Okay. I see what you're talking | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | M. Shapiro box and I didn't really understand it when someone mentioned it to me because I wasn't sure how this all worked but leaving behind I think they called them hammers or nails and hammers or something like that. I think they were implying derogatorily about the kinds of securities that JPMorgan was leaving behind and had and something about JPMorgan had like left behind for Barclays some crappy securities, essentially. Q. Do you remember who said that? A. Jim Seery. Q. Did he use the term "cats and dogs"? A. No, he didn't use "cats and dogs." He used more like Q. Hammers and nails, you think? A. It was some term that had metal involved. It was hammers or it was something. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | M. Shapiro relationship to DTC or not, but not, you know, it wasn't the term "clearance box" wasn't the term that was used. It was really a box and but it was around the JPMorgan repo, not nothing to do with DTC that I heard about. Q. I want to show you what's already been marked as Exhibit 25, and this is the signed version of what we referred to as the clarification letter. A. Okay. Q. I'm going to show it to you just so you know what I'm talking about here in terms of the term "clearance box assets." A. Okay. Q. The term "clearance boxes" is just there in quotation marks at the bottom of page 1, about three lines up. A. Okay. I see what you're talking about. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | M. Shapiro box and I didn't really understand it when someone mentioned it to me because I wasn't sure how this all worked but leaving behind I think they called them hammers or nails and hammers or something like that. I think they were implying derogatorily about the kinds of securities that JPMorgan was leaving behind and had and something about JPMorgan had like left behind for Barclays some crappy securities, essentially. Q. Do you remember who said that? A. Jim Seery. Q. Did he use the term "cats and dogs"? A. No, he didn't use "cats and dogs." He used more like Q. Hammers and nails, you think? A. It was some term that had metal involved. It was hammers or it was something. A term I had never actually heard before, but |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | M. Shapiro relationship to DTC or not, but not, you know, it wasn't the term "clearance box" wasn't the term that was used. It was really a box and but it was around the JPMorgan repo, not nothing to do with DTC that I heard about. Q. I want to show you what's already been marked as Exhibit 25, and this is the signed version of what we referred to as the clarification letter. A. Okay. Q. I'm going to show it to you just so you know what I'm talking about here in terms of the term "clearance box assets." A. Okay. Q. The term "clearance boxes" is just there in quotation marks at the bottom of page 1, about three lines up. A. Okay. I see what you're talking about. Q. Do you have any recollection of any | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 20 21 | M. Shapiro box and I didn't really understand it when someone mentioned it to me because I wasn't sure how this all worked but leaving behind I think they called them hammers or nails and hammers or something like that. I think they were implying derogatorily about the kinds of securities that JPMorgan was leaving behind and had and something about JPMorgan had like left behind for Barclays some crappy securities, essentially. Q. Do you remember who said that? A. Jim Seery. Q. Did he use the term "cats and dogs"? A. No, he didn't use "cats and dogs." He used more like Q. Hammers and nails, you think? A. It was some term that had metal involved. It was hammers or it was something. A term I had never actually heard before, but obviously a term that may be used in the trade |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | M. Shapiro relationship to DTC or not, but not, you know, it wasn't the term "clearance box" wasn't the term that was used. It was really a box and but it was around the JPMorgan repo, not nothing to do with DTC that I heard about. Q. I want to show you what's already been marked as Exhibit 25, and this is the signed version of what we referred to as the clarification letter. A. Okay. Q. I'm going to show it to you just so you know what I'm talking about here in terms of the term "clearance box assets." A. Okay. Q. The term "clearance boxes" is just there in quotation marks at the bottom of page 1, about three lines up. A. Okay. I see what you're talking about. Q. Do you have any recollection of any conversations about those assets? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | M. Shapiro box and I didn't really understand it when someone mentioned it to me because I wasn't sure how this all worked but leaving behind I think they called them hammers or nails and hammers or something like that. I think they were implying derogatorily about the kinds of securities that JPMorgan was leaving behind and had and something about JPMorgan had like left behind for Barclays some crappy securities, essentially. Q. Do you remember who said that? A. Jim Seery. Q. Did he use the term "cats and dogs"? A. No, he didn't use "cats and dogs." He used more like Q. Hammers and nails, you think? A. It was some term that had metal involved. It was hammers or it was something. A term I had never actually heard before, but obviously a term that may be used in the trade of people who deal in securities. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | M. Shapiro relationship to DTC or not, but not, you know, it wasn't the term "clearance box" wasn't the term that was used. It was really a box and but it was around the JPMorgan repo, not nothing to do with DTC that I heard about. Q. I want to show you what's already been marked as Exhibit 25, and this is the signed version of what we referred to as the clarification letter. A. Okay. Q. I'm going to show it to you just so you know what I'm talking about here in terms of the term "clearance box assets." A. Okay. Q. The term "clearance boxes" is just there in quotation marks at the bottom of page 1, about three lines up. A. Okay. I see what you're talking about. Q. Do you have any recollection of any conversations about those assets? A. Not specifically, no. Only as it | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | M. Shapiro box and I didn't really understand it when someone mentioned it to me because I wasn't sure how this all worked but leaving behind I think they called them hammers or nails and hammers or something like that. I think they were implying derogatorily about the kinds of securities that JPMorgan was leaving behind and had and something about JPMorgan had like left behind for Barclays some crappy securities, essentially. Q. Do you remember who said that? A. Jim Seery. Q. Did he use the term "cats and dogs"? A. No, he didn't use "cats and dogs." He used more like Q. Hammers and nails, you think? A. It was some term that had metal involved. It was hammers or it was something. A term I had never actually heard before, but obviously a term that may be used in the trade of people who deal in securities. Q. Do you recall any conversations about |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | M. Shapiro relationship to DTC or not, but not, you know, it wasn't the term "clearance box" wasn't the term that was used. It was really a box and but it was around the JPMorgan repo, not nothing to do with DTC that I heard about. Q. I want to show you what's already been marked as Exhibit 25, and this is the signed version of what we referred to as the clarification letter. A. Okay. Q. I'm going to show it to you just so you know what I'm talking about here in terms of the term "clearance box assets." A. Okay. Q. The term "clearance boxes" is just there in quotation marks at the bottom of page 1, about three lines up. A. Okay. I see what you're talking about. Q. Do you have any recollection of any conversations about those assets? A. Not specifically, no. Only as it might relate to something that I heard about | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | M. Shapiro box and I didn't really understand it when someone mentioned it to me because I wasn't sure how this all worked but leaving behind I think they called them hammers or nails and hammers or something like that. I think they were implying derogatorily about the kinds of securities that JPMorgan was leaving behind and had and something about JPMorgan had like left behind for Barclays some crappy securities, essentially. Q. Do you remember who said that? A. Jim Seery. Q. Did he use the term "cats and dogs"? A. No, he didn't use "cats and dogs." He used more like Q. Hammers and nails, you think? A. It was some term that had metal involved. It was hammers or it was something. A term I had never actually heard before, but obviously a term that may be used in the trade of people who deal in securities. Q. Do you recall any conversations about whether or not to include these so-called |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | M. Shapiro relationship to DTC or not, but not, you know, it wasn't the term "clearance box" wasn't the term that was used. It was really a box and but it was around the JPMorgan repo, not nothing to do with DTC that I heard about. Q. I want to show you what's already been marked as Exhibit 25, and this is the signed version of what we referred to as the clarification letter. A. Okay. Q. I'm going to show it to you just so you know what I'm talking about here in terms of the term "clearance box assets." A. Okay. Q. The term "clearance boxes" is just there in quotation marks at the bottom of page 1, about three lines up. A. Okay. I see what you're talking about. Q. Do you have any recollection of any conversations about those assets? A. Not specifically, no. Only as it | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | M. Shapiro box and I didn't really understand it when someone mentioned it to me because I wasn't sure how this all worked but leaving behind I think they called them hammers or nails and hammers or something like that. I think they were implying derogatorily about the kinds of securities that JPMorgan was leaving behind and had and something about JPMorgan had like left behind for Barclays some crappy securities, essentially. Q. Do you remember who said that? A. Jim Seery. Q. Did he use the term "cats and dogs"? A. No, he didn't use "cats and dogs." He used more like Q. Hammers and nails, you think? A. It was some term that had metal involved. It was hammers or it was something. A term I had never actually heard before, but obviously a term that may be used in the trade of people who deal in securities. Q. Do you recall any conversations about |

2

3

5

18

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

Highly Confidential

Page 178

M. Shapiro

A. No idea.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

18

19

22

- Q. Were you involved in any conversations regarding the inclusion of assets from Lehman's 15c3-3 account in the deal?
- A. I don't know what a 15c3-3 account is and I don't believe I had anything to do with any conversations around whatever that account is.
- Q. If you'll take a look at that same exhibit number 25 on page 4, paragraph 8. And this is just to see whether this refreshes your recollection at all.

Paragraph 8, little Roman ii, "To the extent permitted by applicable law, and as soon as practicable, after closing, \$769 million of securities is held by or on behalf of LBI on the date hereof pursuant to Rule 15c3-3 of the Securities and Exchange Act" - I'm sorry, "the Securities Exchange Act of 1934." The sentence continues, but that's just to see if that refreshes your recollection at all.

23 A. Not at all, no. The only thing I knew 24 about custody under this paragraph of transfer of customer accounts was, as explained to the TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 179

M. Shapiro

court, which was that the customer accounts were all being transferred because of the SIPA -- by the SIPAC -- by SIPA to Barclays out of the estate.

6 And in fact, I do have one 7 recollection in connection with transferred 8 accounts where the government had told us not to 9 file a bankruptcy for LBI until they felt that 10 all the accounts had actually been successfully 11 moved out of LBI, or whatever the -- whatever 12 entity it was, I think it was LBI -- into 13 Barclays because they didn't want the bankruptcy 14 to interfere with the transfer of those accounts. The only thing I recall about that 16 was that specific fact. It just stuck in my 17 mind at the time.

Q. And when you say "explained to the court," who did that explanation?

19 20 A. During -- I think it was either Harvey 21 or -- Harvey Miller or Lori Fife who explained 22 that the accounts were, you know, consistent 23 with the desires of the SIPA Trustee and the 24 S.E.C., being transferred from out of the broker-dealer to let's call it a safe haven. I TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 180

M. Shapiro think it was Barclays.

Q. Was that explanation --

A. And I actually had a personal interest in it because I had an account at Lehman which had a lot of securities and other things in it, and I wanted -- I obviously wanted to know like where was my -- where are all these securities. I had probably a million dollars in securities held at Lehman and I didn't know where they were. Obviously had some concern about what would happen, and so I was personally interested in like where were these happening.

And then very shortly after the deal 15 closed, I remember getting something that said, you know, Barclays, you know, I get a notice in the mail or something and something -- an e-mail or something saying that Barclays now had taken over the account and I should contact so and so. And my broker at Lehman in the interim between the filing and the deal closing had left and gone to UBS, so I didn't even have anyone to

23 call to know what happened. 24 O. That explanation in court by Harvey Miller or Lori Fife, do you recall, was that in

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 181

M. Shapiro

front of Judge Peck while he was --

A. I think everything was in front of Judge Peck.

- Q. While he was in the courtroom?
- Yes. Presumably, yes.
- The reason I'm asking about that, do you recall a recess during which attorneys stood up and explained the substance of the deal to the attendees outside the presence of the judge?
 - A. This is on Friday?

Yes, Friday the 19th. Q.

A. I remember Lori -- I remember Harvey and, you know, the judge saying something like, you know, I've been told by the attorneys that some changes have been made. Either Mr. Miller is going to explain them or volunteer one of his partners to explain it. I believe Lori Fife got up and then explained the deal.

20 I seem to recall that there might have 21 been a recess at some point after that, I just 22 don't have a specific recollection, and I was 23 not party to it. I was there just sitting in 24 like on the window ledge next to the -- next to 25 where people were -- the witnesses were lined

| | Highly Confidential | | Highly Confidential |
|--|--|--|--|
| | Page 182 | | Page 183 |
| 1 | M. Shapiro | 1 | M. Shapiro |
| 2 | up, just observing, basically. | 2 | A. I'm sorry, where are you looking? |
| 3 | Q. As far as you can recall, though, you | 3 | MR. STERN: Why don't you read the |
| 4 | stayed during any such recess? | 4 | whole thing just from top to bottom. |
| 5 | A. I stayed. I didn't leave. Well, | 5 | (Document review.) |
| 6 | actually I did leave the court at one point. | 6 | A. Okay. I know most of the people who |
| 7 | I'm trying to remember if this was Friday. No, | 7 | are named in this e-mail, but I've never seen |
| 8 | this was probably on I'm trying to remember. | 8 | this e-mail before. |
| 9 | At one point someone asked for the | 9 | Q. I understand that. The paragraph |
| 10 | real estate appraisals, and I knew who had them, | 10 | there that says, "Weil lawyers a bit out of |
| 11 | and I can't remember if this was on Wednesday or | 11 | whack, but granted timing here not unexpected. |
| 12 | Friday. So I did leave the court. The only | 12 | They didn't explain the balance sheet changes |
| 13 | time I left the court was to go find the person | 13 | well, but then a Barclays lawyer came in and |
| 14 | who had the real estate appraisals, and I can't | 14 | gave a much better explanation"? |
| 15 | remember if that was on that Wednesday or that | 15 | A. I have no |
| 16 | Friday. But that was the only time I left the | 16 | Q. Do you recall |
| 17 18 | court. | 17 18 | A. I have no idea. First of all, this is |
| 19 | Q. I would like to show you what's already been marked as Exhibit 11. And it | 19 | a person who had nothing to do with the deal, |
| 20 | doesn't appear that you're on this e-mail. | 20 | for absolute sure. I can tell you that. Dan Kamensky was a lawyer who worked for the |
| 21 | A. Okay. | 21 | distressed traders on the fixed income side. |
| 22 | Q. This is a string of looks like three | 22 | Q. Do you know whether he was in court, |
| 23 | e-mails. If you look at the one in the middle, | 23 | though? |
| 24 | the last paragraph there that begins "Weil | 24 | A. No idea. He might have been in court |
| 25 | lawyers"? | 25 | because he might have been in court only |
| | TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 | | TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 |
| *************************************** | Trickle- C f: J 4: 1 | | <u>1988 1884 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - 18 - </u> |
| | Highly Confidential | | Highly Confidential |
| | Highly Confidential Page 184 | | Highly Confidential Page 185 |
| 1 | | 1 | Page 185 M. Shapiro |
| 2 | Page 184 M. Shapiro because he's a lawyer who's a former bankruptcy | 1 2 | Page 185 M. Shapiro hearing, obviously, so I don't I don't |
| 2 3 | M. Shapiro because he's a lawyer who's a former bankruptcy type who was interested and who worked for the, | 2 | M. Shapiro hearing, obviously, so I don't I don't remember everything that happened. If you |
| 2 3 4 | M. Shapiro because he's a lawyer who's a former bankruptcy type who was interested and who worked for the, effectively, the trading guys and the sales guys | 2 3 4 | M. Shapiro hearing, obviously, so I don't I don't remember everything that happened. If you showed me the transcript and I read it, I might |
| 2 3 4 5 | M. Shapiro because he's a lawyer who's a former bankruptcy type who was interested and who worked for the, effectively, the trading guys and the sales guys on the distressed side. So I don't know what | 2 3 4 5 | M. Shapiro hearing, obviously, so I don't I don't remember everything that happened. If you showed me the transcript and I read it, I might have a better recollection. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | M. Shapiro because he's a lawyer who's a former bankruptcy type who was interested and who worked for the, effectively, the trading guys and the sales guys on the distressed side. So I don't know what kind of information he was trying to ultimately | 2 3 4 5 6 | M. Shapiro hearing, obviously, so I don't I don't remember everything that happened. If you showed me the transcript and I read it, I might have a better recollection. Q. Actually, what I'm really interested |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | M. Shapiro because he's a lawyer who's a former bankruptcy type who was interested and who worked for the, effectively, the trading guys and the sales guys on the distressed side. So I don't know what kind of information he was trying to ultimately glean from a business perspective, but | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | M. Shapiro hearing, obviously, so I don't I don't remember everything that happened. If you showed me the transcript and I read it, I might have a better recollection. Q. Actually, what I'm really interested in is if there's anything that's not reflected |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | M. Shapiro because he's a lawyer who's a former bankruptcy type who was interested and who worked for the, effectively, the trading guys and the sales guys on the distressed side. So I don't know what kind of information he was trying to ultimately glean from a business perspective, but Q. But | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | M. Shapiro hearing, obviously, so I don't I don't remember everything that happened. If you showed me the transcript and I read it, I might have a better recollection. Q. Actually, what I'm really interested in is if there's anything that's not reflected on the transcript. That's why I was asking |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | M. Shapiro because he's a lawyer who's a former bankruptcy type who was interested and who worked for the, effectively, the trading guys and the sales guys on the distressed side. So I don't know what kind of information he was trying to ultimately glean from a business perspective, but Q. But A. So I but I don't know what he was | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | M. Shapiro hearing, obviously, so I don't I don't remember everything that happened. If you showed me the transcript and I read it, I might have a better recollection. Q. Actually, what I'm really interested in is if there's anything that's not reflected on the transcript. That's why I was asking about that recess. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | M. Shapiro because he's a lawyer who's a former bankruptcy type who was interested and who worked for the, effectively, the trading guys and the sales guys on the distressed side. So I don't know what kind of information he was trying to ultimately glean from a business perspective, but Q. But A. So I but I don't know what he was trying to get at here, nor he have an official | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | M. Shapiro hearing, obviously, so I don't I don't remember everything that happened. If you showed me the transcript and I read it, I might have a better recollection. Q. Actually, what I'm really interested in is if there's anything that's not reflected on the transcript. That's why I was asking |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | M. Shapiro because he's a lawyer who's a former bankruptcy type who was interested and who worked for the, effectively, the trading guys and the sales guys on the distressed side. So I don't know what kind of information he was trying to ultimately glean from a business perspective, but Q. But A. So I but I don't know what he was | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | M. Shapiro hearing, obviously, so I don't I don't remember everything that happened. If you showed me the transcript and I read it, I might have a better recollection. Q. Actually, what I'm really interested in is if there's anything that's not reflected on the transcript. That's why I was asking about that recess. Do you recall whether during any |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | M. Shapiro because he's a lawyer who's a former bankruptcy type who was interested and who worked for the, effectively, the trading guys and the sales guys on the distressed side. So I don't know what kind of information he was trying to ultimately glean from a business perspective, but Q. But A. So I but I don't know what he was trying to get at here, nor he have an official role in anything. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | M. Shapiro hearing, obviously, so I don't I don't remember everything that happened. If you showed me the transcript and I read it, I might have a better recollection. Q. Actually, what I'm really interested in is if there's anything that's not reflected on the transcript. That's why I was asking about that recess. Do you recall whether during any recess a lawyer representing Barclays got up and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | M. Shapiro because he's a lawyer who's a former bankruptcy type who was interested and who worked for the, effectively, the trading guys and the sales guys on the distressed side. So I don't know what kind of information he was trying to ultimately glean from a business perspective, but Q. But A. So I but I don't know what he was trying to get at here, nor he have an official role in anything. Q. All I'm really interested in is whether you know what this presentation was by a Barclays lawyer. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | M. Shapiro hearing, obviously, so I don't I don't remember everything that happened. If you showed me the transcript and I read it, I might have a better recollection. Q. Actually, what I'm really interested in is if there's anything that's not reflected on the transcript. That's why I was asking about that recess. Do you recall whether during any recess a lawyer representing Barclays got up and explained the deal? A. I do not know. Q. Did you take any notes during the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | M. Shapiro because he's a lawyer who's a former bankruptcy type who was interested and who worked for the, effectively, the trading guys and the sales guys on the distressed side. So I don't know what kind of information he was trying to ultimately glean from a business perspective, but Q. But A. So I but I don't know what he was trying to get at here, nor he have an official role in anything. Q. All I'm really interested in is whether you know what this presentation was by a Barclays lawyer. A. I do not know. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | M. Shapiro hearing, obviously, so I don't I don't remember everything that happened. If you showed me the transcript and I read it, I might have a better recollection. Q. Actually, what I'm really interested in is if there's anything that's not reflected on the transcript. That's why I was asking about that recess. Do you recall whether during any recess a lawyer representing Barclays got up and explained the deal? A. I do not know. Q. Did you take any notes during the hearing? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | M. Shapiro because he's a lawyer who's a former bankruptcy type who was interested and who worked for the, effectively, the trading guys and the sales guys on the distressed side. So I don't know what kind of information he was trying to ultimately glean from a business perspective, but Q. But A. So I but I don't know what he was trying to get at here, nor he have an official role in anything. Q. All I'm really interested in is whether you know what this presentation was by a Barclays lawyer. A. I do not know. Q. Doesn't sound familiar at all? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | M. Shapiro hearing, obviously, so I don't I don't remember everything that happened. If you showed me the transcript and I read it, I might have a better recollection. Q. Actually, what I'm really interested in is if there's anything that's not reflected on the transcript. That's why I was asking about that recess. Do you recall whether during any recess a lawyer representing Barclays got up and explained the deal? A. I do not know. Q. Did you take any notes during the hearing? A. I think I did take some notes, yes. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | M. Shapiro because he's a lawyer who's a former bankruptcy type who was interested and who worked for the, effectively, the trading guys and the sales guys on the distressed side. So I don't know what kind of information he was trying to ultimately glean from a business perspective, but Q. But A. So I but I don't know what he was trying to get at here, nor he have an official role in anything. Q. All I'm really interested in is whether you know what this presentation was by a Barclays lawyer. A. I do not know. Q. Doesn't sound familiar at all? A. Well, I believe when they say | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | M. Shapiro hearing, obviously, so I don't I don't remember everything that happened. If you showed me the transcript and I read it, I might have a better recollection. Q. Actually, what I'm really interested in is if there's anything that's not reflected on the transcript. That's why I was asking about that recess. Do you recall whether during any recess a lawyer representing Barclays got up and explained the deal? A. I do not know. Q. Did you take any notes during the hearing? A. I think I did take some notes, yes. Q. Did you keep those notes? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | M. Shapiro because he's a lawyer who's a former bankruptcy type who was interested and who worked for the, effectively, the trading guys and the sales guys on the distressed side. So I don't know what kind of information he was trying to ultimately glean from a business perspective, but Q. But A. So I but I don't know what he was trying to get at here, nor he have an official role in anything. Q. All I'm really interested in is whether you know what this presentation was by a Barclays lawyer. A. I do not know. Q. Doesn't sound familiar at all? A. Well, I believe when they say "Barclays lawyers," the only the lawyers who | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | M. Shapiro hearing, obviously, so I don't I don't remember everything that happened. If you showed me the transcript and I read it, I might have a better recollection. Q. Actually, what I'm really interested in is if there's anything that's not reflected on the transcript. That's why I was asking about that recess. Do you recall whether during any recess a lawyer representing Barclays got up and explained the deal? A. I do not know. Q. Did you take any notes during the hearing? A. I think I did take some notes, yes. Q. Did you keep those notes? A. I don't know. I'm not a very |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | M. Shapiro because he's a lawyer who's a former bankruptcy type who was interested and who worked for the, effectively, the trading guys and the sales guys on the distressed side. So I don't know what kind of information he was trying to ultimately glean from a business perspective, but Q. But A. So I but I don't know what he was trying to get at here, nor he have an official role in anything. Q. All I'm really interested in is whether you know what this presentation was by a Barclays lawyer. A. I do not know. Q. Doesn't sound familiar at all? A. Well, I believe when they say "Barclays lawyers," the only the lawyers who spoke for Barclays, as far as I recall, were | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | M. Shapiro hearing, obviously, so I don't I don't remember everything that happened. If you showed me the transcript and I read it, I might have a better recollection. Q. Actually, what I'm really interested in is if there's anything that's not reflected on the transcript. That's why I was asking about that recess. Do you recall whether during any recess a lawyer representing Barclays got up and explained the deal? A. I do not know. Q. Did you take any notes during the hearing? A. I think I did take some notes, yes. Q. Did you keep those notes? A. I don't know. I'm not a very organized person, so I would have to it's |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | M. Shapiro because he's a lawyer who's a former bankruptcy type who was interested and who worked for the, effectively, the trading guys and the sales guys on the distressed side. So I don't know what kind of information he was trying to ultimately glean from a business perspective, but Q. But A. So I but I don't know what he was trying to get at here, nor he have an official role in anything. Q. All I'm really interested in is whether you know what this presentation was by a Barclays lawyer. A. I do not know. Q. Doesn't sound familiar at all? A. Well, I believe when they say "Barclays lawyers," the only the lawyers who spoke for Barclays, as far as I recall, were Cleary lawyers. I believe Lindsee Grandfield | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | M. Shapiro hearing, obviously, so I don't I don't remember everything that happened. If you showed me the transcript and I read it, I might have a better recollection. Q. Actually, what I'm really interested in is if there's anything that's not reflected on the transcript. That's why I was asking about that recess. Do you recall whether during any recess a lawyer representing Barclays got up and explained the deal? A. I do not know. Q. Did you take any notes during the hearing? A. I think I did take some notes, yes. Q. Did you keep those notes? A. I don't know. I'm not a very organized person, so I would have to it's possible they're in some pile. I mean, |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | M. Shapiro because he's a lawyer who's a former bankruptcy type who was interested and who worked for the, effectively, the trading guys and the sales guys on the distressed side. So I don't know what kind of information he was trying to ultimately glean from a business perspective, but Q. But A. So I but I don't know what he was trying to get at here, nor he have an official role in anything. Q. All I'm really interested in is whether you know what this presentation was by a Barclays lawyer. A. I do not know. Q. Doesn't sound familiar at all? A. Well, I believe when they say "Barclays lawyers," the only the lawyers who spoke for Barclays, as far as I recall, were Cleary lawyers. I believe Lindsee Grandfield I think that's her name the bankruptcy | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | M. Shapiro hearing, obviously, so I don't I don't remember everything that happened. If you showed me the transcript and I read it, I might have a better recollection. Q. Actually, what I'm really interested in is if there's anything that's not reflected on the transcript. That's why I was asking about that recess. Do you recall whether during any recess a lawyer representing Barclays got up and explained the deal? A. I do not know. Q. Did you take any notes during the hearing? A. I think I did take some notes, yes. Q. Did you keep those notes? A. I don't know. I'm not a very organized person, so I would have to it's possible they're in some pile. I mean, basically I moved I had an office. It's been |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | M. Shapiro because he's a lawyer who's a former bankruptcy type who was interested and who worked for the, effectively, the trading guys and the sales guys on the distressed side. So I don't know what kind of information he was trying to ultimately glean from a business perspective, but Q. But A. So I but I don't know what he was trying to get at here, nor he have an official role in anything. Q. All I'm really interested in is whether you know what this presentation was by a Barclays lawyer. A. I do not know. Q. Doesn't sound familiar at all? A. Well, I believe when they say "Barclays lawyers," the only the lawyers who spoke for Barclays, as far as I recall, were Cleary lawyers. I believe Lindsee Grandfield I think that's her name the bankruptcy lawyer, she definitely at one point got up and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | M. Shapiro hearing, obviously, so I don't I don't remember everything that happened. If you showed me the transcript and I read it, I might have a better recollection. Q. Actually, what I'm really interested in is if there's anything that's not reflected on the transcript. That's why I was asking about that recess. Do you recall whether during any recess a lawyer representing Barclays got up and explained the deal? A. I do not know. Q. Did you take any notes during the hearing? A. I think I did take some notes, yes. Q. Did you keep those notes? A. I don't know. I'm not a very organized person, so I would have to it's possible they're in some pile. I mean, basically I moved I had an office. It's been moved like three times. I didn't really keep |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | M. Shapiro because he's a lawyer who's a former bankruptcy type who was interested and who worked for the, effectively, the trading guys and the sales guys on the distressed side. So I don't know what kind of information he was trying to ultimately glean from a business perspective, but Q. But A. So I but I don't know what he was trying to get at here, nor he have an official role in anything. Q. All I'm really interested in is whether you know what this presentation was by a Barclays lawyer. A. I do not know. Q. Doesn't sound familiar at all? A. Well, I believe when they say "Barclays lawyers," the only the lawyers who spoke for Barclays, as far as I recall, were Cleary lawyers. I believe Lindsee Grandfield I think that's her name the bankruptcy lawyer, she definitely at one point got up and spoke or presented something. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | M. Shapiro hearing, obviously, so I don't I don't remember everything that happened. If you showed me the transcript and I read it, I might have a better recollection. Q. Actually, what I'm really interested in is if there's anything that's not reflected on the transcript. That's why I was asking about that recess. Do you recall whether during any recess a lawyer representing Barclays got up and explained the deal? A. I do not know. Q. Did you take any notes during the hearing? A. I think I did take some notes, yes. Q. Did you keep those notes? A. I don't know. I'm not a very organized person, so I would have to it's possible they're in some pile. I mean, basically I moved I had an office. It's been moved like three times. I didn't really keep because I actually sit on a desk as opposed to |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | M. Shapiro because he's a lawyer who's a former bankruptcy type who was interested and who worked for the, effectively, the trading guys and the sales guys on the distressed side. So I don't know what kind of information he was trying to ultimately glean from a business perspective, but Q. But A. So I but I don't know what he was trying to get at here, nor he have an official role in anything. Q. All I'm really interested in is whether you know what this presentation was by a Barclays lawyer. A. I do not know. Q. Doesn't sound familiar at all? A. Well, I believe when they say "Barclays lawyers," the only the lawyers who spoke for Barclays, as far as I recall, were Cleary lawyers. I believe Lindsee Grandfield I think that's her name the bankruptcy lawyer, she definitely at one point got up and spoke or presented something. Q. And again | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | M. Shapiro hearing, obviously, so I don't I don't remember everything that happened. If you showed me the transcript and I read it, I might have a better recollection. Q. Actually, what I'm really interested in is if there's anything that's not reflected on the transcript. That's why I was asking about that recess. Do you recall whether during any recess a lawyer representing Barclays got up and explained the deal? A. I do not know. Q. Did you take any notes during the hearing? A. I think I did take some notes, yes. Q. Did you keep those notes? A. I don't know. I'm not a very organized person, so I would have to it's possible they're in some pile. I mean, basically I moved I had an office. It's been moved like three times. I didn't really keep because I actually sit on a desk as opposed to like in an office. So I keep some stuff in the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | M. Shapiro because he's a lawyer who's a former bankruptcy type who was interested and who worked for the, effectively, the trading guys and the sales guys on the distressed side. So I don't know what kind of information he was trying to ultimately glean from a business perspective, but Q. But A. So I but I don't know what he was trying to get at here, nor he have an official role in anything. Q. All I'm really interested in is whether you know what this presentation was by a Barclays lawyer. A. I do not know. Q. Doesn't sound familiar at all? A. Well, I believe when they say "Barclays lawyers," the only the lawyers who spoke for Barclays, as far as I recall, were Cleary lawyers. I believe Lindsee Grandfield I think that's her name the bankruptcy lawyer, she definitely at one point got up and spoke or presented something. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | M. Shapiro hearing, obviously, so I don't I don't remember everything that happened. If you showed me the transcript and I read it, I might have a better recollection. Q. Actually, what I'm really interested in is if there's anything that's not reflected on the transcript. That's why I was asking about that recess. Do you recall whether during any recess a lawyer representing Barclays got up and explained the deal? A. I do not know. Q. Did you take any notes during the hearing? A. I think I did take some notes, yes. Q. Did you keep those notes? A. I don't know. I'm not a very organized person, so I would have to it's possible they're in some pile. I mean, basically I moved I had an office. It's been moved like three times. I didn't really keep because I actually sit on a desk as opposed to |

Highly Confidential Highly Confidential Page 187 Page 186 M. Shapiro M. Shapiro 2 2 I think I did use it on occasion, gone. I would have to spend a lot of time A. 3 3 looking. yeah. 4 4 Do you recall sending e-mails? It was, at best, it was a notepad, on Q. 5 5 A. Yeah, I think I sent a few e-mails. a notepad like this, and I think the only notes I took were kind of -- I remember thinking this 6 People were e-mailing me saying like, how's it 7 going? What's going on? You know, when are you 7 is a pretty interesting historical moment. This out of there? And I was kind of just giving 8 is in the courtroom, by the way, the only notes 8 9 I took were in the courtroom. And I think I people a very short like, ves, you know, it's 10 10 wrote down a few things that Judge Peck said going to be a few more hours. 11 11 Everybody obviously who was interested because I just thought that they were going to 12 in like has the deal been approved. I would say 12 be quotes that were going to be out there at 13 some point. 13 that was the predominant question I was getting 14 from a few people. I was getting inundated by a MR. STERN: We'll see if we can find 14 15 15 couple of people like has the deal been approved that. 16 yet, and I said, no, we're still here. 16 Q. Did you have your BlackBerry with you 17 in the courtroom? 17 Obviously the hearing went on from 6 till like 1 18 in the morning and ultimately got approved, 18 A. Yes. 19 so -- but, yeah, I definitely responded on 19 Q. Did you send --20 occasion in very short thrift to people who 20 A. You couldn't -- I don't -- I can't 21 remember if we could use it or not. Yeah, I 21 e-mailed me. 22 Q. Under the deal as it was described at 22 think we could use the BlackBerry. 23 23 Q. Mr. Berkenfeld told us that the court on September 19, was Lehman supposed 24 technically you were supposed to check it, but a 24 to give Barclays any cash? 25 lot of people brought them in. 25 A. Under the original agreement, as I TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 Highly Confidential Highly Confidential Page 189 Page 188 M. Shapiro M. Shapiro McDade's office on the 19th? recall, there was a cash transfer. Under the 2 2 3 A. Again, I'm being a little bit careful 3 revised agreement, I don't believe -- again, I'd because I don't have a precise recollection. 4 have to review the agreement again in more 4 5 detail, but my recollection, my best 5 I'm trying to give you the best --6 recollection is that that was changed and there 6 Q. That's okay. 7 A. -- kind of sense that I remember. I 7 was no cash passing hands from Lehman to 8 don't -- if I learned about it, it would have 8 Barclays. 9 9 most likely been in Bart's office at some point Q. And do you recall how you learned during that day because that's most likely where 10 about that change? 10 it would have come from, is someone -- either A. I think I heard about that change -- I 11 11 12 heard about that change during the day on 12 Bart or someone working for Bart or Shafir, you 13 know, might have been Shafir. I can't tell you 13 Friday. because I don't have a specific recollection. 14 14 Ο. Before court? A. Yeah, before -- I think it was in the 15 But it would have most likely have been, you 15 16 know, in one of the meetings that I attended in afternoon I heard about it, and then it was actually the whole deal -- I didn't really have 17 Bart's office. 17 full understanding of the deal because I wasn't, 18 Q. Do you recall who said it? 18 19 A. No. I don't even remember it actually 19 you know, in Bart's office when they were 20 finishing it, as I explained earlier. So I 20 being said. I just have a recollection that I knew before the hearing that the cash piece had heard what everybody else heard at the time, but 21 been eliminated. I just don't remember where I 22 22 I do have a recollection that the -- that there 23 got it from. 2.3 was an elimination of the cash transfer. 24 MR. STERN: Is this a meeting at which Q. And just to be clear, you think you 24 25 counsel was present? learned about that at that meeting in Bart

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

| | | | The state of the s |
|-------------|---|-----------|--|
| | Highly Confidential | _ | Highly Confidential |
| | Page 190 | | Page 191 |
| 1 | M. Shapiro | 1 | M. Shapiro |
| 2 | THE WITNESS: Weil Gotshal was pretty | 2 | the meeting earlier, I don't recall the |
| 3 | much in Bart's office most of that afternoon | 3 | witness when I asked about the meeting in |
| 4 | because Mike was doing the contract. And so | 4 | Mr. McDade's office, I don't recall the |
| 5 | whatever changes were happening, he was | 5 | witness saying originally that anyone from |
| 6 | sitting there with his computer making | 6 | Weil was there. |
| 7 | changes. Mike | 7 | THE WITNESS: I think I had said |
| 8 | What's his name? | 8 | actually earlier in the testimony actually I |
| 9 | MR. STERN: Lubowitz. | 9 | think I had said that. |
| 10 | THE WITNESS: Lubowitz. | 10 | MR. CARDEN: Anyway, he can't put in |
| 11 | So counsel would have probably been | 11 | anything from that meeting, so I think we |
| 12 | around. | 12 | can move on. |
| 13 | MR. WOOD: Just to make the record | 13 | MR. WOOD: He doesn't recall it so we |
| 14 | clear, I'm not intending to ask about any | 14 | can move on. |
| 15 | comments that the lawyers made there. | 15 | Q. Do you remember any presentation to |
| 16 | MR. STERN: I think you already asked | 16 | the court I'm sorry, do you recall any |
| 17 | a question about discussions with counsel, | 17 | representations to the court regarding whether |
| 18 | but go ahead. | 18 | or not cash would be included in the deal? |
| 19 | MR. WOOD: By asking about the | 19 | A. I don't remember. When you say |
| 20 | conversation in Mr. McDade's office? | 20 | "representations to the court," you mean in the |
| 21 | MR. STERN: You're asking about | 21 | form of an oral presentation by someone or what |
| 22 | conversations between the client and their | 22 | do you mean? |
| 23 | counsel. It's your choice as to whether you | 23 | Q. At all. |
| 24 | want to do that. | 24 | A. Well, the contract spoke for itself |
| 25 | MR. WOOD: Well, when I asked about | 25 | and the motion describing the contract |
| | TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 | | TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 |
| 94. 47. 488 | Highly Confidential | | Highly Confidential |
| | Page 192 | | Page 193 |
| 1 | M. Shapiro | 1 | M. Shapiro |
| 2 | presumably speaks for itself, so whatever they | 2 | virtually no communication I mean, maybe I |
| 3 | will say was said and whatever was said in the | 3 | had minor, but I had almost no communication |
| 4 | hearing on the record was said, I don't | 4 | with anybody from Lehman Brothers over the |
| 5 | Q. Do you recall anything being said | 5 | weekend. |
| 6 | A. I don't personally. | 6 | MR. WOOD: Okay. I don't have |
| 7 | Q orally? | 7 | anything else, thanks. |
| 8 | A. I don't remember anything. | 8 | EXAMINATION BY |
| 9 | Q. Okay. The clarification letter you | 9 | MS. TAGGART: Q. Do you know where Michael Klein is |
| 10 | have there, Exhibit 25, have you read that | 11 | Q. Do you know where Michael Klein is now? |
| 11 12 | before today? A. Yes, I read it in preparation for the | 12 | A. Only well, the answer is no. |
| 13 | deposition. | 13 | Q. What's the last that you heard where |
| 14 | Q. Did you read it before then? | 14 | Michael Klein was or might be? |
| 15 | A. It's possible that I read it when I | 15 | A. I read an article in the paper, when |
| 16 | got back from California and I asked for a set | 16 | was it, I don't know when it was, months ago |
| 17 | of documents. I may have I may have looked | 17 | that he and Sandy Weil might have been forming |
| 18 | at it, but I don't think I like read it, read it | 18 | some sort of a venture to buy distressed assets |
| 19 | because the deal had been done and I wasn't part | 19 | or invest in distressed banks or something like |
| 20 | of that negotiation and it said clarification | 20 | that. |
| 21 | letter and I wasn't that interested in it. | 21 | Q. Also you talked at the very beginning |
| 22 | Q. Do you recall whether you read it at | 22 | that when you were setting out to kind of make |
| 23 | all over the weekend while you were in | 23 | the deal and put it in some sort of contract |
| 24 | California? | 24 | form, you had a big a structural idea of what |
| 25 | A. No, I definitely did not. I had | 25 | that would be. |
| | TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 | 000000000 | TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 |

Highly Confidential

Page 194

Highly Confidential

Page 195

M. Shapiro

1

2

3

4

5

6

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

22

23

24

25

What was your first concept structurally about what assets were going to be transferred? Not, obviously, not at a specific level but kind of when you're first putting together the deal, what was the criterion you were looking in what assets might be there?

7 8 A. At the end of the day, it was really 9 what the purchaser was willing to buy as opposed 10 to what I was willing to sell because we didn't 11 have a lot of choices, obviously. So the 12 structure of the deal had to be tailored to what our buyer or buyers, depending on what we had in hand, and when we started thinking about it, I didn't know it was going to be Barclays. When I started working on it, I thought it could either be B of A or Barclays, had actually written a 18 short memo to -- an e-mail to Mark Shafir and Tom Russo and Brad Whitman, who's on the M&A 20 team, which was a paragraph or two basically saying that if we couldn't get a deal done with somebody out of court that maybe we could actually effectuate a 363 sale in court with a 24 buyer, either Barclays or BarCap, and I thought

M. Shapiro

quickly if we had the support of the regulators. 3 And so I would say that sort of 4 general construct was, okay, it's going to be an 5 asset deal of some kind. Then obviously we need 6 to figure out what assets is your buyer going to 7 buy. In my simple world, it was buy the buildings, New York, New Jersey, buy whatever 9 securities you're prepared to buy, right? And 10 that was obviously a decision that Barclays had 11 to make as to what it wanted to buy and Lehman 12 had to make as to what it wanted to sell, which wasn't, obviously, within my pursue; deal with 14 whatever liabilities could be assumed, you know, 15 in terms of the firm's ongoing businesses and 16 how we could create -- get Barclays to pick up 17 the maximum amount of liabilities, because 18 obviously that would limit what the estate was 19 responsible for; deal with the contracts, as we discussed earlier, in terms of assuming the assigned contracts; deal with the -- deal with

20 21

22 the employees and how they were going to be 23

treated, because at the end of the day, you know, I don't think Barclays believed that it

was buying an empty building. I think they TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

M. Shapiro

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

we could actually get something done reasonably

Page 196

1

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

Highly Confidential

Page 197

believed they were buying a going concern with people who could function to create a going concern. This is a business where your human capital walks in and out the door every day, and that's the most important capital you have, is the people. So we had to figure out how to ensure that we were able to convince people to stick around and not leave while we were getting

this done. Those are probably the general categories when I started thinking about this. There were a lot of other assets that Lehman

14 owned, private equity assets, commercial real 15 estate, obviously you had the Europe, you had 16 Asia, and, you know, my view was that, you know,

17 to actually get a transaction accomplished within a reasonable period of time, we needed to keep it as simple as we could because obviously

20 the simpler it's kept the more easily people can 21 understand it.

Q. Was there a time that you got an indication of what Barclays was interested in buying as far as the assets?

A. Yeah, I think, as I indicated earlier, TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

M. Shapiro

at some point Archie Cox and their team said, you know, we want to buy the building, obviously we need the buildings in New Jersey, we're 5 evaluating the securities. Obviously so they were not committing to what they would or 6 7 wouldn't buy. They were doing diligence on 8 that. There was a whole team of people from 9 Barclays that were on -- I think they were on 10 the 31st floor, from what I remember seeing 11 them, in some offices doing diligence around 12 different securities, but I really wasn't party 13 to that.

There was the discussion I mentioned earlier which was more of a generic discussion about having the right to purchase contracts that they could assume and assign, but there was no specificity as to, well, I want this specific contract, I want that specific contract.

At some point during the week they clearly had a team of people that were starting to go through that, but that had been an ongoing effort from them since they had 60 days to figure it out.

Q. How best --

Highly Confidential Highly Confidential Page 198 Page 199 1 M. Shapiro M. Shapiro 2 I'm sorry. 2 everyone make sure that they try to get the 3 3 A. I'm just thinking. As you're asking, facts as right as they could under the 4 I'm just thinking about your question. 4 circumstances and be able to convey, you know, 5 In terms of assets ---5 what we had right, title and interest in, not 6 O. Let's talk about the securities in 6 subject to a lien, et cetera. 7 particular. 7 So, in light of the size of the Lehman 8 8 A. Sure. Brothers balance sheet, it was taking time to 9 Q. When did that evaluation of securities 9 evaluate that from both side's perspective, time 10 end so that Barclays had made a decision about 10 in terms of understanding what they were, time 11 what securities it wanted to buy? 11 in terms of presumably understanding what each 12 A. Well, I would say it was -- it seemed 12 side believed the marks to be, et cetera. 13 to me that it was an ongoing process that really 13 So that was an ongoing process that 14 took place throughout the week because it was 14 did not end when we left court on Wednesday not just what Barclays could buy, but what 15 where they were named the stalking horse and 16 Lehman could convey, right? 16 they clearly, if we had tried to convey to them 17 As I indicated earlier, I was 17 securities that we didn't have title to, for 18 personally very focused on making sure that 18 example, they could have said, no, we don't have 19 everyone understood. You know, there weren't 19 to close. 20 very many people who had ever worked on a 20 So I believe, you know, the changes 21 bankruptcy deal, and I just wanted people to 21 that were ultimately made to the contract 22 understand that we could only convey what we had reflect the fact that the facts had continued to 23 right, title and interest to convey, change from Monday to Friday based on what we 24 notwithstanding bankruptcy. 24 believed to be a snapshot on call it the middle 25 of the night on Monday. The contract was And so it was very important that TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 Highly Confidential Highly Confidential Page 200 Page 201 M. Shapiro 1 M. Shapiro 2 2 drafted, as it said, on Tuesday, and then as A. Yeah. Okay. I'm looking at that 3 Wednesday when we were in court, and then over 3 section. 4 the -- but facts had continued to change. 4 Q. Sure. Go ahead. Sorry. 5 obviously, facts were continuing to change post 5 What was your understanding of what 6 the hearing, and therefore people ultimately got 6 was being agreed to with Section D? 7 7 to a different set of securities that ultimately A. What it says: That the purchased 8 were conveyed by Friday. 8 assets included government securities, Q. What, if anything, was decided about 9 commercial paper, corporate debt, corporate 10 what securities would be conveyed as of the equity, exchange-traded derivatives, short-term 10 agreements that had a book value of 11 signing of the APA? 11 12 approximately 70 billion, and that that group A. I'm not sure I understand your 13 13 obviously was I'll call it generically described question. 14 MR. STERN: Objection as to form. 14 in this provision, let's call it the backing of 15 that, the information from which that was Q. Well, let's look at it. 16 A. Okay. 16 derived obviously was for people who were in the 17 O. It's Exhibit 1, and you can go to page 17 securities area and who at the time were using 18 18 that sheet that we talked about earlier, that --6. 19 19 I forget what the exhibit is. A. I'm sorry, I'm looking at this 20 20 exhibit. Is this what you're talking about? Q. 19. 21 21 MR. STERN: Let me get Exhibit 1. A. Exhibit 91, we were using that as a 22 22 shorthand way to categorize and put down on a Q. So if you turn to page 6, in piece of paper what both sides believed were particular on Purchased Assets, and let's start 23 going to be transferred that were, you know, on section D, which starts with government 24 securities, commercial paper, corporate debt. 25 theoretically, at that point in time, owned by

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

| | Highly Confidential | | Highly Confidential |
|----------|---|----------|--|
| | Page 202 | | Page 203 |
| 1 | M. Shapiro | 1 | M. Shapiro |
| 2 | Lehman Brothers. | 2 | understand that things were pretty fluid, and |
| 3 | Q. Was it your understanding that there | 3 | when you were talking about contracts, there's a |
| 4 | was some sort of bucket of assets that there was | 4 | whole process that's in this contract where |
| 5 | an agreement as of the time of the signing of | 5 | you're going to review contracts and evaluate |
| 6 | the APA would be transferred? | 6 | and choose. |
| 7 | MR. STERN: Objection. | 7 | A. Right. |
| 8 | Q. That is being described in subsection | 8 | Q. But when it comes to the securities, |
| 9 | D? | 9 | first of all, you're not describing a process of |
| 10 | MR. STERN: Objection to the form. | 10 | choosing securities in a contract, right? |
| 11 | A. I'm not sure I understand your | 11 | A. That's correct. |
| 12 | question. | 12 | MR. STERN: Wait. Wait. I |
| 13 | Q. Is subsection D meant to describe an | 13 | just want a standing objection to the form. |
| 14 | actual set of securities that is in any way had | 14 | Q. And was it your understanding there |
| 15 | already been determined as of the signing of the | 15 | was an agreement that there would be conveyed |
| 16 17 | APA? | 16 17 | some bucket of assets that had a value at that date of \$70 billion? |
| 18 | A. I'm still not subsection D describes what both sides believed to be the | 18 | MR. STERN: Objection to the form. |
| 19 | types, kinds and magnitude of the securities | 19 | Can you read the question? |
| 20 | that Barclays, as the buyer, Lehman, as the | 20 | (Record read.) |
| 21 | seller, believed could be conveyed to Barclays | 21 | A. I can't speak to an agreement as to |
| 22 | under this contract as of as of the time we | 22 | value. I can only say that I believed the |
| 23 | signed it, which was, you know, as I said, about | 23 | parties intended by this provision D that |
| 24 | 10:30 on that Tuesday night, the 16th. | 24 | this these categories of securities, meaning |
| 25 | Q. I guess what I'm trying to get at, I | 25 | government securities, commercial paper, et |
| | TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 | | TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 |
| | Highly Confidential | | Highly Confidential |
| | Page 204 | | Page 205 |
| 1 | M. Shapiro | 1 | M. Shapiro |
| 2 | cetera, as described in Exhibit D, which I | 2 | some things, and I want to find out in what way |
| 3 | believe Lehman had a book value with respect to | 3 | you think you're describing. First of all, were |
| 4 | such securities of \$70 billion as of that night, | 4 | there at the time of the signing of the APA |
| 5 | that that's what the parties agreed were the | 5 | actual securities, a bucket of securities that |
| 6 | were the securities to be transferred on the | 6 | are being described by subsection D? MR. STERN: Objection to the form, but |
| 7 8 | asset side. Q. Well, were there actually securities | 8 | you can answer. |
| 9 | that had been agreed as of the signing of the | 9 | A. I can only state what I know as a |
| 10 | APA to be transferred? | 10 | matter of fact personally, which was that it was |
| 11 | MR. STERN: Objection to the form. | 11 | represented by people who were going through the |
| 12 | A. When you say "were there securities," | 12 | reames of data that provided the description of |
| 13 | I'm not sure what you mean by that. | 13 | securities and however they were categorizing it |
| 14 | Q. I'm trying to find out what's I | 14 | that the information we were given was that |
| 15 | think you mentioned, I was trying to check my | 15 | these securities as described in this form were |
| 16 | notes, that when you were drafting, you were | 16 | available to be conveyed as of that time by |
| 17 | trying to make sure that it really delineated | 17 | Lehman to Barclays. |
| 18 | what was agreed to? | 18 19 | As I think I indicated in earlier |
| 19 20 | A. Yes. MD STERN: That's the question? | 20 | testimony, the facts on the ground changed significantly because not only were some of the |
| 21 | MR. STERN: That's the question? A. Your question was were we trying to | 21 | securities that Lehman that people at Lehman |
| 22 | accurately reflect what was agreed? | 22 | may have believed were owned by Lehman as of |
| 23 | Q. Yes. Sure. | 23 | that moment in time, they learned later during |
| | Z. 100 Duio | £ | |
| F . | A. I would hope we were trying, yeah. | 24 | the week that maybe they weren't necessarily |
| 24 25 | A. I would hope we were trying, yeah.Q. So I understand that this describes | 24 25 | the week that maybe they weren't necessarily owned, they might have been sold, trades were |
| 24 | A. I would hope we were trying, yeah. Q. So I understand that this describes TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 | 1 | |

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

2

3

4

Highly Confidential

Page 206

M. Shapiro closing, people were getting blown out.

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

17

18

19

20

23

25

1 2

3

4

5

6

7

18

24

25

So, you know, this is a trading business, right? I think if you stepped into any trading business, you would learn very quickly that it's very hard to absolutely know with absolute certainty as of a given minute exactly what you have and that's why I think this says "approximately 70 billion." It gives categories of securities.

In the 24 hours we had, I think this 12 was the best we could do as a description within 13 a contract. That didn't mean that people 14 didn't, from a business perspective, have the 15 backup for what they believed to be able to be 16 conveyed as of that date. They did, but then, as I indicated, as they learned more about what was happening to Lehman over the course of the next few days, those changed.

Q. Is it your understanding that what's 21 being described here is an intent to convey any of these categories, government securities, commercial paper, et cetera, that can be 24 conveved?

> MR. STERN: Objection to the form. TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 207

M. Shapiro

2 A. If we couldn't convey them, we 3 couldn't make the representations that we make 4 in the contract so we wouldn't have been able to 5 actually close the deal. So obviously you 6 can't -- often you enter into a contract where, 7 on the day you enter into the contract, you say 8 something, but then you have to bring the rep 9 down at closing.

10 And this is not a rep that could have been brought down at closing in terms of being 11 12 able to convey to them right, title and interest 13 to these specific securities as they had identified them as of that date because there 15 were things that changed about those securities.

Q. I understand you think whether it's implicit or just known in the industry that you cannot convey ones that you don't have title to, that's going to be a limitation --

MR. STERN: Wait. I'm waiting to hear the question.

Q. That would be a limitation that you think is built into this deal, right?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form. Can I hear the question?

TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 208

M. Shapiro (Record read.)

MR. STERN: That's the question.

- Q. Maybe I can put it another way.
- A. Okay.

O. In what way, as a contractual matter, would Lehman breach this provision?

8 A. Okay. So if you were to look at 9 Section 5.4 of the contract, it says "Title to 10 Purchased Assets," and it says, "Other than the 11 real property...intellectual property...Seller 12 owns each of the purchased assets, the Seller --13 and Purchaser will be vested with good and 14 exclusive titles to such Purchased Assets, free and clear of all Liens, other than Permitted Exceptions, to the fullest extent permitted 16 17 under Section 363."

So I would call that a reasonably 19 standard provision in a sale contract, and 20 basically it means that you have to convey good 21 title to your buyer or your buyer either doesn't have to close or can sue you for breach of contract if you close and deliver him an asset that you didn't have the right to convey.

> Q. How would Lehman breach this provision TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 209

M. Shapiro

of the contract?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form. You can try to answer.

5 A. Well, my fear was they could have 6 breached it, or possibly could have breached it 7 if they agreed to let's call it a set of securities that existed in their books and records as reflected by traders and other people

who were in control of those records as of the 10 11 date that we signed this that in fact things

12 were happening that were well beyond the

knowledge of those of the lawyers drafting this 13 14 contract in the context of the trading business,

15 which actually did occur, and therefore, we were

making -- then if you can't know that an asset

that you are trying to convey you actually have 17 title to because either (A) you already sold it 18

to somebody else or (B) someone took it from you 19

20 because you had repoed it and you didn't -- and

21 the repo was taken, you know, the repo was

22 terminated and the security was taken and,

23 therefore, while on your books and records you might have shown that as an asset you owned

subject to the repo, by the time Friday came

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

Highly Confidential

Page 210

M. Shapiro

1

4

5

6

7

8

10

11

15

16

17

18

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

16

17

18

19

2 along, you no longer owned it because the repo 3 had been blown out.

So things like that concerned me about making sure that we were able to make the rep at closing.

- Q. On the page 6, though, the description of purchased assets, I think that you have mentioned that there's no schedule attached of the assets that are going to be conveyed, right?
- A. Yeah, the only schedule that was in 12 any way referenced that had let's say a 13 relationship to this was the schedule we previously talked about.
 - Q. And that even wasn't attached --
 - A. That was not a party to the contract. That was just a referred to schedule.
- Q. And I believe this -- you said this 19 value of approximately 70 billion, did you believe there was actually an agreement that securities valued at 70 billion would be conveyed? Is that the way you read this?
- 23 A. What it says is what I believe was 24 agreed to.
 - Q. So Lehman is agreeing to give assets TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 211

M. Shapiro

that have this description, government securities, commercial paper, that are valued as of -- at approximately \$70 billion as of the signing of the APA?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

- A. Lehman agreed to sell to Barclays the securities that were described in Section D in accordance with the terms of this agreement as part of this agreement.
- Q. Do you see there's not much description about what those assets are, right?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

- 14 A. If you're asking could there -- could there have been more detail behind each of these 15 if this deal was done in the course of a let's 16 17 say a month and you had lots of time, I guess 1.8 probably the answer would be yes, but since this 19 deal was done in a week, and because you were
- dealing with a moving -- a moving target in the 21 sense as I described earlier, some of the
- 22 securities that were trying to be conveyed were
- 23 being changed on the ground, this was the best
- 24 that the lawyers could do to reflect the
- business agreement, which I believe it does TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 212

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

M. Shapiro accurately reflect as of that Tuesday night what people had agreed to, that obviously that was required to be changed because of the fact both sides recognized that this description no longer accurately reflected what could be conveyed as of Friday.

- Q. We also talked or you spoke earlier about how the liabilities were at 69 billion, approximately, and the conveyed assets was 70 billion, right?
- 12 A. Yeah, those two -- there was obviously 13 a linkage because the liabilities were, you 14 know, were financings of these securities, for 15 the most part, as I understood it.
 - Q. Was it your understanding that, in making this deal, that there were going to be some parity between the assets and the liabilities?

MR. STERN: Objection to the form.

20 21 A. I don't really know what you mean by 22 "parity." I think as I described it earlier, just in terms of the facts as I knew them, there was a number which was approximately 70 billion 25 in this contract which was reflecting that TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 213

M. Shapiro

schedule that said around 72 billion. There was -- on the asset side. On the liability side, this says I think approximately 69 million. The schedule reflected around 68.4, 69.4. I don't remember what it said, again, approximately.

The difference between the two would generally reflect I think the fact that you've never financed 100 percent of the positions that you were buying, right? Everything was haircutted a bit.

So I -- you're asking me the question, was there a different between the asset side and the liability side, yeah, but I would have expected that.

Q. Let's look at Exhibit 19. Do you still have that in front of you?

MR. STERN: No, but we'll get it out.

Q. I'm also going to show you what's previously been marked as Exhibit 18.

First, for the record, do you recognize Exhibit 18?

24 A. They look very similar to me, so when you say do I recognize it, I don't have a

| | The second secon | , | |
|--|--|--|---|
| | Highly Confidential | | Highly Confidential |
| | Page 214 | WWW | Page 215 |
| 1 | M. Shapiro | 1 | M. Shapiro |
| 2 | specific recollection of it, other than | 2 | same categories? What do you mean by "the |
| 3 | generally I am familiar with this schedule as it | 3 | same"? |
| 4 | kind of looks like the last schedule I looked | 4 | Q. In both Exhibit 18 and Exhibit 19, the |
| 5 | at. | 5 | totals of the assets match the totals of the |
| 6 | Q. You see they look similar. They have | 6 | liabilities in that exhibit? |
| 7 | columns. There's assets | 7 | A. No, I don't agree with what you just |
| 8 | A. Yeah. | 8 | said, unless I don't understand what you just |
| 9 | Q and liabilities? | 9 | said, which is probably |
| 10 | A. Yeah. | 10 | Q. Well, let's look at Exhibit 18. |
| 11 | Q. And similar categories, right? | 11 | A. Let's just take the first column of |
| 12 | A. Yes. | 12 | assets. So I see government and AG, agencies, |
| 13 | Q. And some changes in the numbers? | 13 | 40 billion. Commercial paper, 1.1. That's the |
| 14 | A. Yeah, one looks like it was done at | 14 | same. Mortgages, 2.9 versus 2.7. |
| 15 | 11:18, one looks like it was done 10:09. | 15 | Q. I just mean the totals. So let me try |
| 16 | Q. And I believe you said there was a | 16 | to ask that again. Let's just start with |
| 17 | number of iterations of this type of | 17 | Exhibit |
| 18 | spreadsheet, right? | 18 | A. Total dollars? What are we talking |
| 19 | A. I believe there were multiple | 19 | about when you say "total"? Can you be more |
| 20 | iterations of it. | 20 | precise? |
| 21 | Q. You notice that on both Exhibit 18 and | 21 | Q. Let's start with Exhibit 18. On the |
| 22 | Exhibit 19 the assets and liabilities are the | 22 | bottom, the number for adjusted total assets is |
| 23 | same, right? | 23 | 73.15, which I believe is billions of dollars, |
| 24 | A. Let's see. No, they're not the same. | 24 | right? |
| 25 | Well, when you say they're the same, meaning the | 25 | A. Yes. |
| ĺ | TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 | *************************************** | TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 |
| k.e. vvo | Highly Confidential | egen,represer son u | Highly Confidential |
| | | | Page 217 |
| | Page 216 | 8 | raue ZI/ |
| . 1 | 3.5.01 | _ | |
| 1 | M. Shapiro | 1 | M. Shapiro |
| 2 | Q. And that's also the total that's | 2 | M. Shapiro question. |
| 2 3 | Q. And that's also the total that's listed under liabilities, 73.15? | 2 3 | M. Shapiro question. Q. You also mentioned, I think you said |
| 2 3 4 | Q. And that's also the total that'slisted under liabilities, 73.15?A. Those two numbers match, correct. | 2 3 4 | M. Shapiro question. Q. You also mentioned, I think you said that you would expect the liabilities to be a |
| 2 3 4 5 | Q. And that's also the total that's listed under liabilities, 73.15? A. Those two numbers match, correct. Q. And in Exhibit 19 the totals also | 2 3 4 5 | M. Shapiro question. Q. You also mentioned, I think you said that you would expect the liabilities to be a little bit less than the assets for what you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | Q. And that's also the total that's listed under liabilities, 73.15? A. Those two numbers match, correct. Q. And in Exhibit 19 the totals also match, where the total assets is 72.65 and then | 23456 | M. Shapiro question. Q. You also mentioned, I think you said that you would expect the liabilities to be a little bit less than the assets for what you described as a haircut; is that right? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | Q. And that's also the total that's listed under liabilities, 73.15? A. Those two numbers match, correct. Q. And in Exhibit 19 the totals also match, where the total assets is 72.65 and then the total liabilities is 72.65 also, right? | 2 3 4 5 | M. Shapiro question. Q. You also mentioned, I think you said that you would expect the liabilities to be a little bit less than the assets for what you described as a haircut; is that right? MR. STERN: Objection to the form. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | Q. And that's also the total that's listed under liabilities, 73.15? A. Those two numbers match, correct. Q. And in Exhibit 19 the totals also match, where the total assets is 72.65 and then the total liabilities is 72.65 also, right? A. Those numbers are the same, I agree. | 234567 | M. Shapiro question. Q. You also mentioned, I think you said that you would expect the liabilities to be a little bit less than the assets for what you described as a haircut; is that right? MR. STERN: Objection to the form. A. What I described is that when people |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | Q. And that's also the total that's listed under liabilities, 73.15? A. Those two numbers match, correct. Q. And in Exhibit 19 the totals also match, where the total assets is 72.65 and then the total liabilities is 72.65 also, right? | 2345678 | M. Shapiro question. Q. You also mentioned, I think you said that you would expect the liabilities to be a little bit less than the assets for what you described as a haircut; is that right? MR. STERN: Objection to the form. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q. And that's also the total that's listed under liabilities, 73.15? A. Those two numbers match, correct. Q. And in Exhibit 19 the totals also match, where the total assets is 72.65 and then the total liabilities is 72.65 also, right? A. Those numbers are the same, I agree. Q. Do you remember in previous iterations | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | M. Shapiro question. Q. You also mentioned, I think you said that you would expect the liabilities to be a little bit less than the assets for what you described as a haircut; is that right? MR. STERN: Objection to the form. A. What I described is that when people typically finance an asset, when financial institutions finances an asset, it doesn't usually lend 100 cents on the dollar because if |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | Q. And that's also the total that's listed under liabilities, 73.15? A. Those two numbers match, correct. Q. And in Exhibit 19 the totals also match, where the total assets is 72.65 and then the total liabilities is 72.65 also, right? A. Those numbers are the same, I agree. Q. Do you remember in previous iterations of this document whether the assets and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | M. Shapiro question. Q. You also mentioned, I think you said that you would expect the liabilities to be a little bit less than the assets for what you described as a haircut; is that right? MR. STERN: Objection to the form. A. What I described is that when people typically finance an asset, when financial institutions finances an asset, it doesn't |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | Q. And that's also the total that's listed under liabilities, 73.15? A. Those two numbers match, correct. Q. And in Exhibit 19 the totals also match, where the total assets is 72.65 and then the total liabilities is 72.65 also, right? A. Those numbers are the same, I agree. Q. Do you remember in previous iterations of this document whether the assets and liabilities also matched each other? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | M. Shapiro question. Q. You also mentioned, I think you said that you would expect the liabilities to be a little bit less than the assets for what you described as a haircut; is that right? MR. STERN: Objection to the form. A. What I described is that when people typically finance an asset, when financial institutions finances an asset, it doesn't usually lend 100 cents on the dollar because if the asset value goes down, then they're out-of-pocket. So usually they have some |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | Q. And that's also the total that's listed under liabilities, 73.15? A. Those two numbers match, correct. Q. And in Exhibit 19 the totals also match, where the total assets is 72.65 and then the total liabilities is 72.65 also, right? A. Those numbers are the same, I agree. Q. Do you remember in previous iterations of this document whether the assets and liabilities also matched each other? A. I think, again, as I said, there were | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | M. Shapiro question. Q. You also mentioned, I think you said that you would expect the liabilities to be a little bit less than the assets for what you described as a haircut; is that right? MR. STERN: Objection to the form. A. What I described is that when people typically finance an asset, when financial institutions finances an asset, it doesn't usually lend 100 cents on the dollar because if the asset value goes down, then they're out-of-pocket. So usually they have some cushion for what's known as a haircut so that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | Q. And that's also the total that's listed under liabilities, 73.15? A. Those two numbers match, correct. Q. And in Exhibit 19 the totals also match, where the total assets is 72.65 and then the total liabilities is 72.65 also, right? A. Those numbers are the same, I agree. Q. Do you remember in previous iterations of this document whether the assets and liabilities also matched each other? A. I think, again, as I said, there were multiple of these documents. I think that there | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | M. Shapiro question. Q. You also mentioned, I think you said that you would expect the liabilities to be a little bit less than the assets for what you described as a haircut; is that right? MR. STERN: Objection to the form. A. What I described is that when people typically finance an asset, when financial institutions finances an asset, it doesn't usually lend 100 cents on the dollar because if the asset value goes down, then they're out-of-pocket. So usually they have some cushion for what's known as a haircut so that before when they lend, they only lend a portion |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | Q. And that's also the total that's listed under liabilities, 73.15? A. Those two numbers match, correct. Q. And in Exhibit 19 the totals also match, where the total assets is 72.65 and then the total liabilities is 72.65 also, right? A. Those numbers are the same, I agree. Q. Do you remember in previous iterations of this document whether the assets and liabilities also matched each other? A. I think, again, as I said, there were multiple of these documents. I think that there were times when they didn't match, but I can't be absolutely sure of that, but I think that there were. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | M. Shapiro question. Q. You also mentioned, I think you said that you would expect the liabilities to be a little bit less than the assets for what you described as a haircut; is that right? MR. STERN: Objection to the form. A. What I described is that when people typically finance an asset, when financial institutions finances an asset, it doesn't usually lend 100 cents on the dollar because if the asset value goes down, then they're out-of-pocket. So usually they have some cushion for what's known as a haircut so that before when they lend, they only lend a portion of the asset value. So it didn't surprise me |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | Q. And that's also the total that's listed under liabilities, 73.15? A. Those two numbers match, correct. Q. And in Exhibit 19 the totals also match, where the total assets is 72.65 and then the total liabilities is 72.65 also, right? A. Those numbers are the same, I agree. Q. Do you remember in previous iterations of this document whether the assets and liabilities also matched each other? A. I think, again, as I said, there were multiple of these documents. I think that there were times when they didn't match, but I can't be absolutely sure of that, but I think that there were. Q. And do you know if going into signing | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 2 13 14 15 6 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 17 | M. Shapiro question. Q. You also mentioned, I think you said that you would expect the liabilities to be a little bit less than the assets for what you described as a haircut; is that right? MR. STERN: Objection to the form. A. What I described is that when people typically finance an asset, when financial institutions finances an asset, it doesn't usually lend 100 cents on the dollar because if the asset value goes down, then they're out-of-pocket. So usually they have some cushion for what's known as a haircut so that before when they lend, they only lend a portion of the asset value. So it didn't surprise me that we had that differential since we were |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | Q. And that's also the total that's listed under liabilities, 73.15? A. Those two numbers match, correct. Q. And in Exhibit 19 the totals also match, where the total assets is 72.65 and then the total liabilities is 72.65 also, right? A. Those numbers are the same, I agree. Q. Do you remember in previous iterations of this document whether the assets and liabilities also matched each other? A. I think, again, as I said, there were multiple of these documents. I think that there were times when they didn't match, but I can't be absolutely sure of that, but I think that there were. Q. And do you know if going into signing the APA the understanding was, although these | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | M. Shapiro question. Q. You also mentioned, I think you said that you would expect the liabilities to be a little bit less than the assets for what you described as a haircut; is that right? MR. STERN: Objection to the form. A. What I described is that when people typically finance an asset, when financial institutions finances an asset, it doesn't usually lend 100 cents on the dollar because if the asset value goes down, then they're out-of-pocket. So usually they have some cushion for what's known as a haircut so that before when they lend, they only lend a portion of the asset value. So it didn't surprise me that we had that differential since we were talking about a book. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | Q. And that's also the total that's listed under liabilities, 73.15? A. Those two numbers match, correct. Q. And in Exhibit 19 the totals also match, where the total assets is 72.65 and then the total liabilities is 72.65 also, right? A. Those numbers are the same, I agree. Q. Do you remember in previous iterations of this document whether the assets and liabilities also matched each other? A. I think, again, as I said, there were multiple of these documents. I think that there were times when they didn't match, but I can't be absolutely sure of that, but I think that there were. Q. And do you know if going into signing the APA the understanding was, although these numbers might change, there would be a matching | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | M. Shapiro question. Q. You also mentioned, I think you said that you would expect the liabilities to be a little bit less than the assets for what you described as a haircut; is that right? MR. STERN: Objection to the form. A. What I described is that when people typically finance an asset, when financial institutions finances an asset, it doesn't usually lend 100 cents on the dollar because if the asset value goes down, then they're out-of-pocket. So usually they have some cushion for what's known as a haircut so that before when they lend, they only lend a portion of the asset value. So it didn't surprise me that we had that differential since we were talking about a book. Q. And do you mean that on a |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | Q. And that's also the total that's listed under liabilities, 73.15? A. Those two numbers match, correct. Q. And in Exhibit 19 the totals also match, where the total assets is 72.65 and then the total liabilities is 72.65 also, right? A. Those numbers are the same, I agree. Q. Do you remember in previous iterations of this document whether the assets and liabilities also matched each other? A. I think, again, as I said, there were multiple of these documents. I think that there were times when they didn't match, but I can't be absolutely sure of that, but I think that there were. Q. And do you know if going into signing the APA the understanding was, although these numbers might change, there would be a matching between the assets that were conveyed and the | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 9 20 | M. Shapiro question. Q. You also mentioned, I think you said that you would expect the liabilities to be a little bit less than the assets for what you described as a haircut; is that right? MR. STERN: Objection to the form. A. What I described is that when people typically finance an asset, when financial institutions finances an asset, it doesn't usually lend 100 cents on the dollar because if the asset value goes down, then they're out-of-pocket. So usually they have some cushion for what's known as a haircut so that before when they lend, they only lend a portion of the asset value. So it didn't surprise me that we had that differential since we were talking about a book. Q. And do you mean that on a particular each individual security? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | Q. And that's also the total that's listed under liabilities, 73.15? A. Those two numbers match, correct. Q. And in Exhibit 19 the totals also match, where the total assets is 72.65 and then the total liabilities is 72.65 also, right? A. Those numbers are the same, I agree. Q. Do you remember in previous iterations of this document whether the assets and liabilities also matched each other? A. I think, again, as I said, there were multiple of these documents. I think that there were times when they didn't match, but I can't be absolutely sure of that, but I think that there were. Q. And do you know if going into signing the APA the understanding was, although these numbers might change, there would be a matching between the assets that were conveyed and the liabilities that were assumed? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | M. Shapiro question. Q. You also mentioned, I think you said that you would expect the liabilities to be a little bit less than the assets for what you described as a haircut; is that right? MR. STERN: Objection to the form. A. What I described is that when people typically finance an asset, when financial institutions finances an asset, it doesn't usually lend 100 cents on the dollar because if the asset value goes down, then they're out-of-pocket. So usually they have some cushion for what's known as a haircut so that before when they lend, they only lend a portion of the asset value. So it didn't surprise me that we had that differential since we were talking about a book. Q. And do you mean that on a particular each individual security? A. Sure. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | Q. And that's also the total that's listed under liabilities, 73.15? A. Those two numbers match, correct. Q. And in Exhibit 19 the totals also match, where the total assets is 72.65 and then the total liabilities is 72.65 also, right? A. Those numbers are the same, I agree. Q. Do you remember in previous iterations of this document whether the assets and liabilities also matched each other? A. I think, again, as I said, there were multiple of these documents. I think that there were times when they didn't match, but I can't be absolutely sure of that, but I think that there were. Q. And do you know if going into signing the APA the understanding was, although these numbers might change, there would be a matching between the assets that were conveyed and the liabilities that were assumed? MR. STERN: Objection to the form. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | M. Shapiro question. Q. You also mentioned, I think you said that you would expect the liabilities to be a little bit less than the assets for what you described as a haircut; is that right? MR. STERN: Objection to the form. A. What I described is that when people typically finance an asset, when financial institutions finances an asset, it doesn't usually lend 100 cents on the dollar because if the asset value goes down, then they're out-of-pocket. So usually they have some cushion for what's known as a haircut so that before when they lend, they only lend a portion of the asset value. So it didn't surprise me that we had that differential since we were talking about a book. Q. And do you mean that on a particular each individual security? A. Sure. Q. So a security would have an asset but |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q. And that's also the total that's listed under liabilities, 73.15? A. Those two numbers match, correct. Q. And in Exhibit 19 the totals also match, where the total assets is 72.65 and then the total liabilities is 72.65 also, right? A. Those numbers are the same, I agree. Q. Do you remember in previous iterations of this document whether the assets and liabilities also matched each other? A. I think, again, as I said, there were multiple of these documents. I think that there were times when they didn't match, but I can't be absolutely sure of that, but I think that there were. Q. And do you know if going into signing the APA the understanding was, although these numbers might change, there would be a matching between the assets that were conveyed and the liabilities that were assumed? MR. STERN: Objection to the form. Let me hear the question again. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | M. Shapiro question. Q. You also mentioned, I think you said that you would expect the liabilities to be a little bit less than the assets for what you described as a haircut; is that right? MR. STERN: Objection to the form. A. What I described is that when people typically finance an asset, when financial institutions finances an asset, it doesn't usually lend 100 cents on the dollar because if the asset value goes down, then they're out-of-pocket. So usually they have some cushion for what's known as a haircut so that before when they lend, they only lend a portion of the asset value. So it didn't surprise me that we had that differential since we were talking about a book. Q. And do you mean that on a particular each individual security? A. Sure. Q. So a security would have an asset but the liability of it would be a little bit less |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Q. And that's also the total that's listed under liabilities, 73.15? A. Those two numbers match, correct. Q. And in Exhibit 19 the totals also match, where the total assets is 72.65 and then the total liabilities is 72.65 also, right? A. Those numbers are the same, I agree. Q. Do you remember in previous iterations of this document whether the assets and liabilities also matched each other? A. I think, again, as I said, there were multiple of these documents. I think that there were times when they didn't match, but I can't be absolutely sure of that, but I think that there were. Q. And do you know if going into signing the APA the understanding was, although these numbers might change, there would be a matching between the assets that were conveyed and the liabilities that were assumed? MR. STERN: Objection to the form. Let me hear the question again. (Record read.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | M. Shapiro question. Q. You also mentioned, I think you said that you would expect the liabilities to be a little bit less than the assets for what you described as a haircut; is that right? MR. STERN: Objection to the form. A. What I described is that when people typically finance an asset, when financial institutions finances an asset, it doesn't usually lend 100 cents on the dollar because if the asset value goes down, then they're out-of-pocket. So usually they have some cushion for what's known as a haircut so that before when they lend, they only lend a portion of the asset value. So it didn't surprise me that we had that differential since we were talking about a book. Q. And do you mean that on a particular each individual security? A. Sure. Q. So a security would have an asset but the liability of it would be a little bit less for each individual security? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | Q. And that's also the total that's listed under liabilities, 73.15? A. Those two numbers match, correct. Q. And in Exhibit 19 the totals also match, where the total assets is 72.65 and then the total liabilities is 72.65 also, right? A. Those numbers are the same, I agree. Q. Do you remember in previous iterations of this document whether the assets and liabilities also matched each other? A. I think, again, as I said, there were multiple of these documents. I think that there were times when they didn't match, but I can't be absolutely sure of that, but I think that there were. Q. And do you know if going into signing the APA the understanding was, although these numbers might change, there would be a matching between the assets that were conveyed and the liabilities that were assumed? MR. STERN: Objection to the form. Let me hear the question again. (Record read.) A. I don't know the answer to your | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | M. Shapiro question. Q. You also mentioned, I think you said that you would expect the liabilities to be a little bit less than the assets for what you described as a haircut; is that right? MR. STERN: Objection to the form. A. What I described is that when people typically finance an asset, when financial institutions finances an asset, it doesn't usually lend 100 cents on the dollar because if the asset value goes down, then they're out-of-pocket. So usually they have some cushion for what's known as a haircut so that before when they lend, they only lend a portion of the asset value. So it didn't surprise me that we had that differential since we were talking about a book. Q. And do you mean that on a particular each individual security? A. Sure. Q. So a security would have an asset but the liability of it would be a little bit less for each individual security? A. Depending |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | Q. And that's also the total that's listed under liabilities, 73.15? A. Those two numbers match, correct. Q. And in Exhibit 19 the totals also match, where the total assets is 72.65 and then the total liabilities is 72.65 also, right? A. Those numbers are the same, I agree. Q. Do you remember in previous iterations of this document whether the assets and liabilities also matched each other? A. I think, again, as I said, there were multiple of these documents. I think that there were times when they didn't match, but I can't be absolutely sure of that, but I think that there were. Q. And do you know if going into signing the APA the understanding was, although these numbers might change, there would be a matching between the assets that were conveyed and the liabilities that were assumed? MR. STERN: Objection to the form. Let me hear the question again. (Record read.) | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | M. Shapiro question. Q. You also mentioned, I think you said that you would expect the liabilities to be a little bit less than the assets for what you described as a haircut; is that right? MR. STERN: Objection to the form. A. What I described is that when people typically finance an asset, when financial institutions finances an asset, it doesn't usually lend 100 cents on the dollar because if the asset value goes down, then they're out-of-pocket. So usually they have some cushion for what's known as a haircut so that before when they lend, they only lend a portion of the asset value. So it didn't surprise me that we had that differential since we were talking about a book. Q. And do you mean that on a particular each individual security? A. Sure. Q. So a security would have an asset but the liability of it would be a little bit less for each individual security? |

| | Highly Confidential | | Highly Confidential |
|--|--|--|--|
| | Page 218 | | Page 219 |
| 1 | | 1 | |
| 1 2 | M. Shapiro | 1 2 | M. Shapiro liabilities interact. |
| 3 | MR. STERN: Objection to the form. | 3 | A. Okay. |
| 4 | Can I hear the question again? (Record read.) | 4 | Q. So maybe you could state it again. |
| 5 | MR. STERN: Objection to the form. | 5 | For this transaction for the APA, what |
| 6 | Are you talking about generally speaking? | 6 | was your understanding of what you expected the |
| 7 | Are you talking about specifically to this | 7 | relationship between assets and liabilities |
| 8 | set of assets? | 8 | would be? |
| 9 | Q. I'm first trying to find out about | 9 | A. Okay. I had no I had no |
| 10 | your general understanding that you think | 10 | understanding specifically to these assets of |
| 11 | usually in some transaction that the assets will | 11 | what they should be, which is really what you're |
| 12 | be a little bit more than the liability to | 12 | getting at. I think what I was explaining to |
| 13 | reflect a risk or financing? | 13 | you is that, generally speaking, in the |
| 14 | MR. STERN: Objection to the form. | 14 | commercial financing area, you find that |
| 15 | Look, I've been very patient, but I think | 15 | institutions are not willing to lend necessarily |
| 16 | these questions are very misleading. I | 16 | the full market value, 100 cents on the dollar, |
| 17 | don't even where you get the "little bit | 17 | against the security that they are financing |
| 18 | less" from. | 18 | because they are worried, potentially, that they |
| 19 | A. Can I hear the question again? I'm | 19 | would have to take a haircut, take a hit if the |
| 20 | not sure what the question is. | 20 | value of that security goes down. |
| 21 | (Record read.) | 21 | So people typically lend at some |
| 22 | A. I think you should restate your | 22 | margin, some margin less than, generally |
| 23 | question because I don't really understand it. | 23 | speaking, and I'm not referring to this specific |
| 24 | Q. I'm just trying to first understand | 24 | transaction and the securities involved here, so |
| 25 | your understanding about the way that assets and | 25 | then my second statement, which was it didn't |
| | TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 | | TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 |
| | garanananananananananan ar arab kanananananan ar <u>kiri</u> | şımınınınını | ************************************** |
| | Highly Confidential | | Highly Confidential |
| | Highly Confidential Page 220 | | Highly Confidential Page 221 |
| 1 | | 1 | - ' |
| 1 2 | Page 220 | 1 2 | Page 221 |
| 1 | Page 220 M. Shapiro | 3 | Page 221 M. Shapiro |
| 2 | Page 220 M. Shapiro surprise me that there was a difference between | 2 3 4 | M. Shapiro billion, that approximate, do you know if that includes what's listed on Exhibit 19 as mortgages? |
| 2 3 4 5 | M. Shapiro surprise me that there was a difference between the stated marked value of the assets in the APA and the stated liabilities being assumed associated with them and that there was a | 2 3 4 5 | M. Shapiro billion, that approximate, do you know if that includes what's listed on Exhibit 19 as mortgages? A. Can I see Exhibit 19? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | M. Shapiro surprise me that there was a difference between the stated marked value of the assets in the APA and the stated liabilities being assumed associated with them and that there was a difference between the two, that didn't surprise | 2 3 4 5 6 | M. Shapiro billion, that approximate, do you know if that includes what's listed on Exhibit 19 as mortgages? A. Can I see Exhibit 19? MR. STERN: We're on page 6 at what |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | M. Shapiro surprise me that there was a difference between the stated marked value of the assets in the APA and the stated liabilities being assumed associated with them and that there was a difference between the two, that didn't surprise me for the reason I just stated. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | M. Shapiro billion, that approximate, do you know if that includes what's listed on Exhibit 19 as mortgages? A. Can I see Exhibit 19? MR. STERN: We're on page 6 at what point? What's the question? Do you mind |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | M. Shapiro surprise me that there was a difference between the stated marked value of the assets in the APA and the stated liabilities being assumed associated with them and that there was a difference between the two, that didn't surprise me for the reason I just stated. Q. But you don't | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | M. Shapiro billion, that approximate, do you know if that includes what's listed on Exhibit 19 as mortgages? A. Can I see Exhibit 19? MR. STERN: We're on page 6 at what point? What's the question? Do you mind telling me what you're focusing on? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | M. Shapiro surprise me that there was a difference between the stated marked value of the assets in the APA and the stated liabilities being assumed associated with them and that there was a difference between the two, that didn't surprise me for the reason I just stated. Q. But you don't A. I have no personal knowledge as to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | M. Shapiro billion, that approximate, do you know if that includes what's listed on Exhibit 19 as mortgages? A. Can I see Exhibit 19? MR. STERN: We're on page 6 at what point? What's the question? Do you mind telling me what you're focusing on? MS. TAGGART: It's the same provision |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | M. Shapiro surprise me that there was a difference between the stated marked value of the assets in the APA and the stated liabilities being assumed associated with them and that there was a difference between the two, that didn't surprise me for the reason I just stated. Q. But you don't A. I have no personal knowledge as to what amount of financing was specifically | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | M. Shapiro billion, that approximate, do you know if that includes what's listed on Exhibit 19 as mortgages? A. Can I see Exhibit 19? MR. STERN: We're on page 6 at what point? What's the question? Do you mind telling me what you're focusing on? MS. TAGGART: It's the same provision that I've been talking about. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 | M. Shapiro surprise me that there was a difference between the stated marked value of the assets in the APA and the stated liabilities being assumed associated with them and that there was a difference between the two, that didn't surprise me for the reason I just stated. Q. But you don't — A. I have no personal knowledge as to what amount of financing was specifically associated with each security that fell within | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | M. Shapiro billion, that approximate, do you know if that includes what's listed on Exhibit 19 as mortgages? A. Can I see Exhibit 19? MR. STERN: We're on page 6 at what point? What's the question? Do you mind telling me what you're focusing on? MS. TAGGART: It's the same provision that I've been talking about. MR. STERN: Can you just tell me what |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 | M. Shapiro surprise me that there was a difference between the stated marked value of the assets in the APA and the stated liabilities being assumed associated with them and that there was a difference between the two, that didn't surprise me for the reason I just stated. Q. But you don't A. I have no personal knowledge as to what amount of financing was specifically associated with each security that fell within the baskets of each of these categories that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 | M. Shapiro billion, that approximate, do you know if that includes what's listed on Exhibit 19 as mortgages? A. Can I see Exhibit 19? MR. STERN: We're on page 6 at what point? What's the question? Do you mind telling me what you're focusing on? MS. TAGGART: It's the same provision that I've been talking about. MR. STERN: Can you just tell me what it is as a courtesy? I'm looking at the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | M. Shapiro surprise me that there was a difference between the stated marked value of the assets in the APA and the stated liabilities being assumed associated with them and that there was a difference between the two, that didn't surprise me for the reason I just stated. Q. But you don't A. I have no personal knowledge as to what amount of financing was specifically associated with each security that fell within the baskets of each of these categories that were to be conveyed. Hopefully that answers | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | M. Shapiro billion, that approximate, do you know if that includes what's listed on Exhibit 19 as mortgages? A. Can I see Exhibit 19? MR. STERN: We're on page 6 at what point? What's the question? Do you mind telling me what you're focusing on? MS. TAGGART: It's the same provision that I've been talking about. MR. STERN: Can you just tell me what it is as a courtesy? I'm looking at the page. I was getting an exhibit so now I'm |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | M. Shapiro surprise me that there was a difference between the stated marked value of the assets in the APA and the stated liabilities being assumed associated with them and that there was a difference between the two, that didn't surprise me for the reason I just stated. Q. But you don't A. I have no personal knowledge as to what amount of financing was specifically associated with each security that fell within the baskets of each of these categories that were to be conveyed. Hopefully that answers your question. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | M. Shapiro billion, that approximate, do you know if that includes what's listed on Exhibit 19 as mortgages? A. Can I see Exhibit 19? MR. STERN: We're on page 6 at what point? What's the question? Do you mind telling me what you're focusing on? MS. TAGGART: It's the same provision that I've been talking about. MR. STERN: Can you just tell me what it is as a courtesy? I'm looking at the page. I was getting an exhibit so now I'm looking at the page. Can you tell me what I |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | M. Shapiro surprise me that there was a difference between the stated marked value of the assets in the APA and the stated liabilities being assumed associated with them and that there was a difference between the two, that didn't surprise me for the reason I just stated. Q. But you don't A. I have no personal knowledge as to what amount of financing was specifically associated with each security that fell within the baskets of each of these categories that were to be conveyed. Hopefully that answers your question. Q. You don't know one way or another | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | M. Shapiro billion, that approximate, do you know if that includes what's listed on Exhibit 19 as mortgages? A. Can I see Exhibit 19? MR. STERN: We're on page 6 at what point? What's the question? Do you mind telling me what you're focusing on? MS. TAGGART: It's the same provision that I've been talking about. MR. STERN: Can you just tell me what it is as a courtesy? I'm looking at the page. I was getting an exhibit so now I'm looking at the page. Can you tell me what I should be looking at? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | M. Shapiro surprise me that there was a difference between the stated marked value of the assets in the APA and the stated liabilities being assumed associated with them and that there was a difference between the two, that didn't surprise me for the reason I just stated. Q. But you don't A. I have no personal knowledge as to what amount of financing was specifically associated with each security that fell within the baskets of each of these categories that were to be conveyed. Hopefully that answers your question. Q. You don't know one way or another whether that general commercial practice | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | M. Shapiro billion, that approximate, do you know if that includes what's listed on Exhibit 19 as mortgages? A. Can I see Exhibit 19? MR. STERN: We're on page 6 at what point? What's the question? Do you mind telling me what you're focusing on? MS. TAGGART: It's the same provision that I've been talking about. MR. STERN: Can you just tell me what it is as a courtesy? I'm looking at the page. I was getting an exhibit so now I'm looking at the page. Can you tell me what I should be looking at? MS. TAGGART: It's page 6, the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | M. Shapiro surprise me that there was a difference between the stated marked value of the assets in the APA and the stated liabilities being assumed associated with them and that there was a difference between the two, that didn't surprise me for the reason I just stated. Q. But you don't — A. I have no personal knowledge as to what amount of financing was specifically associated with each security that fell within the baskets of each of these categories that were to be conveyed. Hopefully that answers your question. Q. You don't know one way or another whether that general commercial practice explains the difference between the liabilities | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | M. Shapiro billion, that approximate, do you know if that includes what's listed on Exhibit 19 as mortgages? A. Can I see Exhibit 19? MR. STERN: We're on page 6 at what point? What's the question? Do you mind telling me what you're focusing on? MS. TAGGART: It's the same provision that I've been talking about. MR. STERN: Can you just tell me what it is as a courtesy? I'm looking at the page. I was getting an exhibit so now I'm looking at the page. Can you tell me what I should be looking at? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | M. Shapiro surprise me that there was a difference between the stated marked value of the assets in the APA and the stated liabilities being assumed associated with them and that there was a difference between the two, that didn't surprise me for the reason I just stated. Q. But you don't — A. I have no personal knowledge as to what amount of financing was specifically associated with each security that fell within the baskets of each of these categories that were to be conveyed. Hopefully that answers your question. Q. You don't know one way or another whether that general commercial practice explains the difference between the liabilities and the assets in this case? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | M. Shapiro billion, that approximate, do you know if that includes what's listed on Exhibit 19 as mortgages? A. Can I see Exhibit 19? MR. STERN: We're on page 6 at what point? What's the question? Do you mind telling me what you're focusing on? MS. TAGGART: It's the same provision that I've been talking about. MR. STERN: Can you just tell me what it is as a courtesy? I'm looking at the page. I was getting an exhibit so now I'm looking at the page. Can you tell me what I should be looking at? MS. TAGGART: It's page 6, the definition of "Purchased Assets," subsection |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | M. Shapiro surprise me that there was a difference between the stated marked value of the assets in the APA and the stated liabilities being assumed associated with them and that there was a difference between the two, that didn't surprise me for the reason I just stated. Q. But you don't — A. I have no personal knowledge as to what amount of financing was specifically associated with each security that fell within the baskets of each of these categories that were to be conveyed. Hopefully that answers your question. Q. You don't know one way or another whether that general commercial practice explains the difference between the liabilities and the assets in this case? A. I do not, no. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | M. Shapiro billion, that approximate, do you know if that includes what's listed on Exhibit 19 as mortgages? A. Can I see Exhibit 19? MR. STERN: We're on page 6 at what point? What's the question? Do you mind telling me what you're focusing on? MS. TAGGART: It's the same provision that I've been talking about. MR. STERN: Can you just tell me what it is as a courtesy? I'm looking at the page. I was getting an exhibit so now I'm looking at the page. Can you tell me what I should be looking at? MS. TAGGART: It's page 6, the definition of "Purchased Assets," subsection D. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | M. Shapiro surprise me that there was a difference between the stated marked value of the assets in the APA and the stated liabilities being assumed associated with them and that there was a difference between the two, that didn't surprise me for the reason I just stated. Q. But you don't — A. I have no personal knowledge as to what amount of financing was specifically associated with each security that fell within the baskets of each of these categories that were to be conveyed. Hopefully that answers your question. Q. You don't know one way or another whether that general commercial practice explains the difference between the liabilities and the assets in this case? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | M. Shapiro billion, that approximate, do you know if that includes what's listed on Exhibit 19 as mortgages? A. Can I see Exhibit 19? MR. STERN: We're on page 6 at what point? What's the question? Do you mind telling me what you're focusing on? MS. TAGGART: It's the same provision that I've been talking about. MR. STERN: Can you just tell me what it is as a courtesy? I'm looking at the page. I was getting an exhibit so now I'm looking at the page. Can you tell me what I should be looking at? MS. TAGGART: It's page 6, the definition of "Purchased Assets," subsection D. MR. STERN: Thank you. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | M. Shapiro surprise me that there was a difference between the stated marked value of the assets in the APA and the stated liabilities being assumed associated with them and that there was a difference between the two, that didn't surprise me for the reason I just stated. Q. But you don't A. I have no personal knowledge as to what amount of financing was specifically associated with each security that fell within the baskets of each of these categories that were to be conveyed. Hopefully that answers your question. Q. You don't know one way or another whether that general commercial practice explains the difference between the liabilities and the assets in this case? A. I do not, no. Q. Also, if you look at the definition | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | M. Shapiro billion, that approximate, do you know if that includes what's listed on Exhibit 19 as mortgages? A. Can I see Exhibit 19? MR. STERN: We're on page 6 at what point? What's the question? Do you mind telling me what you're focusing on? MS. TAGGART: It's the same provision that I've been talking about. MR. STERN: Can you just tell me what it is as a courtesy? I'm looking at the page. I was getting an exhibit so now I'm looking at the page. Can you tell me what I should be looking at? MS. TAGGART: It's page 6, the definition of "Purchased Assets," subsection D. MR. STERN: Thank you. A. Could you repeat the question? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | M. Shapiro surprise me that there was a difference between the stated marked value of the assets in the APA and the stated liabilities being assumed associated with them and that there was a difference between the two, that didn't surprise me for the reason I just stated. Q. But you don't A. I have no personal knowledge as to what amount of financing was specifically associated with each security that fell within the baskets of each of these categories that were to be conveyed. Hopefully that answers your question. Q. You don't know one way or another whether that general commercial practice explains the difference between the liabilities and the assets in this case? A. I do not, no. Q. Also, if you look at the definition Let's go back to 6 of "Purchased" | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | M. Shapiro billion, that approximate, do you know if that includes what's listed on Exhibit 19 as mortgages? A. Can I see Exhibit 19? MR. STERN: We're on page 6 at what point? What's the question? Do you mind telling me what you're focusing on? MS. TAGGART: It's the same provision that I've been talking about. MR. STERN: Can you just tell me what it is as a courtesy? I'm looking at the page. I was getting an exhibit so now I'm looking at the page. Can you tell me what I should be looking at? MS. TAGGART: It's page 6, the definition of "Purchased Assets," subsection D. MR. STERN: Thank you. A. Could you repeat the question? (Record read.) MR. STERN: Objection to the form. A. As I testified earlier today, the |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | M. Shapiro surprise me that there was a difference between the stated marked value of the assets in the APA and the stated liabilities being assumed associated with them and that there was a difference between the two, that didn't surprise me for the reason I just stated. Q. But you don't A. I have no personal knowledge as to what amount of financing was specifically associated with each security that fell within the baskets of each of these categories that were to be conveyed. Hopefully that answers your question. Q. You don't know one way or another whether that general commercial practice explains the difference between the liabilities and the assets in this case? A. I do not, no. Q. Also, if you look at the definition Let's go back to 6 of "Purchased Assets." | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | M. Shapiro billion, that approximate, do you know if that includes what's listed on Exhibit 19 as mortgages? A. Can I see Exhibit 19? MR. STERN: We're on page 6 at what point? What's the question? Do you mind telling me what you're focusing on? MS. TAGGART: It's the same provision that I've been talking about. MR. STERN: Can you just tell me what it is as a courtesy? I'm looking at the page. I was getting an exhibit so now I'm looking at the page. Can you tell me what I should be looking at? MS. TAGGART: It's page 6, the definition of "Purchased Assets," subsection D. MR. STERN: Thank you. A. Could you repeat the question? (Record read.) MR. STERN: Objection to the form. A. As I testified earlier today, the approximately \$70 billion that's referenced in |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | M. Shapiro surprise me that there was a difference between the stated marked value of the assets in the APA and the stated liabilities being assumed associated with them and that there was a difference between the two, that didn't surprise me for the reason I just stated. Q. But you don't A. I have no personal knowledge as to what amount of financing was specifically associated with each security that fell within the baskets of each of these categories that were to be conveyed. Hopefully that answers your question. Q. You don't know one way or another whether that general commercial practice explains the difference between the liabilities and the assets in this case? A. I do not, no. Q. Also, if you look at the definition Let's go back to 6 of "Purchased Assets." MR. STERN: We're on Exhibit 1? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | M. Shapiro billion, that approximate, do you know if that includes what's listed on Exhibit 19 as mortgages? A. Can I see Exhibit 19? MR. STERN: We're on page 6 at what point? What's the question? Do you mind telling me what you're focusing on? MS. TAGGART: It's the same provision that I've been talking about. MR. STERN: Can you just tell me what it is as a courtesy? I'm looking at the page. I was getting an exhibit so now I'm looking at the page. Can you tell me what I should be looking at? MS. TAGGART: It's page 6, the definition of "Purchased Assets," subsection D. MR. STERN: Thank you. A. Could you repeat the question? (Record read.) MR. STERN: Objection to the form. A. As I testified earlier today, the approximately \$70 billion that's referenced in section D on page 6 relates to the 72.65 number |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | M. Shapiro surprise me that there was a difference between the stated marked value of the assets in the APA and the stated liabilities being assumed associated with them and that there was a difference between the two, that didn't surprise me for the reason I just stated. Q. But you don't A. I have no personal knowledge as to what amount of financing was specifically associated with each security that fell within the baskets of each of these categories that were to be conveyed. Hopefully that answers your question. Q. You don't know one way or another whether that general commercial practice explains the difference between the liabilities and the assets in this case? A. I do not, no. Q. Also, if you look at the definition Let's go back to 6 of "Purchased Assets." MR. STERN: We're on Exhibit 1? Q. Exhibit 1, page 6, subsection D under | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | M. Shapiro billion, that approximate, do you know if that includes what's listed on Exhibit 19 as mortgages? A. Can I see Exhibit 19? MR. STERN: We're on page 6 at what point? What's the question? Do you mind telling me what you're focusing on? MS. TAGGART: It's the same provision that I've been talking about. MR. STERN: Can you just tell me what it is as a courtesy? I'm looking at the page. I was getting an exhibit so now I'm looking at the page. Can you tell me what I should be looking at? MS. TAGGART: It's page 6, the definition of "Purchased Assets," subsection D. MR. STERN: Thank you. A. Could you repeat the question? (Record read.) MR. STERN: Objection to the form. A. As I testified earlier today, the approximately \$70 billion that's referenced in |

2

3

4

5

6

7

17

18

19

20

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

Highly Confidential

Page 222

M. Shapiro that's under the "adjusted total assets" line since -- since the 72.65 is the total of the 62.7 and the 10, which obviously doesn't exactly add up, which is approximately 72.65. The bottom -- the first column totals 62.7. The second column shows 10. If you add those two up, you get to 72.7. This says 72.65. My only point is that the mortgages -- you were referring to mortgages of 2.7 in that line.

It's subsumed within the 72.65.

- Q. Well, in subsection E of page 6, which is "Purchased Assets," it talks about 50 percent of each position in the residential real estate mortgage securities. Do you see that?
- A. Yes.

1

2 3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

22

23

25

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

22

23

O. Do you know if there's any relationship between that and what's described in Exhibit 19 as mortgages under "Assets"?

A. My recollection is that the resis. 21 what was called the resis, were being treated separately. And "resis" I think is shorthand when people are talking about them for the 24 residential real estate mortgages, that those were not being treated as part of this asset TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 223

M. Shapiro

group; they were being dealt with separately.

- Q. So your understanding is that the mortgages described on Exhibit 19 are different than the mortgages that are described on page 6, the definition of "purchased assets," subsection
- 8 A. To the best of my recollection, I 9 don't think that the resis were necessarily 10 included in the assets that are described here, 11 but the only thing I would say is that I was not 12 the person who was in any way putting the 13 information together around what securities 14 actually went into each of these buckets, so I 15 can't be sure. But that's what I -- that's the 16 best recollection I have.

O. Were you involved at all in the discussions or negotiations about what resis were going to be conveyed?

A. No, there was some discussions about 21 would Barclays take resis, would Barclays not 22 take resis. I have a recollection of the 23 discussion. I don't remember -- I was not 24 personally involved in those discussions, no. I believe Bart, Mark Shafir, maybe TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 224

M. Shapiro

- others, but it wasn't me. Q. You described earlier that you thought that JPMC was I think you used the term "a bad actor"?
 - A. From my perspective.

Can you describe why you used that Q. term?

A. Well, I thought that they -- they, 10 before the bankruptcy, they were the ones who took the liquidity out of Lehman Brothers by virtue of the demand that they made on Lehman Brothers in the week that led up to the bankruptcy.

So Lehman Brothers started the week with \$40 billion of liquid capital in the bank accounts, and by the end of the week, we had virtually none of it and, you know, to the point where we had to send them a nasty letter on -- I 20 think it was on Friday or Saturday asking them to allow us to wire \$20 million to Weil Gotshal for retainer.

And one of the things that I learned in the course of getting involved that Thursday when I sat down with a number of the lawyers who TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

Highly Confidential

Page 225

M. Shapiro

had worked for Treasury and I was trying to understand, you know, what kind of cash we had, is I learned that they had required the firm to enter into a letter agreement that required -allowed them to set off any cash that they were holding against any exposures that they had across any agreement that they had with Lehman Brothers, which they didn't have the right to before that agreement was entered into, they only had it as it related to their clearing 12 obligations.

I believe that they used their monopoly position as a clearing bank to extract that agreement from Lehman Brothers, and that allowed them to basically take the position that any assets that Lehman had at JPMorgan and the cash that was being held by JPMorgan anywhere could be set off against any obligation that Lehman Brothers had to JPMorgan anywhere, and that that was the, in my view, the final blow to Lehman Brothers.

23 So I'm not saying that they necessarily did anything wrong legally. I'm 24 just saying that I believe that they were a key TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

| | Highly Confidential | | Highly Confidential |
|--|--|--|---|
| | Page 226 | | Page 227 |
| | _ | | |
| 1 | M. Shapiro | 1 | M. Shapiro |
| 2 | reason why Lehman's liquidity ran dry. | 2 | spoke with. If you want to I just don't |
| 3 | Q. What's that based on? What's your | 3 | want to hear later that you right now I |
| 4 | understanding based on the facts that you've | 4 5 | am not asking for what he spoke with any |
| 5 | got? A. What I just described. What I was | 6 | lawyers about. If you want him to go ahead and answer, that's fine, but I want it to be |
| 7 | A. What I just described. What I was told by other people in the firm and the | 7 | clear |
| 8 | agreement that I actually saw. | 8 | MR. STERN: No, it's not my choice. |
| 9 | Q. Who did you talk to about it? | 9 | I'm not asking the questions. |
| 10 | A. I talked to there were, on the | 10 | MS. TAGGART: My question was not what |
| 11 | agreement itself, I talked to a number of | 11 | was said. My question was who did you speak |
| 12 | lawyers who were lawyers for the Treasury Group | 12 | with. |
| 13 | who, when I was basically doing some of my own | 13 | MR. STERN: I'm going to wait for the |
| 14 | due diligence to figure out what were we dealing | 14 | next question. |
| 15 | with here on Friday, they told me about | 15 | MS. TAGGART: Well, that's fine. |
| 16 | Q. Let's not talk about what you talked | 16 | Q. Is there anyone else that you spoke |
| 17 | with lawyers for now. | 17 | with on this topic about the JPMC issue? |
| 18 | MR. STERN: No, wait. I think he is | 18 | A. Outside of lawyers? |
| 19 | entitled you asked a question. He's | 19 | Q. Outside of lawyers. |
| 20 | entitled to give an answer. There was no | 20 | A. I believe I told a few other people |
| 21 22 | instruction from either of Lehman's counsel | 21 22 | about it and how I was absolutely shocked that we would let that happen, and that I think I |
| 23 | MR. CARDEN: We just got to the | 23 | I think I characterized it as sort of |
| 24 | lawyers. | 24 | ridiculously stupid on our part. |
| 25 | MS. TAGGART: I just asked who he | 25 | Q. Just to make the record clear, did you |
| ļ | TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 | *************************************** | TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 |
| 314.3······ | nnaganananang saang jago ja saananangggaananan neenarsta se se ver ee me tere ee te un mester aman mereste re | ļ | mananan minin n mininanan Err i riminini 5. 400 m. 11 m |
| l | | | |
| | Highly Confidential | | Highly Confidential |
| | Highly Confidential Page 228 | | Highly Confidential Page 229 |
| 1 | Page 228 | 1 | |
| 1 2 | Page 228 M. Shapiro make were you involved in any changes to the | 2 | Page 229 M. Shapiro to try to get an understanding of, |
| l | M. Shapiro make were you involved in any changes to the contract with Barclays after the court hearing | 2 3 | M. Shapiro to try to get an understanding of, if the securities were sold, if it was possible |
| 2 3 4 | M. Shapiro make were you involved in any changes to the contract with Barclays after the court hearing on September 19? | 2 3 4 | M. Shapiro to try to get an understanding of, if the securities were sold, if it was possible to value them based on if Lehman was not |
| 2 3 4 5 | M. Shapiro make were you involved in any changes to the contract with Barclays after the court hearing on September 19? A. No, I was not. | 2 3 4 5 | M. Shapiro to try to get an understanding of, if the securities were sold, if it was possible to value them based on if Lehman was not purchased and was liquidated? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 | M. Shapiro make were you involved in any changes to the contract with Barclays after the court hearing on September 19? A. No, I was not. Q. Also earlier today you spoke about | 2 3 4 5 6 | M. Shapiro to try to get an understanding of, if the securities were sold, if it was possible to value them based on if Lehman was not purchased and was liquidated? A. I don't believe |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 | M. Shapiro make were you involved in any changes to the contract with Barclays after the court hearing on September 19? A. No, I was not. Q. Also earlier today you spoke about the how there was originally a provision that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 | M. Shapiro to try to get an understanding of, if the securities were sold, if it was possible to value them based on if Lehman was not purchased and was liquidated? A. I don't believe MR. STERN: Objection to the form. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | M. Shapiro make were you involved in any changes to the contract with Barclays after the court hearing on September 19? A. No, I was not. Q. Also earlier today you spoke about the how there was originally a provision that was, I believe, provision 3.3, the adjustment to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | M. Shapiro - to try to get an understanding of, if the securities were sold, if it was possible to value them based on if Lehman was not purchased and was liquidated? A. I don't believe MR. STERN: Objection to the form. Can I hear that question again? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | M. Shapiro make were you involved in any changes to the contract with Barclays after the court hearing on September 19? A. No, I was not. Q. Also earlier today you spoke about the how there was originally a provision that was, I believe, provision 3.3, the adjustment to the cash amount, and it was your understanding | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | M. Shapiro - to try to get an understanding of, if the securities were sold, if it was possible to value them based on if Lehman was not purchased and was liquidated? A. I don't believe MR. STERN: Objection to the form. Can I hear that question again? (Record read.) |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | M. Shapiro make were you involved in any changes to the contract with Barclays after the court hearing on September 19? A. No, I was not. Q. Also earlier today you spoke about the how there was originally a provision that was, I believe, provision 3.3, the adjustment to | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 | M. Shapiro - to try to get an understanding of, if the securities were sold, if it was possible to value them based on if Lehman was not purchased and was liquidated? A. I don't believe MR. STERN: Objection to the form. Can I hear that question again? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | M. Shapiro make were you involved in any changes to the contract with Barclays after the court hearing on September 19? A. No, I was not. Q. Also earlier today you spoke about the how there was originally a provision that was, I believe, provision 3.3, the adjustment to the cash amount, and it was your understanding it was eventually eliminated in the revised | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 | M. Shapiro to try to get an understanding of, if the securities were sold, if it was possible to value them based on if Lehman was not purchased and was liquidated? A. I don't believe MR. STERN: Objection to the form. Can I hear that question again? (Record read.) A. I'll MR. STERN: Is there a question? Wait. I'm not quite sure. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | M. Shapiro make were you involved in any changes to the contract with Barclays after the court hearing on September 19? A. No, I was not. Q. Also earlier today you spoke about the how there was originally a provision that was, I believe, provision 3.3, the adjustment to the cash amount, and it was your understanding it was eventually eliminated in the revised deal? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 | M. Shapiro to try to get an understanding of, if the securities were sold, if it was possible to value them based on if Lehman was not purchased and was liquidated? A. I don't believe MR. STERN: Objection to the form. Can I hear that question again? (Record read.) A. I'll MR. STERN: Is there a question? Wait. I'm not quite sure. Q. Was that your testimony earlier today? |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | M. Shapiro make were you involved in any changes to the contract with Barclays after the court hearing on September 19? A. No, I was not. Q. Also earlier today you spoke about the how there was originally a provision that was, I believe, provision 3.3, the adjustment to the cash amount, and it was your understanding it was eventually eliminated in the revised deal? A. That's my recollection. Q. Do you know when there was the decision to revise that part? | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 | M. Shapiro to try to get an understanding of, if the securities were sold, if it was possible to value them based on if Lehman was not purchased and was liquidated? A. I don't believe MR. STERN: Objection to the form. Can I hear that question again? (Record read.) A. I'll MR. STERN: Is there a question? Wait. I'm not quite sure. Q. Was that your testimony earlier today? A. I'm not sure what the question is that |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | M. Shapiro make were you involved in any changes to the contract with Barclays after the court hearing on September 19? A. No, I was not. Q. Also earlier today you spoke about the how there was originally a provision that was, I believe, provision 3.3, the adjustment to the cash amount, and it was your understanding it was eventually eliminated in the revised deal? A. That's my recollection. Q. Do you know when there was the decision to revise that part? A. Well, I don't know I don't know | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 | M. Shapiro to try to get an understanding of, if the securities were sold, if it was possible to value them based on if Lehman was not purchased and was liquidated? A. I don't believe MR. STERN: Objection to the form. Can I hear that question again? (Record read.) A. I'll MR. STERN: Is there a question? Wait. I'm not quite sure. Q. Was that your testimony earlier today? A. I'm not sure what the question is that you asked me. I think I know what it is and I |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | M. Shapiro make were you involved in any changes to the contract with Barclays after the court hearing on September 19? A. No, I was not. Q. Also earlier today you spoke about the how there was originally a provision that was, I believe, provision 3.3, the adjustment to the cash amount, and it was your understanding it was eventually eliminated in the revised deal? A. That's my recollection. Q. Do you know when there was the decision to revise that part? A. Well, I don't know I don't know when this is meant to actually revise just that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 | M. Shapiro to try to get an understanding of, if the securities were sold, if it was possible to value them based on if Lehman was not purchased and was liquidated? A. I don't believe MR. STERN: Objection to the form. Can I hear that question again? (Record read.) A. I'll MR. STERN: Is there a question? Wait. I'm not quite sure. Q. Was that your testimony earlier today? A. I'm not sure what the question is that you asked me. I think I know what it is and I would restate the question if you would like me |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | M. Shapiro make were you involved in any changes to the contract with Barclays after the court hearing on September 19? A. No, I was not. Q. Also earlier today you spoke about the how there was originally a provision that was, I believe, provision 3.3, the adjustment to the cash amount, and it was your understanding it was eventually eliminated in the revised deal? A. That's my recollection. Q. Do you know when there was the decision to revise that part? A. Well, I don't know I don't know when this is meant to actually revise just that specific part. As I indicated, I think that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 | M. Shapiro to try to get an understanding of, if the securities were sold, if it was possible to value them based on if Lehman was not purchased and was liquidated? A. I don't believe MR. STERN: Objection to the form. Can I hear that question again? (Record read.) A. I'll MR. STERN: Is there a question? Wait. I'm not quite sure. Q. Was that your testimony earlier today? A. I'm not sure what the question is that you asked me. I think I know what it is and I would restate the question if you would like me to. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | M. Shapiro make were you involved in any changes to the contract with Barclays after the court hearing on September 19? A. No, I was not. Q. Also earlier today you spoke about the how there was originally a provision that was, I believe, provision 3.3, the adjustment to the cash amount, and it was your understanding it was eventually eliminated in the revised deal? A. That's my recollection. Q. Do you know when there was the decision to revise that part? A. Well, I don't know I don't know when this is meant to actually revise just that specific part. As I indicated, I think that there was an overall agreement on making changes | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | M. Shapiro to try to get an understanding of, if the securities were sold, if it was possible to value them based on if Lehman was not purchased and was liquidated? A. I don't believe MR. STERN: Objection to the form. Can I hear that question again? (Record read.) A. I'll MR. STERN: Is there a question? Wait. I'm not quite sure. Q. Was that your testimony earlier today? A. I'm not sure what the question is that you asked me. I think I know what it is and I would restate the question if you would like me to. Q. No, I we can why don't you |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 | M. Shapiro make were you involved in any changes to the contract with Barclays after the court hearing on September 19? A. No, I was not. Q. Also earlier today you spoke about the how there was originally a provision that was, I believe, provision 3.3, the adjustment to the cash amount, and it was your understanding it was eventually eliminated in the revised deal? A. That's my recollection. Q. Do you know when there was the decision to revise that part? A. Well, I don't know I don't know when this is meant to actually revise just that specific part. As I indicated, I think that there was an overall agreement on making changes to this that happened on that either Thursday or | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 | M. Shapiro to try to get an understanding of, if the securities were sold, if it was possible to value them based on if Lehman was not purchased and was liquidated? A. I don't believe MR. STERN: Objection to the form. Can I hear that question again? (Record read.) A. I'll MR. STERN: Is there a question? Wait. I'm not quite sure. Q. Was that your testimony earlier today? A. I'm not sure what the question is that you asked me. I think I know what it is and I would restate the question if you would like me to. Q. No, I we can why don't you say |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | M. Shapiro make were you involved in any changes to the contract with Barclays after the court hearing on September 19? A. No, I was not. Q. Also earlier today you spoke about the how there was originally a provision that was, I believe, provision 3.3, the adjustment to the cash amount, and it was your understanding it was eventually eliminated in the revised deal? A. That's my recollection. Q. Do you know when there was the decision to revise that part? A. Well, I don't know I don't know when this is meant to actually revise just that specific part. As I indicated, I think that there was an overall agreement on making changes to this that happened on that either Thursday or that more likely to be actually was actually | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | M. Shapiro to try to get an understanding of, if the securities were sold, if it was possible to value them based on if Lehman was not purchased and was liquidated? A. I don't believe MR. STERN: Objection to the form. Can I hear that question again? (Record read.) A. I'll MR. STERN: Is there a question? Wait. I'm not quite sure. Q. Was that your testimony earlier today? A. I'm not sure what the question is that you asked me. I think I know what it is and I would restate the question if you would like me to. Q. No, I we can why don't you say A. I don't understand your question. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | M. Shapiro make were you involved in any changes to the contract with Barclays after the court hearing on September 19? A. No, I was not. Q. Also earlier today you spoke about the how there was originally a provision that was, I believe, provision 3.3, the adjustment to the cash amount, and it was your understanding it was eventually eliminated in the revised deal? A. That's my recollection. Q. Do you know when there was the decision to revise that part? A. Well, I don't know I don't know when this is meant to actually revise just that specific part. As I indicated, I think that there was an overall agreement on making changes to this that happened on that either Thursday or that more likely to be actually was actually agreed to on that Friday. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | M. Shapiro to try to get an understanding of, if the securities were sold, if it was possible to value them based on if Lehman was not purchased and was liquidated? A. I don't believe MR. STERN: Objection to the form. Can I hear that question again? (Record read.) A. I'll MR. STERN: Is there a question? Wait. I'm not quite sure. Q. Was that your testimony earlier today? A. I'm not sure what the question is that you asked me. I think I know what it is and I would restate the question if you would like me to. Q. No, I we can why don't you say A. I don't understand your question. MR. STERN: Let her ask questions and |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | M. Shapiro make were you involved in any changes to the contract with Barclays after the court hearing on September 19? A. No, I was not. Q. Also earlier today you spoke about the how there was originally a provision that was, I believe, provision 3.3, the adjustment to the cash amount, and it was your understanding it was eventually eliminated in the revised deal? A. That's my recollection. Q. Do you know when there was the decision to revise that part? A. Well, I don't know I don't know when this is meant to actually revise just that specific part. As I indicated, I think that there was an overall agreement on making changes to this that happened on that either Thursday or that more likely to be actually was actually agreed to on that Friday. Q. You also mentioned earlier today that | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 | M. Shapiro to try to get an understanding of, if the securities were sold, if it was possible to value them based on if Lehman was not purchased and was liquidated? A. I don't believe MR. STERN: Objection to the form. Can I hear that question again? (Record read.) A. I'll MR. STERN: Is there a question? Wait. I'm not quite sure. Q. Was that your testimony earlier today? A. I'm not sure what the question is that you asked me. I think I know what it is and I would restate the question if you would like me to. Q. No, I we can why don't you say A. I don't understand your question. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 | M. Shapiro make were you involved in any changes to the contract with Barclays after the court hearing on September 19? A. No, I was not. Q. Also earlier today you spoke about the how there was originally a provision that was, I believe, provision 3.3, the adjustment to the cash amount, and it was your understanding it was eventually eliminated in the revised deal? A. That's my recollection. Q. Do you know when there was the decision to revise that part? A. Well, I don't know I don't know when this is meant to actually revise just that specific part. As I indicated, I think that there was an overall agreement on making changes to this that happened on that either Thursday or that more likely to be actually was actually agreed to on that Friday. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 | M. Shapiro to try to get an understanding of, if the securities were sold, if it was possible to value them based on if Lehman was not purchased and was liquidated? A. I don't believe MR. STERN: Objection to the form. Can I hear that question again? (Record read.) A. I'll MR. STERN: Is there a question? Wait. I'm not quite sure. Q. Was that your testimony earlier today? A. I'm not sure what the question is that you asked me. I think I know what it is and I would restate the question if you would like me to. Q. No, I we can why don't you say A. I don't understand your question. MR. STERN: Let her ask questions and you give answers. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | M. Shapiro make were you involved in any changes to the contract with Barclays after the court hearing on September 19? A. No, I was not. Q. Also earlier today you spoke about the how there was originally a provision that was, I believe, provision 3.3, the adjustment to the cash amount, and it was your understanding it was eventually eliminated in the revised deal? A. That's my recollection. Q. Do you know when there was the decision to revise that part? A. Well, I don't know I don't know when this is meant to actually revise just that specific part. As I indicated, I think that there was an overall agreement on making changes to this that happened on that either Thursday or that more likely to be actually was actually agreed to on that Friday. Q. You also mentioned earlier today that you had spoken with Mark Kelly and | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 | M. Shapiro to try to get an understanding of, if the securities were sold, if it was possible to value them based on if Lehman was not purchased and was liquidated? A. I don't believe MR. STERN: Objection to the form. Can I hear that question again? (Record read.) A. I'll MR. STERN: Is there a question? Wait. I'm not quite sure. Q. Was that your testimony earlier today? A. I'm not sure what the question is that you asked me. I think I know what it is and I would restate the question if you would like me to. Q. No, I we can why don't you say A. I don't understand your question. MR. STERN: Let her ask questions and you give answers. THE WITNESS: Fine. |
| 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | M. Shapiro make were you involved in any changes to the contract with Barclays after the court hearing on September 19? A. No, I was not. Q. Also earlier today you spoke about the how there was originally a provision that was, I believe, provision 3.3, the adjustment to the cash amount, and it was your understanding it was eventually eliminated in the revised deal? A. That's my recollection. Q. Do you know when there was the decision to revise that part? A. Well, I don't know I don't know when this is meant to actually revise just that specific part. As I indicated, I think that there was an overall agreement on making changes to this that happened on that either Thursday or that more likely to be actually was actually agreed to on that Friday. Q. You also mentioned earlier today that you had spoken with Mark Kelly and A. Martin Kelly. | 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 | M. Shapiro to try to get an understanding of, if the securities were sold, if it was possible to value them based on if Lehman was not purchased and was liquidated? A. I don't believe MR. STERN: Objection to the form. Can I hear that question again? (Record read.) A. I'll MR. STERN: Is there a question? Wait. I'm not quite sure. Q. Was that your testimony earlier today? A. I'm not sure what the question is that you asked me. I think I know what it is and I would restate the question if you would like me to. Q. No, I we can why don't you say A. I don't understand your question. MR. STERN: Let her ask questions and you give answers. THE WITNESS: Fine. Q. Can you describe what the conversation |

Highly Confidential Highly Confidential Page 230 Page 231 1 M. Shapiro M. Shapiro 2 subject of valuation if Lehman was not 2 take place. 3 purchased? 3 Q. Who is Barry Ridings? A. Barry Ridings. He's the senior 4 A. Yeah, I don't think it was necessarily 4 5 just Martin Kelly. I just can't remember. I 5 partner and investment banker at Lazard. 6 think he was involved in those discussions. 6 Q. I'm laughing. It's a West Coast 7 What we were trying to do was find the 7 thing. I can't say the difference between Barry 8 right people at Lehman Brothers who could -- and 8 and berry. 9 9 it wasn't one person, it was groups of people --And the Thursday and Friday, is that 10 who were responsible for trading and, you know, the 18th and 19th? 11 and managing the portfolios of these different 11 A. I believe -- it would have been -- it 12 categories of securities so that Barry Ridings 12 would have been the 18th or the 19th, I believe, 13 could meet with these people and get their ves. It could have been earlier, too. I just 14 perspective on how they believed those don't have a specific recollection, but I think 15 securities -- what those securities might end up 15 it was during those, you know, during the course of this week. I don't remember exactly what 16 being worth if Lehman was forced to sell those 17 securities in the near term. 17 days he actually did that. He would have a 18 And so Martin was one of I think a 18 better recollection of what days he did it, but 19 number of people that helped us organize 19 it was during the course of that week. 20 different people in the firm to meet with Barry 20 Q. Do you know any other people who were Ridings on I believe Thursday and Friday to --21 involved in that evaluation? so he could evaluate what would happen if this 22 A. I believe he spoke to -- I believe on 23 transaction did not complete and what the some of this he spoke to Felder, probably 23 24 potential loss in value to the estate might be Gelband, Alex Kirk, you know, different people 25 if a forced sale of these securities were to who, within the Fixed Income, mostly Fixed TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 Highly Confidential Highly Confidential Page 232 Page 233 M. Shapiro M. Shapiro 1 O. Did you see any documents associated 2 Income, not always, and I think there was some 3 with that evaluation? 3 derivatives people. I don't remember all the 4 A. No. 4 people, no. These are not people that for the 5 MS. TAGGART: That's all my questions. 5 most part I knew. I knew a few of them. 6 Thank you. 6 O. Did vou ever communicate about the 7 MR. STERN: Anybody else? results of that evaluation with Mr. Ridings or 7 8 MR. CARDEN: You're a free man. 8 anyone else? 9 MR. STERN: I think were done. A. Not specifically, other than listening 10 9 THE WITNESS: Okay, thanks. (Time Noted: 2:42 P.M.) 11 10 to his testimony in court where I think he 12 000testified as to his view of what they might --13 what might happen if the transaction didn't 14 13 close and Lehman was liquidated and those 15 securities were liquidated. I think he 16 15 testified on that. 17 16 (Continued on the next page to include MARK J. SHAPIRO 17 the jurat.) 18 19 Subscribed and sworn to 18 before me this 19 20 of 2009. 20 21 21 22 22 23 23 24 24 25 25 TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580

| Highly Confidential | Highly Confidential |
|--|---|
| Page 234 | Page 235 |
| M. Shapiro CERTIFICATE STATE OF NEW YORK) : ss COUNTY OF NEW YORK) I, Kathy S. Klepfer, a Registered Merit Reporter and Notary Public within and for the State of New York, do hereby certify: That MARK J. SHAPIRO, the witness whose deposition is herein before set forth, was duly sworn by me and that such deposition is a true record of the testimony given by such witness. I further certify that I am not related to any of the parties to this action by blood or marriage and that I am in no way interested in the outcome of this matter. I further certify that neither the deponent nor a party requested a review of the transcript pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 30(e) before the deposition was completed. In witness whereof, I have hereunto set my hand this 7th day of August, 2009. | 1 M. Shapiro 2 INDEX 3 WITNESS: EXAMINATION BY PAGE 4 M. SHAPIRO Mr. Carden 5 5 Mr. Wood 171 6 Ms. Taggart 193 7 8 EXHIBITS: PAGE 9 Exhibit 55A, a document bearing Bates 78 10 Nos. BCI-EX-00077347 through 77349 11 Exhibit 56A, Agreement 135 12 Exhibit 57A, a document bearing Bates 158 13 Nos. 10296233 through 10299664 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 |
| TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 | TSG Reporting - Worldwide 877-702-9580 |
| Highly Confidential | |